

Rehabilitation of Memory in Neurological Disabilities - Pilot study

Submission date 30/09/2005	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
		<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 30/09/2005	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 21/02/2018	Condition category Nervous System Diseases	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol
Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Prof N Lincoln

Contact details
University of Nottingham
University Hospital
Institute of work, Health and Organisations
8 William Lee buildings, Science and Technology Park
University Boulevard
Nottingham
United Kingdom
NG7 2RQ
+44 (0)115 87523846
nadina.lincoln@nottingham.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

Study information

Scientific Title

A comparison of the effectiveness of two types of neuropsychological rehabilitation for memory deficits following brain damage: A single blind randomised controlled trial

Acronym

ReMIND - Pilot study

Study objectives

The aim of this study is to compare the effectiveness of two types of cognitive rehabilitation techniques (ie restitution and compensation) in reducing memory deficits following brain damage.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Ethical approval obtained from Central Office for Research Ethics Committees, Nottingham Research Ethics Committee 1 (12 May 2004)

Study design

Single blind randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Not specified

Study type(s)

Not Specified

Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Stroke, Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI), Multiple Sclerosis (MS) / memory deficits

Interventions

1. Restitution group
2. Compensation group
3. Attention placebo group

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome measure

Prior to July 2008:

To assess memory functions, disability, psychological adjustment.

Modified July 2008:

To assess everyday memory functions, based on the Everyday Memory Questionnaire.

Secondary outcome measures

Added July 2008:

Objective measures of memory (RBMTE, Doors & People, Memory Aids Questionnaire), mood (GHQ12, Wimbledon Self Report Scale), psychological adjustment (Mental Adjustment to Brain Damage), and extended activities of daily living (Nottingham EADL).

Overall study start date

24/05/2004

Completion date

31/01/2009

Eligibility**Key inclusion criteria**

Prior to July 2008:

Participants having sustained a brain damage, verified by hospital or GP records, should have a memory deficit, defined as overall profile score of 1 (poor memory) or 0 (impaired) on the Rivermead Behavioural memory Test (RBMT), over 18 years of age, living in or around Nottingham (within 50 miles radius), having at least one identified individual who will supervise homework assignments, they give informed consent, they are not blind or deaf, should speak English, should have been diagnosed at least one month prior to recruitment to the study, should be more than one month post injury and or diagnosis, no previous diagnosis of brain damage or other severe disability.

Modified July 2008:

Participants with a stroke, TBI, or MS, verified by hospital or GP records, should have a memory deficit, defined as overall profile score of 2 (average memory) to 0 (impaired) on the Rivermead Behavioural memory Test-extended version (RBMTE), over 18 years of age, living in or around Nottingham or Derby (within 50 miles radius), having at least one identified individual who will supervise homework assignments, they give informed consent, should speak English, and should be more than one month post injury and or diagnosis.

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

18 Years

Sex

Not Specified

Target number of participants

At least 60 patients in each group (restitution group, compensation group, attention placebo group) = 180.

Key exclusion criteria

Added July 2008:

Those who are blind or deaf, have previous diagnosis of brain damage or dementia, have severe activity limitations which would restrict them from taking part in assessments or intervention, current psychiatric/mental health problems, and language problems (defined as a score of less than 15 on the Sheffield Screening Test for Acquired Language Disorders).

Date of first enrolment

24/05/2004

Date of final enrolment

31/01/2009

Locations

Countries of recruitment

England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre

University of Nottingham

Nottingham

United Kingdom

NG7 2RQ

Sponsor information

Organisation

Department of Health

Sponsor details

Richmond House
79 Whitehall
London
United Kingdom
SW1A 2NL
+44 (0)20 7307 2622
dhmail@doh.gsi.org.uk

Sponsor type

Government

Website

<http://www.dh.gov.uk/Home/fs/en>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

Queen's Medical Centre University Hospital NHS Trust (UK) NHS R&D Support Funding

Funder Name

Added July 2008:

Funder Name

Stroke Association (UK) (AHP Research Bursary)

Alternative Name(s)

Funding Body Type

Private sector organisation

Funding Body Subtype

Associations and societies (private and public)

Location

United Kingdom

Funder Name

Remedi (UK)

Alternative Name(s)

Remedi UK, Remedi, UK, RemediRj

Funding Body Type

Private sector organisation

Funding Body Subtype

Other non-profit organizations

Location

United Kingdom

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date**Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan****IPD sharing plan summary**

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/10/2012		Yes	No
Results article	results	19/09/2016		Yes	No