Preliminary development of a tool allowing to predict which patients with low back pain who would best benefit from a specific exercise program

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	Prospectively registered		
07/11/2016		☐ Protocol		
Registration date	Overall study status Completed	Statistical analysis plan		
14/11/2016		[X] Results		
Last Edited 01/12/2022	Condition category Musculoskeletal Diseases	Individual participant data		

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Lower back pain (LBP) is a common and costly problem, which affects most people at some point in their lives. Around 70% of people suffering from LBP naturally recover within 3-4 weeks, the so-called acute phase of LBP. However, the remaining 30% (non-acute LBP) will account for most of the costs because 10% will develop long-term (chronic) disabling LBP. Physical exercise can reduce pain and disability in patients with non-acute LBP, but these effects are relatively modest on the whole. To enhance the effectiveness of this type of treatment, it is necessary to appropriately match different patient groups to different types of exercise programs, so that patients can obtain maximum benefit. The researchers intend to develop a tool that would make this match for a specific exercise program that is gaining credibility and popularity: lumbar stabilization exercises. This tool is a clinical prediction rule (CPR) that would target, during the initial clinical examination, the patients that would likely best respond to this exercise program. The overall aim of this study is to begin the development of a tool allowing clinicians, at the initial exam, to identify which patients would benefit or not benefit of a lumbar stabilization exercise program, and find out why some patients benefit more than others to this exercise program.

Who can participate?

Sixty adults with low back pain and thirty healthy people of the same age.

What does the study involve?

The 60 patients take part in an 8 week lumbar stabilization exercise program, which is led by trained physiotherapists. This involves taking part in two, 30-minute sessions per week of completing a range of exercises designed to better control and strengthen abdominal and lower back muscles. After the program ends, patients review the exercises they have learned with the physiotherapists and encouraged to continue practicing them at home. At the start of the study, half way through and at the end of the exercise program, as well as six months later, participants complete a number of questionnaires in order to assess different psychological characteristics as

well as their disability and pain levels to find out how effective the treatment is. A physical exam is also performed at the start and end of the exercise program. The psychological and physical measures collected at the start of the exercise program will be used to predict who has benefited and who has not benefited from this exercise program. The measures that will show predictive value will then be retained in clinical prediction rules of treatment success and failure. Once these rules will be fully developed, they will be used by the clinicians as decision tools to help them determine if a lumbar stabilization program is likely to benefit (or not) to their patients. The 30 healthy patients are assessed twice (8 weeks apart) in a laboratory setting to evaluate the control of their trunk (torso) muscles with the use of 6 tests. The non-obese patients are also asked to perform the same laboratory assessments.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Patients may benefit from taking part in the exercise program. The risk of participating to the exercise program is minimal, although it can temporarily make low back pain feel worse. There are no direct benefits or risks to the healthy people taking part.

Where is the study run from?

The study is run from PhysioExtra and the Center for Interdisciplinary Research in Rehabilitation of Greater Montreal (Canada)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? July 2012 to August 2016

Who is funding the study? Occupational Health and Safety Research Institute Robert-Sauvé (Canada)

Who is the main contact?
Dr Christian Lariviere

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr Christian Lariviere

ORCID ID

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4299-4755

Contact details

Institut de recherche Robert-Sauvé en santé et en sécurité du travail (IRSST) 505, boulevard De Maisonneuve Ouest Montreal Canada H3A 3C2

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number

Study information

Scientific Title

Preliminary development of a clinical prediction rule for identifying patients with non-acute low back pain who respond best to a lumbar stabilization exercise program

Study objectives

The overall aim of this study is to:

- 1. Initiate the development of a clinical prediction rule allowing clinicians, at the initial exam, to identify which patients would benefit or not benefit of a lumbar stabilization exercise program
- 2. Research to understand why some patients benefit more than others to this exercise program

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Ethics Committee of the Centre for Interdisciplinary Research in Rehabilitation of Greater Montreal (CRIR), 26/07/2012, ref: CRIR-638-0512

Study design

Prospective non-randomised interventional study

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Screening

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Low-back pain

Interventions

All patients will take part in an 8-week lumbar stabilization exercise program, which consists of two 30 minute sessions per week, in local physiotherapy clinics without any co-intervention allowed. Physiotherapists will be involved in the preliminary identification of patients (inclusion and exclusion criteria will be strictly applied by a research assistant) and will supervise the exercise program. Patients will also be recruited through newspaper advertisements. Six to eight physiotherapists will be trained to deliver this intervention. A two-days training session will be provided by a researcher (JPD) and another expert (physical therapist) trained in this approach. They will perform their own initial clinical assessment, independently of the clinical and laboratory assessments carried out by the research assistant, to apply their clinical judgment (adjustment of the dosage of the exercise program). In order to standardize the information given to the patients about their condition the pamphlet entitled "Back Book" will be handed out to the patients as the first visit. The patients will be encouraged to do the exercises at home. The exercise program will focus on motor control of the deep trunk muscles, followed by gradual inclusion of overloading exercises designed to improve endurance and strength of the paraspinal and abdominal muscles.

