European Carotid Surgery Trial 2

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	Prospectively registered		
05/07/2012		[X] Protocol		
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan		
05/07/2012	Completed	Results		
Last Edited	Condition category	Individual participant data		
23/04/2025	Circulatory System	[X] Record updated in last year		

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Atherosclerotic carotid stenosis is a narrowing of the carotid artery in the neck by fatty deposits. It is an important cause of stroke, and hence disability and premature death. Previous studies have shown that an operation to remove the narrowing, known as carotid endarterectomy (CEA), is more effective than treatment with tablets to prevent stroke. In some patients a treatment called stenting may be as effective as surgery. Stenting involves a wire mesh tube being inserted via an artery in the groin and opened up across the narrowing in the neck. However, drug treatment has improved since the original studies of surgery. We think medical treatment is now so effective that the benefits of removing the narrowing may not justify the risk of surgery or stenting in patients with a lower risk of stroke, such as those who have had no symptoms for some months or never had symptoms from the narrowing. The aim of this study is to determine whether these patients should be managed by drug treatment alone or should still be referred for surgery or stenting.

Who can participate?

Patients over 18 years of age with atherosclerotic carotid stenosis and at a lower risk of stroke.

What does the study involve?

Participants have their medication adjusted to reach the recommended levels for cholesterol and blood pressure, and receive advice about healthy lifestyle. Half of the patients are randomly allocated to have surgery or stenting as soon as possible, and the other half continue on medical treatment alone until such time, if ever, that revascularisation surgery becomes clearly indicated. Participants are seen regularly for several years to check their cholesterol and blood pressure remain on target and to record any surgical complications and the occurrence of strokes or heart attacks.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

The results will be used to help patients and doctors to choose which treatment plan is the safest and most effective. Both surgical endarterectomy and stenting carry a risk of causing a stroke at the time of the treatment. Previous studies showed a risk of stroke or death at the time of surgery or stenting of between 3 and 6 patients in every 100 patients. Treatment is not always successful and the carotid stenosis may recur and require further treatment or the artery may become blocked. A proportion of people treated with optimized medical treatment will also suffer stroke at some time during follow-up despite treatment. Stroke caused by surgery,

stenting or occurring during OMT may recover, cause permanent disablement or be fatal. Surgery also has a risk of causing a heart attack. About one in ten patients has cranial nerve palsy (temporary tongue or facial weakness). A haematoma (a solid swelling of clotted blood) may form at the site of incision, which may require removal. Angiography and stenting may also result in bruising or haematoma at the site of injection (usually in the groin) and can cause temporary discomfort or pain in the neck. There is a small risk of allergic reactions to the dye. The drugs used as part of OMT may cause adverse reactions or allergic reactions. The medical treatment that patients in both arms will receive will be carefully monitored and optimised with targets for control of blood pressure and lipid levels and advice on lifestyle. In the revascularisation group the surgeons and interventionists providing this treatment will have to show acceptable complication levels laid down in the protocol before their centre can be enrolled to randomise patients into the study. We have designed the protocol in such a way as to minimise risks to patients in both arms of the study and all patients should benefit from the optimisation and monitoring of their medical treatment.

Where is the study run from?

University College London, UK, University Hospital, Basel, Switzerland, and Amsterdam Medical Centre, The Netherlands.

(updated 10/11/2020, previously: The National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery (UK))

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? March 2012 to March 2025

Who is funding the study? National Institute for Health Research (UK)

Who is the main contact? Ekaterina Biggs e.allsop@ucl.ac.uk

Study website

http://www.ecst-2.com/

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Prof Martin Brown

ORCID ID

http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3273-1356

Contact details

Stroke Research Group Institute of Neurology, University College London Box 6, The National Hospital Queen Square London United Kingdom _

martin.brown@ucl.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers 11034

Study information

Scientific Title

European Carotid Surgery Trial 2 (ECST-2): a randomised controlled trial

Acronym

ECST 2

Study objectives

Narrowing of the carotid artery in the neck by fatty deposits is an important cause of stroke, and hence disability and premature death. Previous trials have shown that an operation to remove the narrowing, known as carotid endarterectomy (CEA), is more effective than treatment with tablets to prevent stroke. In some patients a treatment called stenting where a wire mesh tube is inserted via an artery in the groin and opened up across the narrowing in the neck may be as effective as surgery. However, drug therapy has improved since the original trials of surgery. The trialists think medical therapy is now so effective that the benefits of removing the narrowing may not justify the risk of surgery or stenting in patients with a lower risk of stroke e.g. those who have had no symptoms for some months from the narrowing or never had symptoms. They propose a clinical trial to determine whether these patients should be managed by drug therapy alone or should still be referred for surgery or stenting.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

National Research Ethics Service Committee – East of England, Cambridge Central, 19/10/2011, ref: 11/EE/0347

Study design

Randomised controlled interventional trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Hospital

Study type(s)

Treatment

Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details to request a patient information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Stroke

Interventions

Immediate endartorectomy and optimised medical therapy.

