

A randomised crossover comparison of the Cobra Perilaryngeal Airway (CobraPLA®) and Classic Laryngeal Mask Airway™ during anaesthesia in ventilated patients

Submission date 30/09/2005	Recruitment status Stopped	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 30/09/2005	Overall study status Stopped	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 06/03/2009	Condition category Surgery	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data <input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr Tim Cook

Contact details

Anaesthesia
Royal United Hospital
Bath & North East Somerset Council
Bath
United Kingdom
BA1 3NG

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number

N0212140555

Study information

Scientific Title

Study objectives

Does the CobraPLA® perform as well as the Classic Laryngeal Mask Airway™ during anaesthesia?

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Surgery: Anaesthesia

Interventions

CobraPLA® and Classic Laryngeal Mask Airway™

Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

Phase

Not Applicable

Primary outcome(s)

Not provided at time of registration

Key secondary outcome(s))

Not provided at time of registration

Completion date

13/08/2004

Reason abandoned (if study stopped)

Terminated due to two cases of pulmonary aspiration during this and a related study which lead to the decision that the risk to the participants of continuing the study outweighed the potential benefits.

Eligibility**Key inclusion criteria**

1. Patients undergoing anaesthesia with ventilation of the lungs
2. Patients have been included if they were undergoing elective anaesthesia for surgery

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Not Specified

Sex

Not Specified

Key exclusion criteria

Not provided at time of registration

Date of first enrolment

01/05/2004

Date of final enrolment

13/08/2004

Locations**Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

England

Study participating centre**Anaesthesia**

Bath

United Kingdom

BA1 3NG

Sponsor information**Organisation**

Department of Health

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

Royal United Hospital Bath NHS Trust (UK)

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/08/2005		Yes	No