

# The OPEN Trial: Open Urethroplasty versus Endoscopic Urethrotomy: Clarifying the management of men with recurrent urethral stricture

<b>Submission date</b> 28/11/2012	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 29/11/2012	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 05/05/2021	<b>Condition category</b> Urological and Genital Diseases	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

About 60,000 younger men aged less than 65 years in the UK suffer from a narrowing of the urinary channel just beyond the bladder (bulbar urethral stricture). The stricture causes trouble passing urine which is embarrassing for men and often leads to urine infection requiring time off work and visits to their doctor. The standard initial treatment is stretching of the urethra with a telescope (endoscopic urethrotomy), and about 15,000 of these procedures are carried out in the United Kingdom (UK) National Health Service (NHS) each year at a cost of over £10 million. This doesn't usually cure the problem and men have to come back for repeated urethrotomies, typically every two years, resulting in a continued burden for patients, ongoing costs for the NHS, and damage to healthy parts of the urethra. Recently a new operation called open urethroplasty has been developed whereby the urethra is surgically reconstructed through an incision in the skin between the legs. Open urethroplasty seems to have a much higher chance of curing the problem, preventing further symptoms and the need for regular stretches. It does however require more surgical expertise, and men have to have a urinary catheter in place for 2 weeks rather than the 2 days with urethrotomy. At present we don't know for sure which procedure is best suited to stopping recurrence of the stricture and improving men's symptoms, and which provides best value for money to the NHS. Because of this uncertainty, doctors find it difficult to recommend one treatment or another and the men themselves are unable to make an informed choice between the treatments. To resolve this dilemma we intend to carry out a randomised clinical study in a group of men with recurrent urethral strictures to find out which procedure is most effective, and use the results to predict the health benefits for these men and the financial implications for the NHS, over 10 years. Our research questions are: Do open urethroplasty and endoscopic urethrotomy for treatment of men with urethral stricture differ regarding effective symptom relief? Does open urethroplasty provide better value in terms of cost and health benefit than endoscopic urethrotomy for both patients and the NHS?

### Who can participate?

We plan to recruit at least 500 men who have a recurrent bulbar urethral stricture. They must be

aged 16 or over, have had at least one previous intervention for stricture and be prepared and able to have either an open urethroplasty or an optical urethotomy.

What does the study involve?

The study will be carried out in up to 50 UK NHS hospitals. Participants will be randomly allocated to either an open urethroplasty or an optical urethotomy. Care received by participants will follow routine clinical practice in each hospital. We will monitor all the participants for 2 years following their treatment, measuring change in symptoms, general well-being, urinary flow rate and rate of recurrence of the stricture. We will record any problems men encounter linked to the operation such as infections and needing time off work, and collect information on the costs. We will better understand patient views by interviewing up to 20 potential participants in the early part of the study to find out how they weigh up the pros and cons of each treatment and decide which is best for them. This will help identify factors that influence men in making a choice between the treatments.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Those randomised to urethroplasty will potentially benefit from more effective treatment and both groups will have their disease more closely monitored than is usual. The study results will help shape presentation of treatment options to future men with stricture. Potential participants will need to understand the uncertainty as to which treatment is best and accept allocation to one or other treatment by computer.

Where is the study run from?

The study is managed by the Newcastle Clinical Trials Unit based at Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK.

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

The study started in November 2012 and is planned to end in February 2017. Participant recruitment is scheduled to start in February 2013 and end in January 2015.

Who is funding the study?

National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment (HTA) Programme and the Clinical Evaluation and Trials Board.

Who is the main contact?

Robert Pickard  
robert.pickard@newcastle.ac.uk

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Dr Rachel Stephenson

### Contact details

Newcastle Clinical Trials Unit  
Institute of Health & Society  
4th Floor, William Leech Building  
Medical School

Newcastle University  
Newcastle upon Tyne  
United Kingdom  
NE2 4HH  
+44 (0)191 208 3819  
rachel.stephenson@newcastle.ac.uk

## **Additional identifiers**

### **Protocol serial number**

HTA 10/57/23, 13507

## **Study information**

### **Scientific Title**

Clarifying the management of men with recurrent urethral stricture: A pragmatic multicentre randomised superiority trial of open urethroplasty versus endoscopic urethrotomy

### **Acronym**

OPEN

### **Study objectives**

Every year over 4,000 men in the United Kingdom require surgery for recurrent bulbar urethral stricture, a narrowing of the urinary channel just beyond the bladder. The stricture causes trouble passing urine which is embarrassing for men and often leads to urine infection. The standard treatment is stretching of the urethra with a telescope - endoscopic urethrotomy, but this doesn't usually cure the problem and men have to come back for repeated surgery, typically every two years. Recently a new operation called open urethroplasty has been developed whereby the urethra is surgically reconstructed through an incision in the skin beneath the scrotum. Open urethroplasty seems to have a much higher chance of curing the problem, preventing further symptoms and the need for regular stretches, but is more invasive. At present we don't know for sure which procedure is best for symptom relief and has the lowest rate of recurrence. Urologists treating men with urethral stricture find it difficult to recommend one treatment or another and the men themselves are unable to make an informed treatment choice. We therefore intend to carry out a randomised clinical trial in a group of men with recurrent bulbar urethral strictures to find out which procedure is most effective, and use the results to predict the health benefits for these men and the financial implications for the NHS, over 10 years.

### **Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

### **Ethics approval(s)**

Newcastle and North Tyneside 1, first MREC approval date 22/10/2012, ref: 12/NE/0343

### **Study design**

Pragmatic multicentre randomised superiority trial

### **Primary study design**

Interventional

**Study type(s)**

Treatment

**Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Recurrent urethral stricture

**Interventions**

We need to randomise at least 500 men recruited from NHS hospitals to undergo either of the two options of endoscopic urethrotomy and open urethroplasty and then follow their progress over 2 years. During this period we will repeatedly measure urinary symptoms, quality of life, adverse effects including need for further surgery, and costs. The difference in improvement of urinary symptoms over the 24 months will be the primary outcome for the trial.

**Intervention Type**

Procedure/Surgery

**Primary outcome(s)**

ICIQ-Male Short Form questionnaire repeated measurement over 24 months following intervention

**Key secondary outcome(s)**

No secondary outcome measures

**Completion date**

01/02/2017

**Eligibility****Key inclusion criteria**

1. Adult men aged 16 years or older
2. Stricture located predominantly in bulbar urethra
3. Undergone at least one previous intervention (urethrotomy, dilatation, or urethroplasty) for bulbar stricture
4. Clinician and patient agreement that intervention is required
5. Suitable for general or regional anaesthesia of up to 3 hours duration
6. Willingness to have 2 week period of catheterisation
7. Provided written informed consent for participation in the study prior to any study specific procedures

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

**Age group**

Adult

**Sex**

Male

**Total final enrolment**

222

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Age less than 16 years
2. Stricture extending into membranous urethra or predominantly sited in penile urethra
3. Presence of perineal sepsis and/or fistula
4. No previous intervention for bulbar stricture
5. High anaesthetic risk or inability to adhere to protocol due to comorbidity
6. Inability or unwillingness to provide informed consent to randomisation
7. Previous participation in this study

**Date of first enrolment**

01/02/2013

**Date of final enrolment**

31/01/2015

**Locations****Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

England

**Study participating centre****Newcastle Clinical Trials Unit**

Newcastle upon Tyne

United Kingdom

NE2 4HH

**Sponsor information****Organisation**

Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust (UK)

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/05p40t847>

**Funder(s)****Funder type**

Government

### Funder Name

Health Technology Assessment Programme

### Alternative Name(s)

NIHR Health Technology Assessment Programme, Health Technology Assessment (HTA), HTA

### Funding Body Type

Government organisation

### Funding Body Subtype

National government

### Location

United Kingdom

## Results and Publications

### Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

#### IPD sharing plan summary

#### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	27/03/2017		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/11/2020	25/11/2020	Yes	No
<a href="#">Protocol article</a>	protocol	30/12/2015		Yes	No
<a href="#">Other publications</a>	cost effectiveness analysis	03/05/2021	05/05/2021	Yes	No
<a href="#">Study website</a>	Study website	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes