

Early Venous Reflux Ablation (EVRA) ulcer trial

Submission date 02/10/2013	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 03/10/2013	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 24/09/2020	Condition category Circulatory System	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

A large number of patients (around 1% of the adult population) suffer from an ulcer (break in the skin surface) near the ankle. In most people, such an injury should heal up within a week or two. However, when there is an underlying problem with the skin, ulcers do not heal and may result in longstanding (chronic), painful, smelly and embarrassing wounds. The ulcers are often due to varicose veins in the legs, which can cause skin breakdown and ulcer formation. To get the ulcer to heal, the current best treatment is to wear a tight compression bandage with multiple layers, with which about 60% of these ulcers will heal within 24 weeks. There is evidence that treatment of the varicose veins by surgery will prevent the ulcer from returning after it has healed. Recent studies have suggested that newer techniques of treating varicose veins, such as injecting a medicine into the varicose vein (sclerotherapy) or treating the vein with heat ablation to seal it (using laser or radiofrequency), in an outpatient setting may help the ulcers to heal more quickly and (like surgery) reduce the chance of the ulcer coming back. These techniques can be carried out in the outpatient setting and are much better tolerated by patients in comparison to surgery. The aim of this study is to see whether early treatment of varicose veins using sclerotherapy or heat ablation helps with healing.

Who can participate?

Men and women, aged 18 or over, with a leg ulcer and varicose veins.

What does the study involve?

Patients will be randomly allocated to one of two treatments: either compression bandaging with treatment of varicose veins after the ulcer has healed (the current best treatment), or compression bandaging and early treatment of the veins. Participants will be followed-up for 1 year via monthly telephone calls with the research nurse and will undergo routine leg ulcer care in community or hospital (or both) settings, in accordance with the local standard. Participants will attend a 6-week clinic visit and complete questionnaires at baseline, 6 weeks, 6 months and 12 months. We will look at the number of ulcers healed in both patient groups and the speed at which the ulcers healed. We will also ask the patients to comment on any changes in their quality of life following treatment. Furthermore, we will look at the costs of the two treatments.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Both of these treatments are routinely offered to most patients with venous leg ulcers, and therefore the risks of taking part in this study are the same as the risks of having treatment

outside of the study. The information gained from the study may help doctors and patients make future decisions as to the best approach (early endovenous treatment compared to standard therapy of compression bandages) to treating venous ulcers in the future. If early treatment of varicose veins improves healing rates in patients with leg ulcers, there will be significant cost savings for the NHS as well as great benefits for the patients.

Where is the study run from?

Imperial College Trials Unit (ICTU) at Imperial College London (UK).

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

The study started in September 2013 and will run for 70 months, including an extension to collect longer term follow-up data.

Who is funding the study?

This project is funded by the National Institute for Health Research HTA (project number 11/129/197).

Who is the main contact?

Francine Heatley (trial manager)
f.heatley@imperial.ac.uk

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Prof Alun H Davies

ORCID ID

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5261-6913>

Contact details

Vascular Surgery
Charing Cross Hospital
London
United Kingdom
W6 8RF

-
a.h.davies@imperial.ac.uk

Type(s)

Public

Contact name

Ms Francine Heatley

Contact details

Clinical Trial Manager: EVRA
Imperial College London
Section of Vascular Surgery

Room 3E, 4th Floor East Wing
Charing Cross Hospital
Fulham Palace Road
London
United Kingdom
W6 8RF
+44 (0)203 311 7371
f.heatley@imperial.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

Clinical Trials Information System (CTIS)

Nil known

ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT)

NCT03286140

Protocol serial number

HTA 11/129/197

Study information

Scientific Title

A randomized clinical trial to compare early versus delayed endovenous treatment of superficial venous reflux in patients with chronic venous ulceration

Acronym

EVRA

Study objectives

What is the clinical and cost effectiveness of early endovenous treatment of superficial venous reflux in addition to standard care compared to standard care alone in patients with chronic venous ulceration?

More details can be found at: <http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/projects/hta/11129197>

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

South West - Central Bristol research ethics board, 15/08/2013, ref: 13/SW/0199

Study design

Pragmatic multicentre randomized clinical trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Venous disease/leg ulcers

Interventions

Standard therapy consisting of multilayer elastic compression bandaging with deferred treatment of superficial reflux (usually once the ulcer has healed) vs early endovenous treatment of superficial venous reflux (within 2 weeks) in addition to standard therapy.

