

Pain relief for children who are undergoing hernia surgeries, using a landmark technique or using ultrasound guidance

Submission date 02/09/2022	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 16/09/2022	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 29/12/2023	Condition category Surgery	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Caudal epidural block is a popular regional anaesthetic technique in children undergoing infraumbilical surgeries (surgery below the navel). The aim of this study is to compare overall block success rates between the conventional and ultrasound-guided methods of caudal blocks. Other objectives are to compare block performance time and the number of attempts when using the two techniques.

Who can participate?

Children aged 1-8 years belonging to ASA physical status grades 1 and 2, undergoing elective inguinal hernial surgeries under general anaesthesia with caudal blocks.

What does the study involve?

Participants were divided into two groups and underwent caudal blocks either by the conventional landmark technique, or by the ultrasound-guided method. The child is given general anaesthesia as per standard practice and then given the caudal block for analgesia.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Participating in the study would ensure that the child will be pain-free during the surgery and postoperatively. As it is a commonly done procedure for pain relief, risks would include the usual risks involved in the caudal procedure. There is no higher than normal risk if participating in this study.

Where is the study run from?

St John's Medical College and Hospital (India)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

October 2019 to September 2021

Who is funding the study?

Investigator initiated and funded

Who is the main contact?

Dr Mythreyi Muthu Krishnan, mythu.apr24@gmail.com

Dr Anjali T. M. Ollapally, dr.anjaliollapally@gmail.com

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr Anjali Ollapally

Contact details

Dept. Of Anaesthesiology

St John's Medical College and Hospital

Bangalore

India

560034

+91 80-22065502

dr.anjaliollapally@gmail.com

Type(s)

Principal investigator

Contact name

Dr Mythreyi Muthu Krishnan

Contact details

Dept. Of Anaesthesiology

St John's Medical College and Hospital

Bangalore

India

560034

+91 80-22065502

mythu.apr24@gmail.com

Additional identifiers

Clinical Trials Information System (CTIS)

Nil known

Protocol serial number

IEC/1/942/2019

Study information

Scientific Title

Ultrasound-guided versus conventional caudal block in children

Acronym

USG-C

Study objectives

The success rate of ultrasound-guided caudal block is superior to the conventional landmark technique

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Approved 30/10/2019, Institutional Ethics Committee (St John's Medical College and Hospital, Sarjapur road, Bangalore 560034, India; +91 80-49466346; sjmc.ierb@stjohns.in), ref: 292/2019

Study design

Single centre interventional randomized control trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Intraoperative and postoperative analgesia among children undergoing elective inguinal hernia surgeries

Interventions

Sixty-four children aged 1-8 years belonging to ASA physical status groups 1 and 2 undergoing elective inguinal hernial surgery were studied. After induction of general anaesthesia, they were administered caudal blocks depending on the groups they were randomly allocated to using a computer-generated lot system:

Group A (conventional) – 0.5 ml/kg of 0.25% Bupivacaine was injected after the needle entered the sacral canal

Group B (USG) – 0.5 ml/kg of 0.25% Bupivacaine was injected right after the needle was visualised piercing the sacrococcygeal ligament in the longitudinal view.

The children were monitored during surgery every 5 minutes for adequacy of analgesia. There was hemodynamic and respiratory monitoring in the Post Anesthesia Care Unit (as is customary for all general anaesthesia).

Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

Primary outcome(s)

Overall block success rate, defined as the absence of significant motor movements at the time of surgical incision, or a significant increase in heart rate (HR)/respiratory rate (RR)

Key secondary outcome(s)

1. Block performance time, calculated as the time period from identification of structures to the termination of injection of local anaesthetic

2. Number of attempts taken: if the patient is pricked with a needle and it is then removed, it is considered an attempt. The maximum number of attempts was three, the third attempt being made by another senior anaesthesiologist.

Completion date

30/09/2021

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. Between 1-8 years of age with no contraindications for caudal block
2. Scheduled for elective inguinal hernia surgeries
3. Belonging to ASA physical status 1 or 2

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Child

Lower age limit

1 years

Upper age limit

8 years

Sex

All

Total final enrolment

64

Key exclusion criteria

1. Consent not given for the procedure
2. Contraindications for caudal block

Date of first enrolment

01/11/2019

Date of final enrolment

01/09/2021

Locations

Countries of recruitment

India

Study participating centre
St. John's Medical College and Hospital
Sarjapur road
Bangalore
India
560034

Sponsor information

Organisation

St. John's National Academy of Health Sciences

ROR

<https://ror.org/03qvjzj64>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Other

Funder Name

Investigator initiated and funded

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

All data generated or analysed during this study will be included in the subsequent results publication

IPD sharing plan summary

Published as a supplement to the results publication

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article		01/08/2023	29/12/2023	Yes	No
Participant information sheet			14/09/2022	No	Yes
Participant information sheet	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes