A study to compare bladder function of healthy Asian and Caucasian women

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	Prospectively registered		
10/08/2007		☐ Protocol		
Registration date 26/10/2007	Overall study status Completed Condition category	Statistical analysis plan		
		[X] Results		
Last Edited		Individual participant data		
18/01/2012	Urological and Genital Diseases			

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr Douglas Tincello

Contact details

Reproductive Science Section
Cancer Studies and Molecular Medicine
Robert Kilpatrick Clinical Sciences Building
Leicester Royal Infirmary
PO Box 65
Leicester
United Kingdom
LE2 7LX
+44 (0)116 252 5813
dgt4@le.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

Study information

Scientific Title

Study objectives

Fundamental physiological differences in bladder physiology exist between women of Caucasian and Asian origin.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Approved by Nottinghamshire Local Research Ethics Committee on the 16th April 2007 (ref: 07 /Q2404/25)

Study design

Prospective observational cohort study.

Primary study design

Observational

Secondary study design

Cohort study

Study setting(s)

Other

Study type(s)

Other

Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Urinary incontinence

Interventions

Single urodynamic investigation with urethral pressure profile measurement.

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome measure

Mean bladder capacity in the two ethnic groups.

Secondary outcome measures

The following will be compared between the two ethinic groups:

- 1. Differences in mean residual volume
- 2. Maximum urinary flow rate
- 3. Average flow rate
- 4. Bladder volumes at defined sensations (first sensation of filling)
- 5. Detrusor pressure rise during filling
- 6. Detrusor pressure generated during voiding
- 7. Maximum urethral closure pressure
- 8. Functional urethral length

Overall study start date

01/06/2007

Completion date

31/05/2008

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Women of South Indian Asian or Caucasian ethnic origin (self-specified)
- 2. Willing to undergo urodynamic assessment

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Not Specified

Sex

Female

Target number of participants

70

Key exclusion criteria

- 1. History of urinary tract infection within 3 months
- 2. History of recurrent urinary tract infection (3+ episodes in 12 months)
- 3. Previous pregnancy beyond 12 weeks gestation
- 4. Currently pregnant
- 5. Previous gynaecological or urological surgery (not including termination of first trimester pregnancy)
- 6. History of urinary tract abnormality
- 7. History of detrusor overactivity, childhood bedwetting
- 8. Current use (within 3 weeks) of anticholinergic medication, duloxetine or other drug with effects upon the urinary tract

Date of first enrolment

Date of final enrolment 31/05/2008

Locations

Countries of recruitment

England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre Reproductive Science Section

Leicester United Kingdom LE2 7LX

Sponsor information

Organisation

University of Leicester (UK)

Sponsor details

University Road Leicester England United Kingdom LE1 7RH +44 (0)116 252 2522 dw33@le.ac.uk

Sponsor type

University/education

Website

http://www.le.ac.uk

ROR

https://ror.org/04h699437

Funder(s)

Funder type

Industry

Funder Name

UCB Pharma Ltd (UK)

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/07/2005		Yes	No