

# A randomised controlled study of patients seen in a special nurse-led clinic after gastroscopy investigation for symptoms related to indigestion

<b>Submission date</b> 27/02/2008	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
<b>Registration date</b> 02/04/2008	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Last Edited</b> 02/04/2008	<b>Condition category</b> Digestive System	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
		<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

**Plain English summary of protocol**  
Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Dr Praful Patel

**Contact details**  
Southampton University Hospital NHS Trust  
Department of Gastroenterology  
Level D  
West wing  
Southampton  
United Kingdom  
SO16 6YD

## Additional identifiers

**Protocol serial number**  
RHM MED0719

## Study information

## Scientific Title

A randomised controlled trial of structured nurse-led clinic follow-up for dyspeptic patients after direct access gastroscopy

## Study objectives

The aim is to study patients with a confirmed endoscopic diagnosis of the following:

1. Mild gastro oesophageal reflux disease (non-erosive and mild oesophagitis)
2. Hiatus hernia (any size)
3. Non-ulcer dyspepsia (normal findings, mild or moderate gastritis and duodenitis)

## Study hypothesis:

An experienced gastrointestinal nurse practitioner providing structured patient-centred advice at follow-up clinic after gastroscopy to patients with mild dyspepsia, would reduce drug costs without adversely affecting symptoms relief or quality of life.

## Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

## Ethics approval(s)

Southampton & South West Hampshire Research Ethics Committees (B). Date of approval: 22nd March 2002 (REC reference: 050/02)

## Study design

Single-centre, randomised, placebo-controlled trial.

## Primary study design

Interventional

## Study type(s)

Not Specified

## Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Gastroscopy

## Interventions

This is a randomised placebo-controlled trial with concealed allocation (random number table) of study cohorts. Post-intervention assessor is blinded to diagnosis and study status.

## Baseline data collection:

1. Demography
2. Body mass index (BMI)
3. Social habit status
4. Ulcer healing drugs (UHD; Histamine 2 antagonist, proton pump inhibitor) used in the 6 months before investigation
5. Glasgow dyspepsia severity score (GLADYS)
6. Health related general well being (12-item short form health survey [SF-12])

After gastroscopy, eligible patients are randomised to either normal (control) practice (return to GP for follow-up treatment) or intervention (to nurse follow-up).

The nurse-led clinic last for 35 minutes and a full medical history is taken. The clinical management is based on national and local guidelines, with reference to findings as well as patients' predominant symptoms. Counselling and lifestyle advice, including bespoke information leaflets given and treatment concordance agreed; further investigation may be initiated if required. For consistency and reproducibility 'History taking' and 'Lifestyle advice' proformas are devised for use. Clinic letter with information on intervention and treatment recommendations is sent to patients' GP after consultation.

Six months after gastroscopy all patients are contacted by telephone for reassessment of the following:

1. Weight
2. GLADYS
3. SF-12
4. UHD used in the six months after gastroscopy
5. Usefulness of post-investigation follow-up

### **Intervention Type**

Other

### **Phase**

Not Specified

### **Primary outcome(s)**

The difference between the nurse group and GP group after intervention in:

1. GLADYS: 8 questions and a total low score equals to least symptoms with a minimum of 0 and maximum 20
2. SF-12: Six questions (3 have 2 parts and 1 has 4 parts). A total high score in this measurement denotes better health related general well being with a minimum 0 and maximum 900
3. UHD costs 6 months before and after gastroscopy. The UHD cost analysis is based on the price listed in the Drug Tariff (generic items) or MIMS (branded items). They are summed according to class and averaged.

### **Key secondary outcome(s)**

Compares before and after intervention within same group in:

1. GLADYS
2. SF-12
3. UHD costs

### **Completion date**

28/02/2005

## **Eligibility**

### **Key inclusion criteria**

1. Male and female patients with dyspepsia
2. Over 18 years old
3. Undergoing direct access gastroscopy

### **Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

**Age group**

Adult

**Lower age limit**

18 years

**Sex**

All

**Key exclusion criteria**

There are 2 stages of filtering for exclusion as follows:

1. Before gastroscopy - From referral letters/forms patients with sinister symptoms:

- 1.1. Anaemia
- 1.2. Weight loss over half a stone in three months
- 1.3. Haematemesis
- 1.4. Dysphagia
- 1.5. Vomiting

2. After gastroscopy:

- 2.1. Peptic ulcer
- 2.2. Tumour
- 2.3. Severe oesophagitis
- 2.4. Barrett's oesophagus
- 2.5. Anatomical abnormality and
- 2.6. Post-operative stomach

**Date of first enrolment**

01/02/2003

**Date of final enrolment**

28/02/2005

**Locations****Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

England

**Study participating centre**

**Southampton University Hospital NHS Trust**

Southampton

United Kingdom

SO16 6YD

# Sponsor information

## Organisation

Southampton University Hospitals NHS Trust (UK)

## ROR

<https://ror.org/0485axj58>

# Funder(s)

## Funder type

Other

## Funder Name

This study was investigator-funded with the agreement of the Southampton University Hospital NHS Trust that secretarial and out-patient work force can be utilised as long as it is planned into routine work.

# Results and Publications

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

## IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

## Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	Results	01/04/2006		Yes	No