During the last appointment, the physiotherapist will review the home exercise program with the patient to ensure that they know and understand the expected exercise and frequency, so that they can continue the exercises once the treatment ended at 8 weeks.

Participants will be followed up at 6 months by a research assistant who will send questionnaires by mail.

Intervention Type

Other

Primary outcome(s)

- 1. Perceived disability is measured using the Oswestry disability questionnaire (OSW) at baseline, 4 weeks, 8 weeks and 6 months
- 2. Pain intensity, measured using an 11-point (0 to 10) numeric pain rating scale (NPRS) to assess the current, best and worst levels of pain intensity during the last week, so as to average the three ratings and obtain a more reliable composite score at baseline, 4 weeks, 8 weeks and 6 months
- 3. Patient satisfaction is measured using the Physical Therapy Patient Satisfaction (PTPS) questionnaire at 8 weeks

Key secondary outcome(s))

Clinical assessment:

Outcomes will be measured at baseline and 8 weeks

- 1. Posture (pelvic tilt, lordosis, kyphosis) and range of motion is measured using one or two inclinometers
- 2. Aberrant movements are measured using observations during flexion extension
- 3. Lumbar instability is measured using the prone instability test
- 4. Ligamentous laxity is measured using the Beighton Scale
- 5. Lumbar instability is measured using painful arc in flexion
- 6. Lumbar instability is measured using painful arc in return from flexion
- 7. Posterior chain flexibility is measured using the passive straight leg rising test
- 8. Load transfer of lumbo-pelvic area is measured using the active straight leg rising test
- 9. Muscle testing is measured using three brief tests: side support, active set-up, extensor endurance
- 10. Physical performance is measured using repeated sit-to-stand, repeated trunk flexions, loaded reach and 360 degree rollover

Psychological assessment:

Outcomes will be measured at at baseline, 4 weeks, 8 weeks and 6 months, unless stated otherwise

- 1. Fear-avoidance beliefs are measured using the Fear-avoidance beliefs questionnaire (FABQ)
- 2. Pain catastrophizing is measured using the Pain Catastrophizing Scale (PCS)
- 3. Psychological distress is measured using the Psychological distress Inventory
- 4. Activity-related pain is measured by asking participant "Do you experience increased pain during general activity or exercise?"
- 5. The physical activity level is measured using the Baecke physical activity questionnaire (last month recall)
- 6. Self-efficacy for exercise is measured using the Self-efficacy for exercise Scale (SEES)
- 7. Barriers to exercise is measured using the Barriers Efficacy Scale (BES)
- 8. Social/Family support to exercise is measured using Exercise-specific Items (n = 12/50) of the Friend/Peer Support-Health Eating Physical Activity Scale FPS-HEPAS

- 9. Illness perceptions are measured using the Brief Illness Perception Questionnaire (Brief-IPQ) 10. Risk of poor prognosis is measured using the StarT Back screening tool.
- 11. Expectations about exercise are measured using the Outcome expectation for exercise scale (OEES)
- 12. Treatment credibility is measured using the Credibility/Expectancy Questionnaire (CEQ). This outcome was measured at 1 week (instead of baseline) as well as mid-treatment (4 weeks) and end of treatment (8 weeks), to allow the patients understand the basic elements of the exercise program.
- 13. Quality of patient/therapist relationship is measured using the Short form of the Working Alliance Inventory (WAI). This outcome was measured at 1 week (instead of baseline) as well as mid-treatment (4 weeks) and end of treatment (8 weeks) because a minimum of three meetings are required before it is administered.
- 14. Readiness to exercise is measured using the Stages of Change Questionnaire
- 15. Home exercise adherence (HEA) is evaluated with two questions asked at the end of treatment (8 weeks) and six-month follow-up:
- (1) How many times have you done your exercises as prescribed in the last week?
- (2) On average during the last month, how many times a week did you do your exercises as prescribed?

These questions were developed for this study. The frequency is divided by the physiotherapist's recommendation to obtain a ratio, in accordance with the most common definition of adherence. The ratio may vary between 0 and 1, 1 meaning that the frequency will be equal or higher than prescribed.

