

The effectiveness and acceptability of using a topically applied local anaesthetic to reduce perineal pain during the second stage of labour

Submission date	Recruitment status	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
15/08/2005	No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date	Overall study status	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
02/09/2005	Completed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited	Condition category	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data
05/07/2018	Pregnancy and Childbirth	

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr Julia Sanders

Contact details

Midwifery led Unit

University Hospital of Wales

Heath Park

Cardiff

United Kingdom

CF14 4XN

+44 (0)29 2074 5030/3017

julia.sanders@cardiffandvale.wales.nhs.uk

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number

G106/919

Study information

Scientific Title

The effectiveness and acceptability of using a topically applied local anaesthetic to reduce perineal pain during the second stage of labour

Acronym

The Crowning Study

Study objectives

The aim of this study was to rigorously assess the extent to which topically applying a local anaesthetic to the perineum during the second stage of labour reduced the pain experienced by women as their baby is born.

The specific objectives were:

1. To investigate the effectiveness of topically applied local anaesthetic in reducing perineal pain during the second stage of labour
2. To evaluate the impact of this anaesthetic preparation on rates and severity of genital trauma
3. To assess the acceptability of this anaesthetic to women and to the midwives who applied it
4. To identify any risk to the baby of applying an anaesthetic to the perineum immediately before delivery

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Added as of 13/08/2007: This trial was approved by the Medicines Control Agency, the local research ethics committee and the participating NHS trust research and development directorate.

Study design

Randomised controlled trial.

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Childbirth

Interventions

Application of a local anaesthetic spray or placebo spray, applied to the perineum shortly prior to spontaneous vaginal delivery. Each 0.1 ml of active trial solution was formulated to contain: Lidocaine 10 mg, Ethanol 95% 24.1 mg, Poly Ethylene Glycol (PEG 400) 30 mg, H₂O to 0.1 ml

Intervention Type

Drug

Phase

Not Specified

Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)

Local anaesthetic

Primary outcome(s)

The primary outcome was pain immediately prior to delivery as recorded on the 0-100 Numerical Rating Scale component of the Adapted McGill Pain Questionnaire (Short-Form) and the co-primary outcome the incidence and extent of perineal and other genital tract trauma.

Key secondary outcome(s)

Delivery:

1. Delivery pain as measured on the remaining components of the AMPQ-SF
2. Maternal satisfaction with delivery analgesia
3. Maternal control and satisfaction with delivery

Neonatal:

1. Levels of lidocaine in cord blood
2. Condition at birth
3. Infant feeding practices

Postnatal:

1. Perineal pain at one week and two months following delivery
2. Perineal problems two months following delivery
3. Maternal feelings two months post delivery as measured on the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale 159 and Maternal Adjustment to Motherhood Scale

Completion date

16/05/2004

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

The participants comprised nulliparous and parous women who had a live singleton fetus with cephalic presentation at term (more than or equal to 37 weeks gestation) and for whom a spontaneous vaginal delivery was considered imminent.

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Sex

Female

Key exclusion criteria

During the antenatal period, women falling into certain broad categories were excluded from the trial. These were:

1. Women with a multiple pregnancy
2. Women booked to have a caesarean section, instrumental delivery or episiotomy

3. Women who had previously experienced an adverse reaction to a local anaesthetic
4. Women with insufficient spoken or written English either to provide valid consent or to complete the study questionnaires

Once in labour the following women were also excluded:

- a. Women whose pregnancy was less than 37 weeks gestation
- b. Women with epidural analgesia
- c. Women whose baby had a non-cephalic presentation
- d. Women for whom sensitivity dictated that they should not be approached to participate in the trial (for example, women whose baby was expected to require immediate intensive neonatal care following delivery) were also not invited to participate. The decision whether or not to recruit an individual woman into the trial was made by the midwife providing care.

Date of first enrolment

10/03/2003

Date of final enrolment

16/05/2004

Locations

Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

Wales

Study participating centre

Midwifery led Unit

Cardiff

United Kingdom

CF14 4XN

Sponsor information

Organisation

University of Bristol (UK)

ROR

<https://ror.org/0524sp257>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Research council

Funder Name

Medical Research Council (ref: G106/919) (UK)

Alternative Name(s)

Medical Research Council (United Kingdom), UK Medical Research Council, MRC

Funding Body Type

Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype

National government

Location

United Kingdom

Funder Name

Wellbeing of Women (ref: NBTF/408) (UK)

Alternative Name(s)**Funding Body Type**

Private sector organisation

Funding Body Subtype

Trusts, charities, foundations (both public and private)

Location

United Kingdom

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/09/2002		Yes	No
Results article	results	01/06/2005		Yes	No
Results article	results	15/07/2006		Yes	No