

# Cluster randomised triAl of PSA testing for Prostate cancer

<b>Submission date</b> 30/09/2004	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 29/11/2004	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 30/06/2025	<b>Condition category</b> Cancer	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

Screening for prostate cancer continues to cause controversy because of concerns about over-diagnosis and unnecessary treatment. The aim of this study is to help policy makers decide whether PSA testing for prostate cancer should be introduced by evaluating the effectiveness of PSA testing in reducing prostate cancer mortality (i.e. the number of deaths), and its cost-effectiveness (i.e. comparing the health-related costs in combination with the effectiveness of PSA testing, in order to assist policy makers in their decisions about how to achieve the best use of resources).

### Who can participate?

Men aged 50 - 69 years from about 573 GP practices in eight UK centres (Sheffield, Newcastle, Bristol, Birmingham, Cardiff, Leeds, Cambridge and Leicester).

### What does the study involve?

Participants will be randomly allocated to one of two groups. One group is invited to have a PSA test (population-based PSA testing) and the other group continues to receive standard NHS care in the UK, based on the NHS prostate cancer risk management programme. The study involves medical records being looked at by trained researchers working with local NHS Trusts. Trained researchers will put information about any tests or treatment received for prostate problems onto a computer, making sure no personal details (name, address etc.) will be recorded. Data will be identified only by a study number.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

The study will have no impact on an individuals medical care. There are no direct benefits, although participation will contribute towards a better understanding of how prostate problems are currently diagnosed and managed by UK doctors.

### Where is the study run from?

University of Bristol (UK)

### When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

March 2004 to March 2016

Who is funding the study?  
Cancer Research UK (CRUK) (UK)

Who is the main contact?  
Prof Richard Martin

<https://www.cancerresearchuk.org/about-cancer/find-a-clinical-trial/a-trial-looking-at-a-single-blood-test-for-prostate-cancer-cap>

**Study website**  
<https://captrial.blogs.bristol.ac.uk/>

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Prof Richard Martin

**Contact details**  
School of Social and Community Medicine  
University of Bristol  
Canynges Hall  
39 Whatley Road  
Bristol  
United Kingdom  
BS8 2PS

## Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

**Secondary identifying numbers**  
N/A

## Study information

**Scientific Title**  
Cluster randomised trial of PSA testing for Prostate cancer

**Acronym**  
CAP

**Study objectives**

Current hypothesis as of 06/08/2014:

The hypothesis under investigation is that population screening in the form of prostate specific antigen (PSA) testing of men aged 50 - 69 years reduces mortality from prostate cancer.

The objective of the study is to evaluate the effectiveness of population screening for prostate cancer by establishing a cluster randomised trial allocating general practices to either population-based PSA testing (the ProtecT trial, ISRCTN20141297) or unscreened standard practice in order to: provide an unbiased estimate of the effect of a single screening round for prostate cancer on prostate cancer-specific and all-cause mortality in the population, and to contribute to the international effort to investigate the impact of prostate cancer screening.

Previous hypothesis:

The hypothesis under investigation is that population screening in the form of prostate specific antigen (PSA) testing of men aged 50 - 69 years reduces the overall mortality from prostate cancer.

The objective of the study is to evaluate the effectiveness of population screening for prostate cancer by establishing a cluster randomised trial allocating general practices to either intensive case-finding (the ProtecT trial) or unscreened standard practice in order to: provide an unbiased estimate of the effect of a single screening round for prostate cancer on prostate cancer-specific and all-cause mortality in the population, and to contribute to the international effort to investigate the impact of prostate cancer screening.

### **Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

### **Ethics approval(s)**

Randomisation of practices into intervention (ISRCTN20141297) and control arms approved on 21/06/2001 (ref: MREC/01/4/025), also permitted follow-up of participants in the intervention arm. Multicentre Research Ethics Committee approval on 12/02/2004 (ref: MREC/03/4/093) gave permission for follow-up in the control arm, and review of medical records on 24/11/2005 (ref: 05/MRE04/78).

### **Study design**

Randomised controlled trial

### **Primary study design**

Interventional

### **Secondary study design**

Randomised controlled trial

### **Study setting(s)**

GP practice

### **Study type(s)**

Screening

### **Participant information sheet**

Not available in web format, please use the contact details to request a patient information sheet

## Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Prostate cancer

## Interventions

Current interventions as of 06/08/2014:

General practices are randomised to participate in The ProtecT study (see ISRCTN20141297) or the control arm of the trial. In the ProtecT arm of the trial men are being invited to be tested for the presence of prostate cancer through population-based PSA testing. In the control arm men receive standard NHS care (NHS prostate cancer risk management programme).

Previous interventions:

Practices are randomised to participate in The ProtecT study (see ISRCTN20141297) or the comparison arm of the trial. In the ProtecT arm of the trial men are being invited to be tested for the presence of prostate cancer in a process of case-finding that is almost identical to population screening. In the comparison arm men are not subject to intensive case-finding for prostate cancer.

## Intervention Type

Other

## Phase

Not Applicable

## Primary outcome measure

Current primary outcome measure as of 04/02/2021:

Prostate cancer mortality at 15 years

See statistical analysis plan for further details: <http://hdl.handle.net/1983/97a58ee2-e559-49e3-9029-954bd5dd5bd9>

DOI: 10.17605/OSF.IO/7Y3G6

---

Previous primary outcome measure:

Prostate cancer mortality at 10 years

Added 06/08/2014:

See statistical analysis plan for further details: <http://hdl.handle.net/1983/6d41509f-ab93-4f96-9869-c320acbc4ae1>

## Secondary outcome measures

Current secondary outcome measures as of 04/02/2021:

Measured at 5 and 10, 15 and 20 years:

1. All-cause mortality
2. Disease status and staging
3. Cost-effectiveness: The projected lifetime effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of a range of UK-focused screening options, incorporating parameter estimates computed from CAP and

Protect data to create a UK-specific decision analytic model

4. Health-related quality of life

5. Age-specific lead-time and over-diagnosis rates (utilising observed trial data)

---

Previous secondary outcome measures as of 06/08/2014:

Measured at 5 and 10, 15 and 20 years:

1. All-cause mortality
2. Disease status and staging
3. Cost-effectiveness
4. Health-related quality of life

---

Previous secondary outcome measures:

Measured at 5 and 10 years:

1. All-cause mortality
2. Disease status and staging
3. Cost-effectiveness
4. Health-related quality of life

**Overall study start date**

01/03/2004

**Completion date**

01/03/2016

## Eligibility

### Key inclusion criteria

Current inclusion criteria as of 06/08/2014:

All men aged 50 - 69 years from 573 GP practices in eight UK centres (Sheffield, Newcastle, Bristol, Birmingham, Cardiff, Leeds, Cambridge, Leicester). An additional centre, Edinburgh, where routine data on cause of death did not undergo validation, will be included in a secondary analysis.

Previous inclusion criteria:

All men aged 50 - 69 years from approximately 400 GP practices in nine UK centres (Sheffield, Newcastle, Bristol, Birmingham, Cardiff, Edinburgh, Leeds, Cambridge, Leicester)

### Participant type(s)

Patient

### Age group

Adult

### Sex

Male

**Target number of participants**

416,000

**Key exclusion criteria**

Current exclusion criteria as of 06/08/2014:

Inclusion criteria not met. Men identified as already having a prostate cancer diagnosis.

Previous exclusion criteria:

Does not meet inclusion criteria

**Date of first enrolment**

01/03/2004

**Date of final enrolment**

01/03/2016

**Locations****Countries of recruitment**

England

United Kingdom

**Study participating centre**

University of Bristol

Bristol

United Kingdom

BS8 2PS

**Sponsor information****Organisation**

University of Bristol (UK)

**Sponsor details**

Senate House

Tyndall Avenue

Bristol

England

United Kingdom

BS8 1TH

**Sponsor type**

University/education

**Website**

<http://www.bris.ac.uk/>

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/0524sp257>

## Funder(s)

**Funder type**

Charity

**Funder Name**

Cancer Research UK (CRUK) (UK) (refs: C11043/A4286, C18281/A11326, C18281/A8145 and C18281/A15064)

**Alternative Name(s)**

CR\_UK, Cancer Research UK - London, CRUK

**Funding Body Type**

Private sector organisation

**Funding Body Subtype**

Other non-profit organizations

**Location**

United Kingdom

## Results and Publications

**Publication and dissemination plan**

Statistical analysis plan at <https://osf.io/7y3g6> (added 04/02/2021)

**Intention to publish date****Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**

Not provided at time of registration

**IPD sharing plan summary**

Not provided at time of registration

**Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/01/2008		Yes	No
	results				

<a href="#">Results article</a>		01/04/2009	Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/11/2010	Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/11/2011	Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	04/06/2013	Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	10/06/2014	Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	23/01/2015	Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	29/04/2016	Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	13/10/2016	Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	06/03/2018	Yes	No
<a href="#">Plain English results</a>		26/10/2022	No	Yes
<a href="#">Results article</a>	prespecified secondary outcomes at 15-year follow-up	07/05/2024 30/06/2025	Yes	No