

# Effect of combined somatosensory stimulation and task specific training on upper limb function in chronic stroke patients

<b>Submission date</b> 12/05/2010	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
		<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 12/05/2010	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 08/05/2014	<b>Condition category</b> Circulatory System	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

**Plain English summary of protocol**  
Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Dr Jeroen Bergmann

**Contact details**  
Kings College London  
Strand  
London  
United Kingdom  
WC2R 2LS

## Additional identifiers

**Protocol serial number**  
7835

## Study information

**Scientific Title**  
Effect of combined somatosensory stimulation and task specific training on upper limb function in chronic stroke patients: a double blind randomised controlled trial

## **Acronym**

Sensory Stimulation

## **Study objectives**

Background:

After rehabilitation following a stroke many people recover the ability to walk without assistance, but are very limited in the activities of daily life because of poor recovery in their arm and hand. This loss of function may affect them and their carers and increase their dependency on health and social services. Recent research in both healthy and stroke subjects has indicated that low intensity electrical stimulation of the nerves in the arm, just strong enough to be felt, can lead to changes in the brain and its connection to the stimulated muscles with a resultant improvement in functional performance.

Aims:

We want to investigate whether this type of stimulation in combination with specific exercise training is better than exercises alone (the current clinical practice) for improving arm function and usage in stroke patients who have stopped improving with rehabilitation.

Subjects and methods:

We will carry out a double blind randomised controlled trial. We will recruit people aged 65 years and above who have had a stroke at least three months previously, and are not currently receiving rehabilitation, able to understand instructions and give consent for the study. Subjects will be randomly allocated to two groups to receive either of two packaged interventions. The intervention will take place three times weekly for four weeks at our laboratory located near London Bridge. We will also explore how the stimulation works using techniques which explore brain and movement function.

Outcome measures:

Several arm function tests such as task performance, strength etc in addition to brain function measurements will be assessed at the beginning, immediately after the training and for 6 months after the training at 3-monthly intervals.

## **Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

## **Ethics approval(s)**

Guy's Research Ethics Committee approved on the 27th October 2009 (ref: 09/H0804/87)

## **Study design**

Single centre randomised interventional treatment trial

## **Primary study design**

Interventional

## **Study type(s)**

Treatment

## **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Topic: Stroke Research Network; Subtopic: Rehabilitation; Disease: Therapy type

## **Interventions**

Somatosensory stimulation will be delivered to three arm nerves (ulnar at the medial epicondylar groove of the elbow, median in front of the elbow joint and radial nerve above the lateral epicondyle) in the upper arm using three pairs of self adhesive electrodes (2.5 cm by 2.5 cm). It will be delivered in the laboratory for 2 hours, 3 times each week for 4 weeks using the following dose: 1 ms pulses at 10 Hz, 500 ms on and off. The amplitude will be approximately 3 times feeling threshold.

### **Intervention Type**

Other

### **Phase**

Not Applicable

### **Primary outcome(s)**

Change in functional ability of the upper limb arm. Outcome measures will be assessed at beginning and end of the intervention (duration: 4 weeks) and then at 3 and 6 months follow up. A retest will be made within a week of the initial assessment and before the first treatment session in order to establish the stability of the baseline.

### **Key secondary outcome(s)**

1. Level of dependence in basic activities of daily living (Barthel Index)
2. Hand dexterity by the Block and Block test
3. Real life use of upper limb (Motor Activity Log)
4. Assessment of motor function by the upper limb motor function (FuglMeyer Motor Assessment)
5. Health related quality of life (36-item Short Form [SF36])
6. Changes in upper limb movement trajectory by 3D motion analysis of upper limb function
7. Upper limb spasticity by the Modified Ashworth Scale and Tardieu Scale

Outcome measures will be assessed at beginning and end of the intervention (duration: 4 weeks) and then at 3 and 6 months follow up. A retest will be made within a week of the initial assessment and before the first treatment session in order to establish the stability of the baseline.

### **Completion date**

30/09/2012

## **Eligibility**

### **Key inclusion criteria**

1. At least 65 years of age, either sex
2. History of a single ischaemic stroke at least 3 months duration
3. Abbreviated Mental Test Score (AMT) greater than 7
4. Ambulant and able to attend the laboratory
5. Active range of shoulder elevation at least 60 degrees and 10 degrees of wrist extension
6. Passive pain free range of movement (ROM) of at least 75 % of normal in shoulder, elbow, wrist and hand
7. Presence of motor evoked potential (MEPs) in the hand muscles in response to TMS

### **Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

**Age group**

Senior

**Sex**

All

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Currently undergoing a stroke rehabilitation programme
2. Neurologic disorders or infarcts in the vertebrobasilar territory
3. Severe heart or lung disease
4. Severe aphasia (normal score on the Frenchay Aphasia Screening Test)
5. Visuospatial disorders (as screened by the Star cancellation test)
6. Metal in the head, seizures, auditory or cardiac implants, severe spasticity (defined as a score of greater than 3 on the Modified Ashworth Scale [MAS])

**Date of first enrolment**

01/10/2009

**Date of final enrolment**

30/09/2012

**Locations****Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

England

**Study participating centre**

**Kings College London**

London

United Kingdom

WC2R 2LS

**Sponsor information****Organisation**

Kings College London (UK)

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/0220mzb33>

# Funder(s)

## Funder type

Charity

## Funder Name

Dunhill Medical Trust (UK)

## Alternative Name(s)

The Dunhill Medical Trust, Dunhill Medical Trust, DunhillMedical, DMT

## Funding Body Type

Private sector organisation

## Funding Body Subtype

Trusts, charities, foundations (both public and private)

## Location

United Kingdom

# Results and Publications

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

### IPD sharing plan summary

#### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/02/2015		Yes	No