Participants will be randomized 1:1 to standard compression therapy or early endovenous treatment within 2 weeks in addition to standard therapy.

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Applicable

Primary outcome(s)

Time to ulcer healing (from date of randomization to date of healing)

Key secondary outcome(s)

Current secondary outcome measures as of 15/10/2018:

1. Ulcer Healing Rate: Healing rate will be evaluated in addition to time to ulcer healing to allow comparison with other published studies.
2. Ulcer recurrence/Ulcer Free Time: Will be calculated up to 1 year for each study arm and with the extension, up to 5 years (median approximately 3.7 years). This will allow a very practical and easily understood assessment of the clinical difference between the 2 arms of the study. This will also allow comparison with other studies that have reported this outcome. In order to facilitate accurate calculation of reoccurrence/ulcer free time, clinical follow up will be continued after ulcer healing up to 1 year after randomisation.
3. Quality Of Life (QoL): Disease specific (AVVQ) and generic (EQ5D & SF36) quality of life assessments will be compared at 6 weeks post randomisation, 6 months, 12 months and at one time point between October 2018 and March 2019. The 6-week questionnaire will be given to the patient at the follow-up appointment, whereas other QoL questionnaires will be sent to the patient or completed by the patient via telephone. AVVQ is the most widely utilised disease specific QoL tool in venous disease and has been extensively validated. A score out of 100 points is calculated, with a higher score indicating more severe QoL impairment. Changes in QoL scores will offer a comparison with other studies and, in the standard treatment arm, will allow an assessment of the natural history of venous ulceration treated with compression.
4. Health Economic Assessment: Cost items in hospital and community care will be recorded for each patient. Standard HRG published tariffs will be used to calculate overall costs. A standard tariff will be applied for each bandage change, although additional treatments administered for the treatment of symptoms or complications directly related to venous ulceration will be included. Utilities (QALYs) will be calculated from generic QoL questionnaire and cost-effectiveness will be analysed.
5. Other Markers Of Clinical Success: The Venous Clinical Severity Score (VCSS) will be assessed at 6 weeks. In addition, the incidence of complications related to the endovenous intervention as well as the presence of residual/recurrent varicose veins will also be assessed at 6 weeks.

Previous secondary outcome measures:

1. Ulcer Healing Rate: Healing rate will be evaluated in addition to time to ulcer healing to allow comparison with other published studies.
2. Ulcer Free Time: Will be calculated up to 1 year for each study arm. This will allow a very practical and easily understood assessment of the clinical difference between the two arms of the study. This will also allow comparison with other studies that have reported this outcome. In order to facilitate accurate calculation of ulcer free time, clinical follow-up will be continued after ulcer healing up to 1 year after randomization.
3. Quality Of Life (QoL): Disease specific (AVVQ) and generic (EQ5D & SF36) quality of life assessments will be compared at 6 weeks post randomization, 6 months and 12 months. The 6-week questionnaire will be given to the patient at the follow-up appointment, whereas other QoL questionnaires will be sent to the patient. AVVQ is the most widely utilised disease-specific QoL tool in venous disease and has been extensively validated. A score out of 100 points is calculated, with a higher score indicating more severe QoL impairment. Changes in QoL scores will offer a comparison with other studies and, in the standard treatment arm, will allow an assessment of the natural history of venous ulceration treated with compression.
4. Health Economic Assessment: Cost items in hospital and community care will be recorded for each patient. Standard HRG published tariffs will be used to calculate overall costs. A standard tariff will be applied for each bandage change, although additional treatments administered for the treatment of symptoms or complications directly related to venous ulceration will be included. Utilities (QALYs) will be calculated from generic QoL questionnaire and cost-effectiveness will be analysed.
5. Other Markers Of Clinical Success: The Venous Clinical Severity Score (VCSS) will be assessed at 6 weeks. In addition, the incidence of complications related to the endovenous intervention as well as the presence of residual/recurrent varicose veins will also be assessed at 6 weeks.

Completion date

30/06/2019

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. Current leg ulceration of greater than 6 weeks, but less than 6 months duration
2. Able to give informed consent to participate in the study after reading the patient information documentation
3. Patient age > 18 years
4. Ankle Brachial Pressure Index (ABPI) greater than or equal to 0.8
5. Superficial venous disease on colour duplex assessment deemed to be significant enough to warrant ablation by the treating clinician (either primary or recurrent venous reflux)

Patients who cannot speak/understand English will be eligible for inclusion and informed consent will be obtained with assistance from translation services as per standard clinical practice. In view of the lack of cross cultural validation for quality of life tools, only healing outcome data will be collected.

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

18 years

Sex

All

Total final enrolment

450

Key exclusion criteria

1. Presence of deep venous occlusive disease or other conditions precluding superficial venous intervention
2. Patients who are unable to tolerate any multilayer compression bandaging will be excluded. However, concordance with compression therapy can be variable for patients at different times. Patients who are generally compliant with compression but unable to tolerate the bandages for short periods will still be eligible for inclusion. A period of noncompliance with compression bandages will not be considered a protocol violation, but a normal variation within the spectrum of standard therapy.
3. Inability of the patient to receive prompt endovenous intervention by the recruiting centre
4. Pregnancy (female participants of reproductive age will be eligible for inclusion in the study, subject to a negative pregnancy test prior to randomisation)
5. Leg ulcer of nonvenous aetiology (as assessed by the responsible clinician)
6. If patient is deemed to require skin grafting they cannot be included

Date of first enrolment

24/10/2013

Date of final enrolment

30/09/2016

Locations

Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

Study participating centre

Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust

United Kingdom

-

Study participating centre

Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust
United Kingdom

-

Study participating centre
Worcestershire Acute Hospitals NHS Trust
United Kingdom

-

Study participating centre
North West London Hospitals NHS Trust
United Kingdom

-

Study participating centre
Gloucestershire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust
United Kingdom

-

Study participating centre
Heart of England NHS Trust
United Kingdom

-

Study participating centre
University Hospital Birmingham NHS Trust
United Kingdom

-

Study participating centre
North Cumbria University Hospitals NHS Trust
United Kingdom

-

Study participating centre

The Dudley Group NHS Trust

United Kingdom

-

Study participating centre

The Royal Wolverhampton Hospitals NHS Trust

United Kingdom

-

Study participating centre

York Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

United Kingdom

-

Study participating centre

Hull & East Yorkshire Hospitals NHS Trust

United Kingdom

-

Study participating centre

The Royal Bournemouth and Christchurch Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

United Kingdom

-

Study participating centre

Central Manchester University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

United Kingdom

-

Study participating centre

Frimley Park Hospital NHS Foundation Trust

United Kingdom

-

Study participating centre

Plymouth Hospitals NHS Trust
United Kingdom

-

Study participating centre
Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust
United Kingdom

-

Study participating centre
Salisbury NHS Foundation Trust
United Kingdom

-

Study participating centre
Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust
United Kingdom

-

Study participating centre
Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust
United Kingdom

-

Study participating centre
Taunton and Somerset NHS Foundation Trust
United Kingdom

-

Sponsor information

Organisation
Imperial College London (UK)

ROR
<https://ror.org/041kmwe10>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

Health Technology Assessment Programme

Alternative Name(s)

NIHR Health Technology Assessment Programme, Health Technology Assessment (HTA), HTA

Funding Body Type

Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype

National government

Location

United Kingdom

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

At completion of the study, data will be shared in accordance with the NIHR HTA guidance on study outputs as per the research contract between the secretary of state for health research and Imperial College London. Only anonymised data will be shared under the terms of the consent forms.

Data and associated documentation will be available to users only under a data-sharing agreement that provides for the following:

1. A commitment to using the data only for research purposes and not to identify any individual participant;
2. A commitment to securing the data using appropriate computer technology;
3. A commitment to destroying or returning the data after analyses are completed.

All requests are dealt with on a case-by-case basis. Any request should be submitted to the corresponding author who will then review with the Trial Management Group and sponsor. A record of all access to data will be maintained by the Imperial College Archive team.

IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	31/05/2018	26/04/2018	Yes	No
Results article	results	01/04/2019	12/02/2019	Yes	No

Results article	results	01/05/2019	30/05/2019	Yes	No
Results article	results	23/09/2020	24/09/2020	Yes	No
HRA research summary			28/06/2023	No	No
Study website	Study website	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes