

European Carotid Surgery Trial 2

Submission date 05/07/2012	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 05/07/2012	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 23/04/2025	Condition category Circulatory System	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Atherosclerotic carotid stenosis is a narrowing of the carotid artery in the neck by fatty deposits. It is an important cause of stroke, and hence disability and premature death. Previous studies have shown that an operation to remove the narrowing, known as carotid endarterectomy (CEA), is more effective than treatment with tablets to prevent stroke. In some patients a treatment called stenting may be as effective as surgery. Stenting involves a wire mesh tube being inserted via an artery in the groin and opened up across the narrowing in the neck. However, drug treatment has improved since the original studies of surgery. We think medical treatment is now so effective that the benefits of removing the narrowing may not justify the risk of surgery or stenting in patients with a lower risk of stroke, such as those who have had no symptoms for some months or never had symptoms from the narrowing. The aim of this study is to determine whether these patients should be managed by drug treatment alone or should still be referred for surgery or stenting.

Who can participate?

Patients over 18 years of age with atherosclerotic carotid stenosis and at a lower risk of stroke.

What does the study involve?

Participants have their medication adjusted to reach the recommended levels for cholesterol and blood pressure, and receive advice about healthy lifestyle. Half of the patients are randomly allocated to have surgery or stenting as soon as possible, and the other half continue on medical treatment alone until such time, if ever, that revascularisation surgery becomes clearly indicated. Participants are seen regularly for several years to check their cholesterol and blood pressure remain on target and to record any surgical complications and the occurrence of strokes or heart attacks.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

The results will be used to help patients and doctors to choose which treatment plan is the safest and most effective. Both surgical endarterectomy and stenting carry a risk of causing a stroke at the time of the treatment. Previous studies showed a risk of stroke or death at the time of surgery or stenting of between 3 and 6 patients in every 100 patients. Treatment is not always successful and the carotid stenosis may recur and require further treatment or the artery may become blocked. A proportion of people treated with optimized medical treatment will also suffer stroke at some time during follow-up despite treatment. Stroke caused by surgery,

stenting or occurring during OMT may recover, cause permanent disablement or be fatal. Surgery also has a risk of causing a heart attack. About one in ten patients has cranial nerve palsy (temporary tongue or facial weakness). A haematoma (a solid swelling of clotted blood) may form at the site of incision, which may require removal. Angiography and stenting may also result in bruising or haematoma at the site of injection (usually in the groin) and can cause temporary discomfort or pain in the neck. There is a small risk of allergic reactions to the dye. The drugs used as part of OMT may cause adverse reactions or allergic reactions. The medical treatment that patients in both arms will receive will be carefully monitored and optimised with targets for control of blood pressure and lipid levels and advice on lifestyle. In the revascularisation group the surgeons and interventionists providing this treatment will have to show acceptable complication levels laid down in the protocol before their centre can be enrolled to randomise patients into the study. We have designed the protocol in such a way as to minimise risks to patients in both arms of the study and all patients should benefit from the optimisation and monitoring of their medical treatment.

Where is the study run from?

University College London, UK, University Hospital, Basel, Switzerland, and Amsterdam Medical Centre, The Netherlands.

(updated 10/11/2020, previously: The National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery (UK))

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

March 2012 to March 2025

Who is funding the study?

National Institute for Health Research (UK)

Who is the main contact?

Ekaterina Biggs

e.allso@ucl.ac.uk

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Prof Martin Brown

ORCID ID

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3273-1356>

Contact details

Stroke Research Group

Institute of Neurology, University College London

Box 6, The National Hospital

Queen Square

London

United Kingdom

WC1N 3BG

-

martin.brown@ucl.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number

11034

Study information

Scientific Title

European Carotid Surgery Trial 2 (ECST-2): a randomised controlled trial

Acronym

ECST 2

Study objectives

Narrowing of the carotid artery in the neck by fatty deposits is an important cause of stroke, and hence disability and premature death. Previous trials have shown that an operation to remove the narrowing, known as carotid endarterectomy (CEA), is more effective than treatment with tablets to prevent stroke. In some patients a treatment called stenting where a wire mesh tube is inserted via an artery in the groin and opened up across the narrowing in the neck may be as effective as surgery. However, drug therapy has improved since the original trials of surgery. The trialists think medical therapy is now so effective that the benefits of removing the narrowing may not justify the risk of surgery or stenting in patients with a lower risk of stroke e.g. those who have had no symptoms for some months from the narrowing or never had symptoms. They propose a clinical trial to determine whether these patients should be managed by drug therapy alone or should still be referred for surgery or stenting.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

National Research Ethics Service Committee – East of England, Cambridge Central, 19/10/2011, ref: 11/EE/0347

Study design

Randomised controlled interventional trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Stroke

Interventions

Immediate endarterectomy and optimised medical therapy.