All patients will have their medication adjusted to reach recommended levels for cholesterol and blood pressure, and receive advice about healthy lifestyle. Half the patients will be randomly allocated to have surgery or stenting as soon as possible, the other half will continue on medical treatment alone until such time, if ever, that revascularisation becomes clearly indicated. Patients will be seen regularly for several years to check their cholesterol and blood pressure remain on target and to record surgical complications and the occurrence of strokes or heart attacks. An interim safety analysis will be performed using MRI follow up to assess rates of new cerebral infarction and haemorrhage.

Intervention Type

Mixed

Primary outcome measure

Any stroke at any time + non-stroke death within 30 days of endartorectomy

Secondary outcome measures

Added 06/05/2016:

The long-term rates of the following outcomes:

- 1. Ipsilateral stroke, confirmed/probable TIA, MI or any hospitalisation for vascular disease during follow up
- 2. Disabling stroke during follow up
- 3. New cerebral infarction or parenchymal haemorrhage on follow up MRI
- 4. Increase in white-matter changes on follow up MRI
- 5. Revascularisation during follow-up
- 6. Stenosis progression (defined as recurrent stenosis of the randomised artery after revascularisation, or progression in severity of stenosis in a non-revascularised artery)
- 7. The combination of stenosis progression or revascularisation during follow-up
- 8. Functional status as assessed by comparison of modified Rankin scale scores
- 9. The cost-effectiveness of carotid endarterectomy with OMT compared to OMT alone
- 10. Cognitive impairment or dementia during follow up reported by the investigator and measured by the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA)
- 11. Decline in functional status as assessed by an increase in the modified Rankin score (mRS)
- 12. Health-related quality of life and economic costs

Secondary analysis will also examine the risk factors for stroke, cognitive impairment and the other main outcome events during long term follow up (including the risks related to age, sex, symptoms, baseline brain imaging, centre and technique). In centres performing the relevant additional investigations, secondary analyses will examine the relationship between the main outcome events and baseline measures of plaque instability as determined by MR plaque imaging.

Overall study start date

23/03/2012

Completion date

31/03/2025

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Patients over 18 years of age with atherosclerotic carotid stenosis equivalent to at least 50% measured using the North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial (NASCET) method
- 2. Patient is medically and neurologically stable and suitable for CEA or carotid artery stenting (CAS)
- 3. Patients with a carotid artery risk (CAR) score indicating a 5-year ipsilateral stroke risk of <20%. This may include patients with asymptomatic stenosis or symptomatic stenosis associated with features (e.g. delayed presentation) indicating intermediate or lower risk, confirmed by CAR Score <20%
- 4. Clinicians are uncertain about which treatment modality is best for the individual patient
- 5. Patient or appropriate representative is able and willing to give informed consent
- 6. Male and female participants

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

18 Years

Sex

Both

Target number of participants

UK Sample Size: 200

Total final enrolment

429

Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Patients (or their representatives) unwilling to have either treatment modality
- 2. Patients unwilling or unable to participate in follow up for whatever reason

- 3. Patients with a Rankin score greater than 3 for any reason. Such patients may be eligible for inclusion at such time as they improve to a Rankin score of 3 or less
- 4. Patients who are medically or neurologically unstable or have progressing neurological signs. Such patients may be eligible for inclusion at such time as they become stable
- 5. Patients in whom it is planned to carry out coronary artery bypass grafting or other major surgery within one month of carotid stenting or endarterectomy
- 6. Patients with a CAR Score >20% or other reason for believing the patient would get clear benefit from CEA or CAS
- 7. Patients not suitable for either surgery or stenting due to anatomical factors
- 8. Carotid stenosis caused by nonatherosclerotic disease e.g. dissection, fibromuscular disease or neck radiotherapy
- 9. Previous CEA or stenting in the randomised artery
- 10. Patients who are known to be pregnant
- 11. Patients who have a life expectancy of less than two years due to a preexisting condition e.g. cancer
- 12. Patients intolerant or allergic to all of the medications available for optimised modern medical therapy
- 13. Patients in clinical trials of medicinal products (CTIMPS) or who have been in a CTIMP within the last 4 months will not be enrolled
- 14. Patients in other trials (both stroke related and non stroke related) may be enrolled where this would not conflict with the treatments used in ECST2 or place undue additional burdens on the patient

Date of first enrolment 23/03/2012

Date of final enrolment 31/10/2019

Locations

Countries of recruit Canada	tment		
England			
France			
Germany			
Italy			
Netherlands			

United Kingdom

Scotland

Switzerland

Study participating centre The National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery London United Kingdom WC1N 3BG

Study participating centre
University College London Hospital
United Kingdom
NW1 2BU

Study participating centre Sheffield Teaching Hospitals United Kingdom S10 2JF

Study participating centre
Nottingham University Hospitals
United Kingdom
NG5 1PB

Study participating centre
Universitätsklinikum Magdeburg
Otto-von-Guericke-Universität
Germany

Study participating centre Leeds General Infirmary United Kingdom LS1 3EX

Study participating centre
Calderdale & Huddersfield NHS Foundation Trust
United Kingdom
HD3 3EA

Study participating centre Frimley Park Hospital United Kingdom GU16 7UJ

Study participating centre Academic Medical Centre, Amsterdam and Flevoziekenhuis, Almere Netherlands

Study participating centre

East Kent University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust,
United Kingdom
CT1 3NG

Study participating centre Royal Devon and Exeter Hospital United Kingdom EX2 5DW

Study participating centre Albert Schweitzer Hospital Dordrecht Netherlands

Study participating centre
St George's Healthcare NHS Trust
United Kingdom
SW17 0QT

Study participating centre Manchester Royal Infirmary United Kingdom M13 9WL

Study participating centre

NHS Ayrshire & Arran United Kingdom KA27 8AJ

Study participating centre Stroke Centre, University Hospital BaselSwitzerland

Study participating centre
Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust
United Kingdom
BD9 6RJ

Study participating centre
University Hospital North Durham
United Kingdom
DH1 5TW

Study participating centre
University Hospital South Manchester
United Kingdom
M23 9LT

Study participating centre Erasmus Medical Centre RotterdamNetherlands

Study participating centre
Dalhousie University
Halifax
Canada

_

Study participating centre

Hospices Civiles de Lyon

Lyon France

_

Study participating centre University of Leipzig

Leipzig Germany

_

Study participating centre Verona University Hospital

Verona Italy

_

Study participating centre Kantonsspital St. Gallen

St. Gallen Switzerland

-

Study participating centre NSI-Lugano

Lugano Switzerland

-

Study participating centre Maastricht University Medical Centre

Maastricht Netherlands

_

Study participating centre

Radbound University Nijmegen Medical Centre

Nijmegen Netherlands

_

Study participating centre University Medical Center Utrecht

Utrecht Netherlands

-

Study participating centre Ashford and St Peter's Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

Lynne United Kingdom KT16 0PZ

Study participating centre Pennine Acute Hospitals NHS Trust

Crumpsall United Kingdom M8 5RB

Sponsor information

Organisation

University College London (UK)

Sponsor details

Institute of Neurology Queen Square London England United Kingdom WC1N 3BG

Sponsor type

University/education

Website

http://www.ucl.ac.uk/

ROR

https://ror.org/02jx3x895

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

National Institute for Health Research

Alternative Name(s)

National Institute for Health Research, NIHR Research, NIHRresearch, NIHR - National Institute for Health Research, NIHR (The National Institute for Health and Care Research), NIHR

Funding Body Type

Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype

National government

Location

United Kingdom

Funder Name

Stroke Association

Alternative Name(s)

Funding Body Type

Private sector organisation

Funding Body Subtype

Associations and societies (private and public)

Location

United Kingdom

Funder Name

Schweizerischer Nationalfonds zur Förderung der Wissenschaftlichen Forschung

Alternative Name(s)

Schweizerischer Nationalfonds, Swiss National Science Foundation, Fonds National Suisse de la Recherche Scientifique, Fondo Nazionale Svizzero per la Ricerca Scientifica, Fonds National Suisse, Fondo Nazionale Svizzero, Schweizerische Nationalfonds, SNF, SNSF, FNS

Funding Body Type

Private sector organisation

Funding Body Subtype

Trusts, charities, foundations (both public and private)

Location

Switzerland

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Publication of the main results will be submitted to a high-impact peer reviewed journal within one year after completion of randomisation and planned follow up.

Intention to publish date

31/12/2023

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study will be available upon request from the chief investigator Prof. Martin Brown.

IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<u>Protocol article</u>	2-year interim results	27/07/2022	28/07/2022	Yes	No
Interim results article		20/04/2025	23/04/2025	Yes	No