

# Radiological versus surgical implantation of catheter for peritoneal dialysis in the management of life-threatening end-stage kidney disease

<b>Submission date</b> 31/05/2011	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
<b>Registration date</b> 10/06/2011	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Last Edited</b> 20/10/2016	<b>Condition category</b> Urological and Genital Diseases	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
		<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

Kidney failure, also called end-stage kidney disease (ESKD), is the last stage of chronic kidney disease. When the kidneys fail, it means they have stopped working well enough for the patient to survive without dialysis or a kidney transplant. Not all people are able to undergo a kidney transplant, and dialysis remains an important treatment for most people with ESKD. Dialysis patients have many health problems, often require the assistance of health professionals (mainly doctors and nurses), are frequently admitted to hospital and require several operations. If the number of operations, complications and discomfort from multiple health care visits can be reduced, this will help to reduce the emotional trauma to people with ESKD. There are two types of dialysis: peritoneal dialysis (PD) and haemodialysis (HD). Both types of dialysis require access to the body's blood to treat kidney failure. PD involves indirect access to the blood via the abdominal cavity (called the peritoneum), and requires a plastic tube (catheter) to be inserted through the abdominal wall into the peritoneum to allow a special fluid (dialysis fluid) to be drained in and out several times per day to "wash the blood" – taking over the job healthy kidneys do. The aim of this study is to test the success of a newer method of catheter insertion (radiological) to the standard method of catheter insertion (surgical). Before the study was done, it was thought that the radiological procedure (newer method) of insertion of the catheter would be a quicker and more cost-effective procedure, less stressful and less painful for participants when compared with the surgical method.

### Who can participate?

Adult patients requiring PD for end-stage kidney disease

### What does the study involve?

Participants are randomly allocated to undergo either the standard surgical method of catheter insertion (under general anaesthetic [asleep]) or the newer radiological method of catheter insertion (under local anaesthetic [awake]). All participants are then followed up for at least 12 months to observe the immediate success of the procedure of inserting the catheter, to ensure

that it worked, and to look for and compare the complications from the two different insertion procedures. Common problems include failure of the catheter to work due to blockage or movement within the abdomen, infection of the tube entry point on the skin, infection within the abdomen, hernia, and fluid leaking in to the wall of the abdomen. Complications are recorded by the study nurse telephoning the patient at monitoring points in the follow-up year after the catheter insertion and up to four community or hospital visits in this follow-up time.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

The study participation risks fell into two categories: those risks potentially associated with the newer method; and those associated with ESKD and PD treatment in general. Most of the risks in this study can potentially occur in all people on PD whether they are study participants or not. These risks included: inability to insert the catheter for whatever reason; allergic reactions to the antibiotics required to prevent infection at the time of catheter insertion; bleeding from the wound or around the tube (catheter); infection around the catheter entering the skin (exit site infection); infection within the abdominal cavity (peritonitis); leakage of fluid from within the abdominal cavity into the chest, groin or abdominal wall; hernia; pain following the procedure. The radiological method involves a small amount of radiation exposure (several seconds of screening in X-ray room).

Where is the study run from?

Counties Manukau District Health Board (CMDHB) (New Zealand)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

April 1999 to August 2004

Who is funding the study?

Counties Manukau District Health Board (CMDHB) (New Zealand)

Who is the main contact?

Dr David Voss

[dvoss@middlemore.co.nz](mailto:dvoss@middlemore.co.nz)

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Dr David Voss

### Contact details

Counties Manukau DHB

Private Bag 93311

Otahuhu

Auckland

New Zealand

1640

+64 (0)2 166 4664

[dvoss@middlemore.co.nz](mailto:dvoss@middlemore.co.nz)

# Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

N/A

## Study information

### Scientific Title

Radiological versus surgical implantation of catheter for peritoneal dialysis: a randomised non-inferiority trial

### Study objectives

The main objective of our study was to test the hypothesis that patients receiving peritoneal dialysis (PD) catheters by radiological insertion technique (new intervention) had clinically equivalent outcomes to those by laparoscopic insertion (our standard) technique.

### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

### Ethics approval(s)

Auckland Ethics Committee, February 1999, ref: 98/234 (committee 10)

### Study design

Single-centre interventional randomised controlled trial

### Primary study design

Interventional

### Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

### Study setting(s)

Hospital

### Study type(s)

Treatment

### Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use contact details to request a patient information sheet

### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

End-stage kidney disease (ESKD)

### Interventions

Participants were allocated by simple randomisation to receive the Baxter curl&reg catheter (Baxter Healthcare, McGaw Park, IL, USA) by either radiological or laparoscopic insertion technique. The randomisation procedure and treatment allocation was done by research staff not involved with the care of the participants, and performed before the initiation of dialysis. Randomisation allocations were stored in sequentially numbered opaque, sealed envelopes and were concealed and unavailable to investigators, study research staff and data entry staff at all points during the study. Because of the nature of the interventions, blinding of patients, providers, and data collectors was not possible.

After dialysis catheter insertion, all patients underwent continuous ambulatory PD using a Y-set system (Baxter Freeline solo&reg;). All the participants were followed for one year following catheter insertion.

## **Intervention Type**

Procedure/Surgery

## **Primary outcome measure**

1. The occurrence of dialysis catheter complications by day 365, a composite endpoint that included complications secondary to mechanical causes (insertion failure, inflow/outflow failure, herniae, dialysate leak including those through the exit site, the diaphragm, a patent processus vaginalis, or an abdominal hernia), or infectious causes (PD-related peritonitis, exit site infection, catheter tunnel infection).

1.1. The mechanical complications were defined according to standard clinical criteria, except herniae and dialysate leaks which were defined by confirmatory appearances on computed tomographic (CT) peritoneography.

1.2. The infectious complications were defined according to International Society of Peritoneal Dialysis (1996) criteria

## **Secondary outcome measures**

The occurrence of catheter removal and death from any cause

## **Overall study start date**

01/04/1999

## **Completion date**

30/08/2004

# **Eligibility**

## **Key inclusion criteria**

1. Participants were recruited from the outpatient clinic within the Counties Manukau District Health Board, South Auckland, New Zealand. Participants with severe or end-stage kidney disease were approached to participate in the study if they were offered and accepted PD as their renal replacement modality.

2. Individuals were eligible if they were over 18 years and suitable for both laparoscopic and radiological PD catheter insertion

## **Participant type(s)**

Patient

## **Age group**

Adult

**Lower age limit**

18 Years

**Sex**

Both

**Target number of participants**

113

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Severe obesity body mass index (BMI) > 35
2. Previous abdominal surgery or a history consistent with adhesions, severe medical co-morbidity precluding general anaesthesia, bleeding diatheses or anticoagulation
3. Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection
4. Ongoing corticosteroid or immunosuppressant use
5. Severe psychiatric disease
6. Definite plans for live-donor kidney transplantation

**Date of first enrolment**

01/04/1999

**Date of final enrolment**

30/08/2004

## **Locations**

**Countries of recruitment**

New Zealand

**Study participating centre**

Counties Manukau District Health Board

Auckland

New Zealand

1640

## **Sponsor information**

**Organisation**

Counties Manukau District Health Board (CMDHB) (New Zealand)

**Sponsor details**

Middlemore Hospital

c/o Dr David Voss

Hospital Road  
Private Bag 93311  
Otahuhu  
Auckland  
New Zealand  
1640  
+64 (0)2 166 4664  
dvoss@middlemore.co.nz

**Sponsor type**

Government

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/02cq7de70>

## Funder(s)

**Funder type**

Government

**Funder Name**

Counties Manukau District Health Board (CMDHB) (New Zealand)

## Results and Publications

**Publication and dissemination plan**

Not provided at time of registration

**Intention to publish date****Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan****IPD sharing plan summary**

Not provided at time of registration

**Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/11/2012		Yes	No