All patients will have their medication adjusted to reach recommended levels for cholesterol and blood pressure, and receive advice about healthy lifestyle. Half the patients will be randomly allocated to have surgery or stenting as soon as possible, the other half will continue on medical treatment alone until such time, if ever, that revascularisation becomes clearly indicated. Patients will be seen regularly for several years to check their cholesterol and blood pressure remain on target and to record surgical complications and the occurrence of strokes or heart attacks. An interim safety analysis will be performed using MRI follow up to assess rates of new cerebral infarction and haemorrhage.

Intervention Type

Mixed

Primary outcome(s)

Any stroke at any time + non-stroke death within 30 days of endarterectomy

Key secondary outcome(s)

Added 06/05/2016:

The long-term rates of the following outcomes:

1. Ipsilateral stroke, confirmed/probable TIA, MI or any hospitalisation for vascular disease during follow up
2. Disabling stroke during follow up
3. New cerebral infarction or parenchymal haemorrhage on follow up MRI
4. Increase in white-matter changes on follow up MRI
5. Revascularisation during follow-up
6. Stenosis progression (defined as recurrent stenosis of the randomised artery after revascularisation, or progression in severity of stenosis in a non-revascularised artery)
7. The combination of stenosis progression or revascularisation during follow-up
8. Functional status as assessed by comparison of modified Rankin scale scores
9. The cost-effectiveness of carotid endarterectomy with OMT compared to OMT alone
10. Cognitive impairment or dementia during follow up reported by the investigator and measured by the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA)
11. Decline in functional status as assessed by an increase in the modified Rankin score (mRS)
12. Health-related quality of life and economic costs

Secondary analysis will also examine the risk factors for stroke, cognitive impairment and the other main outcome events during long term follow up (including the risks related to age, sex, symptoms, baseline brain imaging, centre and technique). In centres performing the relevant additional investigations, secondary analyses will examine the relationship between the main outcome events and baseline measures of plaque instability as determined by MR plaque imaging.

Completion date

31/03/2025

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. Patients over 18 years of age with atherosclerotic carotid stenosis equivalent to at least 50% measured using the North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial (NASCET) method
2. Patient is medically and neurologically stable and suitable for CEA or carotid artery stenting

(CAS)

3. Patients with a carotid artery risk (CAR) score indicating a 5-year ipsilateral stroke risk of <20%. This may include patients with asymptomatic stenosis or symptomatic stenosis associated with features (e.g. delayed presentation) indicating intermediate or lower risk, confirmed by CAR Score <20%

4. Clinicians are uncertain about which treatment modality is best for the individual patient

5. Patient or appropriate representative is able and willing to give informed consent

6. Male and female participants

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

18 years

Sex

All

Total final enrolment

429

Key exclusion criteria

1. Patients (or their representatives) unwilling to have either treatment modality

2. Patients unwilling or unable to participate in follow up for whatever reason

3. Patients with a Rankin score greater than 3 for any reason. Such patients may be eligible for inclusion at such time as they improve to a Rankin score of 3 or less

4. Patients who are medically or neurologically unstable or have progressing neurological signs. Such patients may be eligible for inclusion at such time as they become stable

5. Patients in whom it is planned to carry out coronary artery bypass grafting or other major surgery within one month of carotid stenting or endarterectomy

6. Patients with a CAR Score >20% or other reason for believing the patient would get clear benefit from CEA or CAS

7. Patients not suitable for either surgery or stenting due to anatomical factors

8. Carotid stenosis caused by nonatherosclerotic disease e.g. dissection, fibromuscular disease or neck radiotherapy

9. Previous CEA or stenting in the randomised artery

10. Patients who are known to be pregnant

11. Patients who have a life expectancy of less than two years due to a preexisting condition e.g. cancer

12. Patients intolerant or allergic to all of the medications available for optimised modern medical therapy

13. Patients in clinical trials of medicinal products (CTIMPS) or who have been in a CTIMP within the last 4 months will not be enrolled

14. Patients in other trials (both stroke related and non stroke related) may be enrolled where this would not conflict with the treatments used in ECST2 or place undue additional burdens on the patient

Date of first enrolment

23/03/2012

Date of final enrolment

31/10/2019

Locations

Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

England

Scotland

Canada

France

Germany

Italy

Netherlands

Switzerland

Study participating centre

The National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery

London

United Kingdom

WC1N 3BG

Study participating centre

University College London Hospital

United Kingdom

NW1 2BU

Study participating centre

Sheffield Teaching Hospitals

United Kingdom

S10 2JF

Study participating centre

Nottingham University Hospitals

United Kingdom

NG5 1PB

Study participating centre

Universitätsklinikum Magdeburg

Otto-von-Guericke-Universität

Germany

-

Study participating centre

Leeds General Infirmary

United Kingdom

LS1 3EX

Study participating centre

Calderdale & Huddersfield NHS Foundation Trust

United Kingdom

HD3 3EA

Study participating centre

Frimley Park Hospital

United Kingdom

GU16 7UJ

Study participating centre

Academic Medical Centre, Amsterdam and Flevoziekenhuis, Almere

Netherlands

-

Study participating centre

East Kent University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust,
United Kingdom
CT1 3NG

Study participating centre
Royal Devon and Exeter Hospital
United Kingdom
EX2 5DW

Study participating centre
Albert Schweitzer Hospital Dordrecht
Netherlands
-

Study participating centre
St George's Healthcare NHS Trust
United Kingdom
SW17 0QT

Study participating centre
Manchester Royal Infirmary
United Kingdom
M13 9WL

Study participating centre
NHS Ayrshire & Arran
United Kingdom
KA27 8AJ

Study participating centre
Stroke Centre, University Hospital Basel
Switzerland
-

Study participating centre

Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust
United Kingdom
BD9 6RJ

Study participating centre
University Hospital North Durham
United Kingdom
DH1 5TW

Study participating centre
University Hospital South Manchester
United Kingdom
M23 9LT

Study participating centre
Erasmus Medical Centre Rotterdam
Netherlands
-

Study participating centre
Dalhousie University
Halifax
Canada
-

Study participating centre
Hospices Civiles de Lyon
Lyon
France
-

Study participating centre
University of Leipzig
Leipzig
Germany
-

Study participating centre
Verona University Hospital
Verona
Italy

-

Study participating centre
Kantonsspital St. Gallen
St. Gallen
Switzerland

-

Study participating centre
NSI-Lugano
Lugano
Switzerland

-

Study participating centre
Maastricht University Medical Centre
Maastricht
Netherlands

-

Study participating centre
Radbound University Nijmegen Medical Centre
Nijmegen
Netherlands

-

Study participating centre
University Medical Center Utrecht
Utrecht
Netherlands

-

Study participating centre
Ashford and St Peter's Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust
Lynne

United Kingdom
KT16 0PZ

Study participating centre
Pennine Acute Hospitals NHS Trust
Crumpsall
United Kingdom
M8 5RB

Sponsor information

Organisation
University College London (UK)

ROR
<https://ror.org/02jx3x895>

Funder(s)

Funder type
Government

Funder Name
National Institute for Health Research

Alternative Name(s)
National Institute for Health Research, NIHR Research, NIHRresearch, NIHR - National Institute for Health Research, NIHR (The National Institute for Health and Care Research), NIHR

Funding Body Type
Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype
National government

Location
United Kingdom

Funder Name
Stroke Association

Alternative Name(s)

TheStrokeAssociation, TheStrokeAssoc

Funding Body Type

Private sector organisation

Funding Body Subtype

Associations and societies (private and public)

Location

United Kingdom

Funder Name

Schweizerischer Nationalfonds zur Förderung der Wissenschaftlichen Forschung

Alternative Name(s)

Schweizerischer Nationalfonds, Swiss National Science Foundation, Fonds National Suisse de la Recherche Scientifique, Fondo Nazionale Svizzero per la Ricerca Scientifica, Fonds National Suisse, Fondo Nazionale Svizzero, Schweizerische Nationalfonds, The Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF), SNF, SNSF, FNS

Funding Body Type

Private sector organisation

Funding Body Subtype

Trusts, charities, foundations (both public and private)

Location

Switzerland

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study will be available upon request from the chief investigator Prof. Martin Brown.

IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Protocol article		27/07/2022	28/07/2022	Yes	No
Interim results article	2-year interim results	20/04/2025	23/04/2025	Yes	No
Study website	Study website	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes