

Gymnasium for Robotic Rehabilitation (Gimnasio de Rehabilitación Robótica)

Submission date 13/07/2015	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 10/08/2015	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 19/09/2016	Condition category Circulatory System	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Stroke is a condition that affects about 15 million people every year. It is caused by an interruption of the blood supply to the brain caused by rupture of a blood vessel or its obstruction. Today, stroke is one of the main causes of disability in the developing and the developed world. It is believed that intensive long-term therapy can diminish the level of disability, but for many people, especially in developing countries, treatment is not affordable. The aim of this study is to find if a gymnasium for robotic rehabilitation can provide a cost and labor effective alternative therapy for stroke patients.

Who can participate?

Patients between 21 and 75 years old, diagnosed with hemiplegia (paralysis of one side of the body) from a stroke that occurred no less than 6 months before the study.

What does the study involve?

Participants will be randomly assigned to one of two groups. One of the groups will receive 24 sessions of traditional therapy, which consists of physical and occupational therapy according with the recommendations of the physician. The other group will receive the same 24 sessions of therapy in the robotic gym, consisting of several workstations based on game therapies and occupational therapy. Each station is configured specifically for the needs of each person and changes depending the person's progress.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Possible benefits for the participant include improvement in arm and/or leg function, but this is not guaranteed. Risks associated with the participation in this study include frustration, fatigue, discomfort, soreness, swelling and skin irritation.

Where is the study run from?

Centro de Rehabilitación y Educación Especial (CREE) (Mexico).

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

From November 2010 to February 2014.

Who is funding the study?
Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (Mexico).

Who is the main contact?
Dr Michelle Johnson
johnmic@mail.med.upenn.edu

Contact information

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Dr Michelle Johnson

Contact details
University of Pennsylvania
1800 Lombard Street
Philadelphia
United States of America
19146
+1 (0)215 893 2665
johnmic@mail.med.upenn.edu

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number
N/A

Study information

Scientific Title
Gymnasium for Robotic Rehabilitation (Gimnasio de Rehabilitación Robótica): a randomized controlled trial

Acronym
Robot Gym

Study objectives
The hypothesis of the protocol is that the "Gymnasium for Robotic Rehabilitation" (Robot Gym) is capable to provide a low-cost and labor efficient alternative to post stroke rehabilitation. All this while being more or as efficient as traditional therapies in the State of Chihuahua, Mexico.

Ethics approval required
Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

1. Marquette University Institutional Review Board, 04/03/2011
2. Comité del Centro de Rehabilitación y Educación Especial Turno Vespertino (Committee of the Center for Rehabilitation and Special Education Afternoon Shift), 23/09/2011

Study design

Single-centre interventional randomized controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Quality of life

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Stroke

Interventions

Patients were randomly allocated to receive either traditional or Robot Gym rehabilitation therapy.

The robot Gym therapy consist of six stations of computer and motor-assisted devices which aid in the cognitive enhancement and motor rehabilitation of upper and lower extremities. The first station is formed by a low cost system for arm rehabilitation called Theradrive; this device allows patients to realize therapy while playing commercial videogames with a Logitech wheel. Second and third stations house the Bioness devices (NESS H200 and L300), which provides functional electrical stimulation to hand and foot, respectively. The MOTOMed devices are on the fourth and fifth stations to help motor rehabilitation on the upper and lower limbs through a series of games played by doing movements similar to bicycling. The last station is formed by the Captains Log Brain-Trainer, which is a commercial cognitive rehabilitation therapy system to improve neuroplasticity.

The traditional therapy is formed by the standard physical therapy, which includes physical and occupational therapy, personalized for each patient. This type of therapy seeks to improve the range of motion and muscle strength, as well as improve the performance of activities of daily living.

Intervention Type

Device

Primary outcome(s)

All selected patients will receive the following tests to measure our primary outcomes one week before the start of the 24 therapy sessions and one week after the end of the 24 sessions:

1. Kinetic and kinematic of lower and upper extremity data using the motion analysis lab
2. Motivational survey
3. Fugl Meyer for upper and lower extremities
4. Functional Test
5. Box and blocks
6. Timed Get up and Go
7. Six Minutes Walk
8. Ten Meters Walk

Key secondary outcome(s)

1. Minimental Test at the initial consult with the physiatrist, approximately 2 weeks before the 24 sessions of therapy and during the final consult 2 weeks after the end of the intervention.
3. Geriatric Depression Scale at the initial consult with the physiatrist, approximately 2 weeks before the 24 sessions of therapy and during the final consult 2 weeks after the end of the intervention.
3. Pain and exercise survey (using visual analogue scale) are performed every third session starting from session one through the end of the sessions
4. Motor Activity Log applied one week before the beginning of therapy and one week after the end of the 24th session
5. Visual Neglect Test (Albert's test) at the initial consult with the physiatrist, approximately 2 weeks before the 24 sessions of therapy and during the final consult 2 weeks after the end of the intervention.

Completion date

12/02/2014

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. Patients who were clinically diagnosed with hemiplegia from stroke occurred no less than 6 months prior to the study
2. Medically stable
3. Between 21 and 75 years old
4. The subject must have the left side affected
5. The subject must have the ability to sit for 60 minutes and to stand assisted or unassisted for 30-40 minutes
6. They must not be more than mildly depressed and moderately cognitively disabled
7. Must have residual motion in shoulder and elbow, and residual movement in leg flexion, extension and hip adduction (Brunnstrom scale ranging from 2 to 5, Ashworth scale over 4 and Manual Muscular Test >1 and <3)

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Mixed

Sex

All

Key exclusion criteria

1. Psychiatric disorders
2. Excessive spasticity in upper or lower extremities that prevent engaging in therapy activities
3. Excessive joint pain

4. Pregnant or breastfeeding
5. More than a score of four in Ashworth test
6. Unwillingness to participate in the protocol

Date of first enrolment

01/01/2012

Date of final enrolment

30/09/2013

Locations

Countries of recruitment

Mexico

Study participating centre**Centro de Rehabilitación y Educación Especial (CREE)**

5th street and Samaniego street W/N

Santa Rosa

Chihuahua

Mexico

31060

Sponsor information

Organisation

Marquette University (USA)

ROR

<https://ror.org/04gr4te78>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología

Alternative Name(s)

Consejo Nacional de Humanidades, Ciencias y Tecnologías, Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, National Council of Humanities, Sciences and Technologies, Mexican National

Council of Science and Technology, National Council for Science and Technology (CONACyT),
National Council of Science and Technology, Mexico, Conahcyt

Funding Body Type

Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype

National government

Location

Mexico

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	15/09/2016		Yes	No