Neuromuscular assessment:

Outcomes will be measured at baseline and 8 weeks

- 1. Deep trunk muscle motor control of abdominal and lumbar multifidus muscles is measured using ultrasound imaging
- 2. Lumbar proprioception (motion perception threshold) is measured using a custom built motorized apparatus allowing to passively rotate the lumbar spine in the transverse plane (trunk rotation) by rotating the lower body (seat) at a very slow steady rate. As soon as motion is perceived, participants (eyes closed) stop the rotation by pressing a switch.
- 3. Trunk postural stability during unstable sitting is measured using a wobble chair and an inertial sensor measuring its angular position
- 4. Lumbar stiffness is measured using a custom built motorized apparatus generating series of pseudorandom position-controlled trunk perturbations and measuring forces and displacements at the harness surrounding the thorax, allowing to estimate the intrinsic and reflexive contributions to lumbar stiffness
- 5. Anticipatory postural adjustments to a rapid arm flexion movement is measured using surface electromyographic measures of 12 trunk muscles (6 abdominals + 6 back) and the angular kinematics of the lumbar spine
- 6. Trunk muscle coordination during maximal trunk flexion/extension movements is measured using surface electromyographic measures of 6 back muscles and the angular kinematics of the pelvis, lumbar spine and thoracic spine

Completion date

01/08/2016

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Patients with low-back pain:

- 1. Aged between 18 and 65
- 2. Fluency in either French or English
- 3. Experiencing LBP for at least four weeks, with or without leg pain
- 4. Scoring above 12% on the Oswestry disability questionnaire (OSW) [minimum clinically important change is 10 points]

Healthy controls:

- 1. Aged between 18 and 65
- 2. Fluency in either French or English

Participant type(s)

Mixed

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

18 years

Sex

Αll

Total final enrolment

62

Key exclusion criteria

Patients with low-back pain:

- 1. Specific lumbar pathology (fracture, infection or tumor) or scoliosis
- 2. One positive neurological sign in two test categories (knee and ankle reflexes, myotomes, dermatomes)
- 3. Having previously undergone back surgery
- 4. Systemic disease
- 5. Having begun an exercise program in the last six months and (6) pregnancy.

Healthy controls:

- 1. Back pain in the preceding year or back pain exceeding one week in the years before
- 2. Surgery of the pelvis or spinal column
- 3. Systemic disease
- 4. Having begun an exercise program in the last three months
- 5. Pregnancy
- 6. Obesity (body mass index > 30 kg/m2)

Date of first enrolment

17/10/2012

Date of final enrolment

27/06/2014

Locations

Countries of recruitment

Canada

Study participating centre PhysioExtra

2171 Fleury East Montreal Canada H2B 1J9

Study participating centre

Center for Interdisciplinary Research in Rehabilitation of Greater Montreal (CRIR)

Institut de réadaptation Gingras-Lindsay-de-Montréal 6300 avenue Darlington Montréal Canada H3S 2J4

Sponsor information

Organisation

Institut de recherche Robert-Sauvé en santé et en sécurité du travail (IRSST)

ROR

https://ror.org/04e4jbv10

Funder(s)

Funder type

Research organisation

Funder Name

Occupational Health and Safety Research Institute Robert-Sauvé (Institut de Recherche Robert-Sauvé en Santé et en Sécurité du Travail)

Alternative Name(s)

Robert-Sauvé Institute for Workplace Health and Wefare, IRSST

Funding Body Type

Private sector organisation

Funding Body Subtype

Other non-profit organizations

Location

Canada

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The participant level data will not be made available for this pilot study. Funding is being sought to pursue the data collection (n = 50 additional patients according to the new sample size estimation) and reach the required sample size (n = 98) to derive robust (stable) clinical prediction rules of success and failure. Then, the complete data set will be made available. All personal information collected during the study will be codified to insure confidentiality. Only the research team members will have access to that information. However, for research projects controls, the study file could be consulted by a mandated person from the ethic committee of the CRIR establishments or the Ethic Unit of the Health and Social Services ministry, which adhere to a strict confidentiality politic. The data will be kept locked in a cabinet (questionnaires and electronic files on a backup support) at the Center for Interdisciplinary Research in Rehabilitation of Greater Montreal (CRIR), Institut de réadaptation Gingras-Lindsay-de-Montréal, Montréal, by the study leader.

IPD sharing plan summary

Not expected to be made available

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article		31/10/2017	01/12/2022	Yes	No
Basic results		12/12/2016	15/12/2016	No	No
Basic results		12/12/2016	15/12/2016	No	No
Participant information shee	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes