# Prevention of admission to psychiatric hospital. A randomised controlled trial of service use, health and social care outcomes of a community mental health team intervention specific to dual diagnosis (psychosis and substance misuse) patients

Submission date	Recruitment status	Prospectively registered		
07/02/2007	No longer recruiting	☐ Protocol		
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan		
14/03/2007	Completed	[X] Results		
Last Edited	Condition category	Individual participant data		
16/03/2007	Mental and Behavioural Disorders			

# Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

## Study website

http://www.iop.kcl.ac.uk/departments/?locator=342&project=10075

# Contact information

# Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Prof Graham Thornicroft

## Contact details

Health Services and Population Research (PO29)
Institute of Psychiatry, Kings College, London
De Crespigny Park
Denmark Hill
London
United Kingdom
SE5 8AF
+44 (0)207 848 0735
g.thornicroft@iop.kcl.ac.uk

# Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS** number

**IRAS** number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

**Secondary identifying numbers** GTCUL

# Study information

Scientific Title

## Acronym

COMO (Mental health care for dual diagnosis COMOrbidity)

## **Study objectives**

Outcomes were investigated at both staff and patient levels. At the patient level, the primary hypotheses were that, compared with patients on control group care coordinators caseloads, patients on caseloads of the experimental group key workers, would have:

- 1. diminished use of in-patient services, reflected in significantly lower bed day use over an 18-month follow-up period
- 2. reduced alcohol and drug consumption, reflected in lower quantity of alcohol and drugs consumed over the month before interview

Secondary hypotheses were that the intervention would also be associated with significantly:

- 1. diminished levels of homelessness, violence, suicidal behaviour, imprisonment and detention under the Mental Health Act
- 2. better social functioning, particularly in relation to self care and hostile and aggressive behaviour
- 3. diminished symptom severity
- 4. increased adherence to treatment
- 5. greater satisfaction with services
- 6. lower overall costs of care

Regarding staff, the main hypotheses were that a significant increase:

- 1. in knowledge about dual diagnosis
- 2. in substance abuse intervention skills and more positive attitudes to working with this client group would be observed in staff receiving the experimental training and supervision package

## Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

# Ethics approval(s)

Institute of Psychiatry, Kings College London, Research Ethics Committee, approved in 1999, Ref: 075/99

## Study design

A cluster randomised controlled trial

## Primary study design

Interventional

## Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Study setting(s)

Other

## Study type(s)

**Not Specified** 

## Participant information sheet

## Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Severe and enduring mental illness and comorbid substance abuse or dependence

## **Interventions**

Each cluster consisted of the clients on a particular case managers' caseload. The unit of randomisation was the case manager. The experimental group consisted of case managers (and the service users with dual diagnosis on their case load) who had been randomly allocated to receive training in dual diagnosis interventions and the control group were case managers (and the service users with dual diagnosis on their case load) who had not been allocated to receive training.

Case managers in the experimental group received a 5 day training course in detection, assessment and interventions for people with dual diagnosis that aims to increase engagement with care and increase motivation to reduce or abstain from using drugs and alcohol.

## Intervention Type

Other

#### Phase

**Not Specified** 

### Primary outcome measure

Service users: Hospital bed use over the preceding 18 months, including admission to hospitals outside the catchment area on an extra-contractual referral basis.

Other service use recorded using the Client Service Receipt Inventory

Case managers: Alcohol and Alcohol Problems Perceptions Questionnaire

## Secondary outcome measures

Service users:

1. Current adherence to medication, rated using the Medication Compliance Scale, which yields a single rating for the extent to which the patient is believed to adhere to prescribed medication 2. Stage of treatment rated using the Substance Abuse Treatment Scale (SATS), which yields a single rating of the extent to which a patient with dual diagnosis is motivated for and engaged in substance misuse treatment

- 3. Met and unmet needs, rated using the Camberwell Assessment of Need Short Assessment Schedule (CANSAS), which elicits ratings of patients needs in 22 social and clinical domains
- 4. Social functioning, rated using the Life Skills Profile (LSP)
- 5. Drug and alcohol consumption and associated problems, measured using the section of the Maudsley Addictions Profile (MAP) which records consumption over a month, the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT), which screens for alcohol-related problems and may be used to categorise drinking as hazardous or harmful, and the DALI, a screening instrument for problematic drug and alcohol use developed specifically for severely mentally ill populations 6. Service satisfaction, measured by the brief global Client Satisfaction Questionnaire (CSQ-8) and the Treatment Perception Questionnaire, a short instrument developed specifically to assess satisfaction with substance misuse treatment
- 7. Physical symptoms, rated using the section of the MAP which enquires how often 10 common physical symptoms have been experienced in the past 30 days
- 8. Psychiatric symptoms, rated using the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (extended version)

## Overall study start date

01/09/1999

## Completion date

01/09/2001

# Eligibility

## Key inclusion criteria

All case managers were invited to participate unless they were temporary staff or had firm plans to leave during the next 18 months.

Service user inclusion: a clinical diagnosis (made by psychiatrists and recorded in casenotes) of schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder (ICD 10 codes F20, F25), delusional disorder and other non-affective psychotic illnesses (F22, F29) or bipolar affective disorder (F31) on the caseloads of participating case managers and in addition a rating of substance "abuse" or "dependence" on the Clinician Alcohol Use Scale (CAUS) and the Clinician Drug Use Scale (CDUS) based on DSMIII-R criteria.

# Participant type(s)

Patient

## Age group

**Not Specified** 

#### Sex

**Not Specified** 

## Target number of participants

44 case managers and 220 service users

## Key exclusion criteria

Case managers excluded if they had immediate plans to leave or be absent for the study period service users were excluded if they had diagnoses other than stated above.

### Date of first enrolment

# Date of final enrolment

01/09/2001

# Locations

## Countries of recruitment

England

**United Kingdom** 

Study participating centre Health Services and Population Research (PO29)

London United Kingdom SE5 8AF

# Sponsor information

# Organisation

Kings College London (UK)

# Sponsor details

The Strand London England United Kingdom WC2R 2LS +44 (0)207 836 5454 ceu@kcl.ac.uk

## Sponsor type

University/education

#### Website

http://www.kcl.ac.uk/

#### **ROR**

https://ror.org/0220mzb33

# Funder(s)

# Funder type

Government

## Funder Name

Culyer grant. Ref: GTCUL (UK)

# **Results and Publications**

## Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

# IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

## **Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	Results:	01/04/2003		Yes	No