

# Comparison of the potential short and long term benefits of the use of a continuous popliteal nerve block compared to a single shot technique in patients undergoing surgical repair of ankle fractures

<b>Submission date</b> 06/08/2009	<b>Recruitment status</b> Stopped	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 01/10/2009	<b>Overall study status</b> Stopped	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 12/06/2014	<b>Condition category</b> Surgery	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data <input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

**Plain English summary of protocol**  
Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Ms Rosemary Hogg

**Contact details**  
Department of Anaesthetics & Intensive Care Medicine  
Queen's University Belfast  
2nd Floor, Mulhouse Building  
Grosvenor Road  
Belfast  
United Kingdom  
BT12 6BJ

## Additional identifiers

**Protocol serial number**  
RGHT 000669

# Study information

## Scientific Title

Comparison of the effects of single or continuous popliteal nerve block on post-operative outcome measures in patients undergoing operative repair of ankle fracture: a randomised controlled double-blind trial

## Study objectives

Does the use of a continuous popliteal nerve block after ankle fracture surgery reduce the incidence of chronic post-surgical ankle pain?

## Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

## Ethics approval(s)

Health and Social Care Research Ethics Committee 2 (HSC REC 2) approved on the 28th July 2009 (ref: 09/NIR02/38)

## Study design

Randomised double blind controlled trial

## Primary study design

Interventional

## Study type(s)

Treatment

## Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Surgical repair of ankle fracture

## Interventions

Single shot popliteal nerve block followed by randomisation to receive either a continuous popliteal nerve block using 0.125% levobupivacaine or placebo infusion.

For each patient, in hospital follow up will continue for 48 hours after their surgical procedure. Patients will then be contacted at 3 months post-procedure and asked to fill in a repeat Magill pain questionnaire. This will be the end of the study for this patient.

Added 09/08/2011: Study did not commence due to issues with equipment & funding

## Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

## Phase

Not Applicable

## Primary outcome(s)

Reduction in the incidence of chronic ankle pain at three months post-procedure

## Key secondary outcome(s))

1. Post-operative morphine usage assessed at designated times in the post-operative period: one hour after surgery before leaving the recovery area and at 4, 8, 12, 16, 24, 30, 36 and 48 hours after the procedure
2. Time to first mobilisation, recorded at time to first mobilisation
3. Difference in pain scores in both the post-operative period and on first mobilisation assessed at designated times in the post-operative period: one hour after surgery before leaving the recovery area and at 4, 8, 12, 16, 24, 30, 36 and 48 hours after the procedure and on first mobilisation
4. Total length of hospital stay, recorded from the day of admission to day of discharge
5. Patient satisfaction assessed at the end of the 48 hour post-operative period using the 40-item quality of recovery (QoR-40) questionnaire

**Completion date**

29/04/2010

**Reason abandoned (if study stopped)**

Lack of funding/resources

## **Eligibility**

**Key inclusion criteria**

1. American Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) class I - III
2. Patients able to give written informed consent
3. Patients awaiting operative repair of an ankle fracture
4. Aged between 18 - 80 years, either sex

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

**Age group**

Adult

**Lower age limit**

18 years

**Sex**

All

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. History of an allergy to any of the medications used in the study
2. Pregnancy
3. Local infection at site of nerve blockade
4. Any form of neurological dysfunction in lower limbs
5. Patients suffering from severe hypotension such as cardiogenic or hypovolaemic shock or with a serious cardiac arrhythmia

**Date of first enrolment**

17/08/2009

**Date of final enrolment**

29/04/2010

## **Locations**

**Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

Northern Ireland

**Study participating centre**

**Department of Anaesthetics & Intensive Care Medicine**

Belfast

United Kingdom

BT12 6BJ

## **Sponsor information**

**Organisation**

Belfast Health and Social Care Trust (UK)

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/02tdmfk69>

## **Funder(s)**

**Funder type**

Government

**Funder Name**

Belfast Health and Social Care Trust (UK) (ref: RGHT 000669)

## **Results and Publications**

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">HRA research summary</a>			28/06/2023	No	No
<a href="#">Participant information sheet</a>	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes