# Subsensory sacral neuromodulation for incontinence

Submission date 04/09/2017	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<ul><li>[X] Prospectively registered</li><li>[X] Protocol</li></ul>
<b>Registration date</b> 25/09/2017	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<ul> <li>[_] Statistical analysis plan</li> <li>[X] Results</li> </ul>
Last Edited 04/06/2024	<b>Condition category</b> Digestive System	Individual participant data

# Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Faecal incontinence (FI) is when you do not have control over defecation. A relatively new treatment called sacral neuromodulation (SNM) is now commonly offered to adults suffering with FI. Suitable patients include those with faecal incontinence caused by childbirth, surgery, and advancing age. A battery powered unit is implanted into the lower back. This is connected to electrodes which rest on the nerves in the lower spine. This stimulator then continuously sends electrical impulses to the nerves and muscles that control the lower bowel (rectum and anus). The result is improved continence. Previous studies have reported a great benefit of SNM in some patients. Unfortunately, other patients can have little or no response. We are still unsure about how SNM restores bowel control, and we still do not know with certainty how effective SNM really is. SNM costs on average £10,000 per patient just for the equipment and is not without its risks and side-effects. It is therefore vital that these questions are answered. The aim of this study is to establish how SNM works and how well SNM works. These specialist tests will study their anal and rectal function as well as their corresponding brain activity.

Who can participate?

Adults aged 18-75 who have faecal incontinence.

What does the study involve?

Participants are randomly allocated to one of two groups. Those in the first group receive 16 weeks of therapy using the SNM and those in the second group receive 16 weeks of placebo (dummy) therapy. At the end of 16 groups the participants switch treatments. Participants are assessed for their quality of life and faecel incontinence symptoms. Participants are followed up to one year.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Participants may benefit from receiving a high standard of surgery using the latest technical optimisation and monitored scare. They are reimbursed for reasonable travel expenses. There are no major risks to participants above the standard risk of SNM therapy. SNM is an established therapy whose main attraction is non-invasiveness and safety compared to other surgical

procedures. The small period (3 months) without active therapy imposed by the crossover design is not deemed 'harmful' for a chronic and stable condition by the time surgical intervention is considered.

Where is the study run from?

This study is being run by Queen Mary University of London (UK) and takes place in several NHS specialist centres in the UK and in the EU.

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? April 2017 to February 2023

Who is funding the study? National Institute for Health Research (UK)

Who is the main contact? 1. Miss Eleanor McAlees e.mcalees@qmul.ac.uk 2. Mrs Kerry Tubby k.tubby@qmul.ac.uk

Study website

www.blizard.qmul.ac.uk/subsonic.html

# **Contact information**

**Type(s)** Public

**Contact name** Miss Eleanor McAlees

## **Contact details**

Senior Clinical Trials Research Nurse National Bowel Research Centre (NBRC) Blizard Institute, Queen Mary University of London Barts & the London School of Medicine & Dentistry 1st Floor Abernethy Building, 2 Newark St Whitechapel London United Kingdom E1 2AT +44 (0)207 882 8751 e.mcalees@qmul.ac.uk

**Type(s)** Public

**Contact name** Mrs Kerry Tubby

**Contact details** 

National Bowel Research Centre (NBRC) Blizard Institute, Queen Mary University of London Barts & the London School of Medicine & Dentistry 1st Floor Abernethy Building, 2 Newark St Whitechapel London United Kingdom E1 2AT

# Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

**IRAS number** 

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers 34463

# Study information

## Scientific Title

SUBsensory Sacral Neuromodulation for InContinence: Randomised double-blind efficacy and mechanism study of sub-sensory sacral (optimised) neuromodulation in adults with faecal incontinence

Acronym

SUBSoNIC

## Study objectives

The aim of this study is to determine clinical effectiveness of sacral nerve-root stimulation: sacral neuromodulation (SNM) using a commercially-available implantable device, Medtronic Interstim ® in adults with Faecal Incontinence failing conservative treatment.

## Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

**Ethics approval(s)** SUBsensory Sacral Neuromodulation for InContinence - SUBSoNIC, 13/09/2017, ref: 17/LO/1060

Study design

Randomised; Both; Design type: Treatment, Device, Complex Intervention, Surgery, Cohort study

**Primary study design** Interventional

**Secondary study design** Randomised controlled trial

#### **Study setting(s)** Hospital

# Study type(s)

Treatment

**Participant information sheet** See additional files

## Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Faecal incontinence

#### Interventions

In contrast to a traditional clinical trial, the design of this trial allows all participants to receive the treatment. This is possible by using a crossover design i.e. one where after implantation of the stimulator all participants receive a period of real therapy (SNM: device on but at an unperceived level of stimulation) compared to a period of sham (placebo) therapy. The effects of SNM can then be compared while both the patients and the research team are unaware of whether the stimulation is SNM or sham. This is called 'double-blinding' and is the gold standard for determining what the true effects of the treatment are.

