Evaluation of Success For All

Submission date	Recruitment status	Prospectively registered
18/05/2016	No longer recruiting	☐ Protocol
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan
21/06/2016	Completed	[X] Results
Last Edited	Condition category	[] Individual participant data
02/03/2022	Other	

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Success for All is a training and support package for primary schools that aims to help them to teach their pupils to read well. It was initially developed in the USA, where it is used by around 1,000 schools. It provides training in both approaches to teaching, such as cooperative learning and synthetic phonics, and school management. Data analysis is frequently undertaken to measure pupils' progress, and pupils are grouped (and regularly re-grouped) into classes based on their reading ability, not their age. Teachers are provided with structured daily lesson plans and teaching materials. Additional catch-up support, such as computer-assisted learning, is provided to pupils struggling to learn to read. While there is a substantial body of existing evidence of the effectiveness of Success for All both in the US and the UK, it has only been evaluated through one large scale study in the US. There is therefore a need for the conduct of a high-quality study to strengthen the evidence behind the programme and its implementation in the UK context.

Who can participate?

Year 7 pupils (11-12 year olds) in a state secondary school in England.

What does the study involve?

Schools are randomly allocated to either deliver the Success For All approach or continue as normal for two school years. The success of the programme is assessed though literacy tests administered with all pupils at the end of Reception and Year 1.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Anticipated benefits of taking part are improvements in literacy. There are no anticipated risks of participating.

Where is the study run from? Queen's University Belfast (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? January 2013 to December 2016

Who is funding the study? Education Endowment Foundation (UK)

Who is the main contact? Dr Andy Biggart a.biggart@qub.ac.uk

Study website

https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/evaluation/projects/success-for-all/

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr Andy Biggart

Contact details

School of Education Queen's University Belfast 69-71 University Street Belfast United Kingdom BT7 1HL +44 (0)289 097 5946 a.biggart@qub.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

1

Study information

Scientific Title

Cluster randomised controlled trial evaluation of Success For All

Study objectives

What is the impact of Success For All on reading outcomes for participating pupils?

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

- 1. School of Education Research Ethics Committee, Queen's University Belfast, March 2014
- 2. Ethics Committee of the Department of Education, University of York, 04/06/2013

Study design

Cluster randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Cluster randomised trial

Study setting(s)

School

Study type(s)

Other

Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Attainment in literacy

Interventions

Schools are randomly assigned to either deliver the Success For All approach (intervention), or continue as normal (control) for two school years.

Success for All is a training and support package for primary schools that aims to help them to teach their pupils to read well. It was initially developed in the USA. It provides training in both approaches to teaching, such as cooperative learning and synthetic phonics, and school management.

The success of the programme will be assessed though literacy tests administered with all pupils at the end of Reception and Year 1.

Intervention Type

Behavioural

Primary outcome measure

Reading - measured using the following subscales from the Woodcock Reading Mastery Test:

- 1. Letter Identification (measured at end of Reception Year)
- 2. Word Identification (measured at end of Reception Year)
- 3. Word Attack (measured at end of Reception Year)
- 4. Word Identification (measured at end of Year 1)
- 5. Word Attack (measured at end of Year 1)
- 6. Passage Comprehension (End of Year 1)

Secondary outcome measures

Key Stage 1 Phonics Check (End of Year 1)

Overall study start date

01/01/2013

Completion date

31/12/2016

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Reception class pupils in participating state primary schools

Participant type(s)

Other

Age group

Child

Sex

Both

Target number of participants

1250 pupils in Reception class in 50 primary schools (approx 25 pupils per cluster)

Key exclusion criteria

Not a Reception class pupil in a participating school

Date of first enrolment

01/03/2013

Date of final enrolment

30/06/2014

Locations

Countries of recruitment

Northern Ireland

United Kingdom

Study participating centre Queen's University Belfast

School of Education 69-71 University Street Belfast United Kingdom BT7 1HL

Sponsor information

Organisation

Queen's University Belfast (UK)

Sponsor details

University Road Belfast Northern Ireland United Kingdom BT7 1NN

Sponsor type

University/education

ROR

https://ror.org/00hswnk62

Funder(s)

Funder type

Charity

Funder Name

Education Endowment Foundation (UK)

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

The final report will be openly available on the funders website (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/evaluation/projects/success-for-all/) in early 2017

Intention to publish date

31/03/2017

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

Not provided at time of registration

IPD sharing plan summary

Stored in repository

Study outputs

Output typeDetailsDate createdDate addedPeer reviewed?Patient-facing?Funder report results01/07/201702/03/2022NoNo