Suitably eligible patients consenting to take part in the study undergo surgery to receive the SNM device (Medtronic Interstim II Model 3058) and be randomised to two equal groups (Group 1 and Group 2 above). Both groups receive 16 weeks with SNM and 16 weeks with SHAM (in different order). At the beginning and part way through (+6 weeks) each phase, participants attend a reprogramming of the SNM device. At the end of the 16 weeks they cross over to the other group (SNM to SHAM or SHAM to SNM). Assessments take the form of four week bowel diary and quality of life questionnaires/FI symptom scores. These are completed at baseline (prior to SNM implantation & randomisation) and at the end of each 16 week phase.

After completion of both 16 week phases (SNM & SHAM), all participants are then followed up to the one year time-point with all stimulators left SNM and patient decisive stimulation level.

## Intervention Type

Device

**Phase** Not Applicable

Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s) Medtronic Interstim ®

## Primary outcome measure

The reduction in FI events in SNM vs. SHAM using a 4 week bowel diary in paper format between 12 and 16 and between 28 and 32 weeks

## Secondary outcome measures

A variety of quality of life questionnaire and bowel diary measures recorded at 16, 32 and 58 weeks:

1. E-event recorder including episodes of faecal material, leakage of flatus, urgency without incontinence, social and physical activity (see figure 4 below);

2. Other bowel diary measures: Urgency, Urge and passive faecal incontinence episodes, use of loperamide and social functioning;

3. Summative questionnaire assessments: St Mark's continence score52; OAB-Q SF score, FI QoL score53; International Consultation on Incontinence Bowel (SF-ICIQ-B) questionnaire54.

4. Viscerosensory bowel diary recording quality, site and intensity of defaecatory urge 5. Generic OOL: EQ-5D-5L

6. Likert scale of patient's global impression of treatment success (scale 0-10) and patient perception of group allocation (blinding success).

7. Electrode settings (inc. motor, first and habituated sensory thresholds), programming, & if applicable re-programming data

8. Adverse events and morbidity.

Mechanistic outcomes

1. Advanced anorectal physiology

2. Anocortical neurophysiology

Overall study start date

01/04/2017

# **Completion date**

28/02/2023

# Eligibility

# Key inclusion criteria

1. Adults aged 18-80 (updated 25/07/2019, previously: 75)

2. Meet Rome III and ICI definitions of FI (recurrent involuntary loss of faecal material that is a social or hygienic problem and not a consequence of an acute diarrhoeal illness)

3. Failure of non-surgical treatments to the NICE standards. Minimum NICE standard includes; diet, bowel habit and toilet access addressed. Medication e.g. loperamide, advice on incontinence products, pelvic floor muscle training, biofeedback and rectal irrigation should be offered if appropriate.

4. Minimum severity criteria of 8 FI episodes in a 4 week screening period (this is important to exclude patients who might thence have zero FI episodes during baseline evaluations) 5. Ability to understand written and spoken English or relevant language in European centres (due to questionnaire validity)

6. Ability and willingness to give informed consent

All participants will have been determined as clinically suitable for SNM based on clinical evaluation and subsequent multidisciplinary team discussion (as mandated by NHS England specialist commissioning guidance) or equivalent guidance in other participating EU countries.

Participant type(s) Patient

**Age group** Adult

**Lower age limit** 18 Years

# Upper age limit

80 Years

**Sex** Both

**Target number of participants** Planned Sample Size: 90; UK Sample Size: 80

# Total final enrolment

39

# Key exclusion criteria

A standard list of exclusions (disease variants; surgical fitness, specific contraindications to implantation) will be used. Note that these are routine clinical exclusions to the use of SNM rather than participation in the research. For completion:

- 1. Known communication between the anal and vaginal tracts
- 2. Prior diagnosis of congenital anorectal malformations
- 3. Previous rectal surgery (rectopexy/resection) performed < 12 months ago (24 months for cancer)
- 4. Present evidence or past history of full thickness rectal prolapse
- 5. Prior diagnosis of chronic inflammatory bowel diseases
- 6. Displays symptoms of chronic constipation with over-flow incontinence

7. Structural abnormality of the pelvic floor leading to clear evidence of obstructed defaecation based on examination and/or imaging

8. Symptoms of significant evacuatory dysfunction based on Obstructive Defecation Syndrome Score > = 8

- 9. Presence of active perianal sepsis (including pilonidal sinus)
- 10. Defunctioning loop or end stoma in situ

11. Diagnosed with neurological diseases, such as diabetic neuropathy, multiple sclerosis and Parkinson's disease

- 12. Current or future need for MR imaging based on clinical history
- 13. Complete or partial spinal cord injury
- 14. Bleeding disorders e.g. haemophilia, warfarin therapy
- 15. Pregnancy or intention to become pregnant during the study period
- 16. Not fit for preferred method of anaesthesia

17. Anatomical limitations that would prevent successful placement of an electrode including congenital abnormalities

18. Psychiatric or physical inability to comply with the study protocol (inc. e-diary assessments) at investigator discretion

19. Required to drive for long periods of time for example lorry drivers, taxi drivers and delivery drivers.

# Date of first enrolment

01/10/2017

# Date of final enrolment

23/06/2022

# Locations

#### **Countries of recruitment** England

England

Scotland

United Kingdom

# Study participating centre

**The Royal London Hospital** Whitechapel Road Whitechapel London United Kingdom E1 1BB

#### Study participating centre Addenbrooke's Hospital

Department of General Surgery Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust Hills Road Cambridge United Kingdom CB2 0QQ

#### Study participating centre Queen Elizabeth Hospital

University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Trust Mindelsohn Way Edgbaston West Midlands Birmingham United Kingdom B15 2TH

# Study participating centre

Western General Hospital Lothian NHS Trust Crewe Road South Edinburgh, Midlothian Edinburgh United Kingdom EH4 2XU

#### **Study participating centre St Marks Hospital** Watford Road Harrow United Kingdom

HA1 3UJ

#### **Study participating centre University College Hospital** London United Kingdom NW1 2BU

#### Study participating centre Wythenshawe Hospital

University Hospital of South Manchester Southmoor Road Manchester United Kingdom M23 9LT

# Study participating centre

Southampton General Hospital

University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust Tremona Road Hampshire Southampton United Kingdom SO16 6YD

**Study participating centre Leicester Royal infirmary** Infirmary Square, Leicester United Kingdom LE1 5WW

**Study participating centre Churchill Hospital** Old Road Headington United Kingdom OX3 7LE

# Study participating centre Derriford Hospital

Derriford Road Crownhill Plymouth United Kingdom PL6 8DH

Study participating centre Sandwell Hospital Sandwell and West Birmingham NHS Trust Priory 2 Sandwell Hospital Lyndon West Bromwich United Kingdom B71 4HJ

#### **Study participating centre St. Peter's Hospital,** Ashford & St. Peter's Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Guildford Road Surrey Chertsey United Kingdom KT16 0PZ

# Sponsor information

**Organisation** Queen Mary University of London

**Sponsor details** Joint Research Management Office 5 Walden Street London England United Kingdom E1 2EF

**Sponsor type** University/education

## ROR

https://ror.org/026zzn846

# Funder(s)

**Funder type** Government

**Funder Name** National Institute for Health Research

#### Alternative Name(s)

National Institute for Health Research, NIHR Research, NIHRresearch, NIHR - National Institute for Health Research, NIHR (The National Institute for Health and Care Research), NIHR

Funding Body Type Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype National government

**Location** United Kingdom

# **Results and Publications**

## Publication and dissemination plan

Planned publication in a high-impact peer reviewed journal as well as:

1. Study participants and carers: feedback to individual participants, users and carers who have been involved in, or otherwise contributed to, the trial);

2. Charity links and patient groups: results of the studies will be disseminated using the strong web-based and media infrastructure already developed by the Charity Bowel and Cancer Research (B&CR). This infrastructure includes the B&CR website (www.bowelcancerresearch.org which has 2,500 unique web visitors monthly), social media e.g. Facebook site (12,000 followers and), Twitter, and a public relations officer (a free-lance journalist who is employed by B&CR for one day per week who will help develop and edit press releases: 50 local and national news publications in 2012). B&CR is dedicated to breaking down the taboos concerning discussion of bowel problems such as incontinence. B&CR and several of the applicants have links with other

patient organisations and charities e.g. Core, GI Blues, Ileostomy Association and the Bladder and Bowel Foundation;

3. Local health service providers including developing clinical commissioning groups via specially convened local meetings and written reports

4. NIHR collaboration: the CI is Director of the Bart's NIHR HTC for GI disease. Results will be disseminated by the HTC newsletter/website to all 90 UK industrial and all 25 clinical colorectal centres.

#### Intention to publish date

31/12/2023

#### Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study will be available upon request from the Pragmatic Clinical Trials Unit Data Sharing Committee, pctu-data-sharing@qmul.ac.uk Anonymised individual level data without prior consent in line with the Data Protection Act will be available subsequent to the final report and publication made by the CI /lead authors. Data will be made available only following a successful application and data sharing agreement with PCTU. The PCTU supports appropriate data sharing to maximize the value of research data, including for patient and public benefit.

# IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request

#### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Participant information sheet	version V2	27/07/2017	26/10/2017	No	Yes
Protocol article	protocol	26/06/2018		Yes	No
HRA research summary			26/07/2023	No	No
Basic results		04/06/2024	04/06/2024	No	No