ISRCTN11122844 https://doi.org/10.1186/ISRCTN11122844

A Controlled Study Evaluating Relative Benefits of Two Types of Review after an A&E Attendance

Submission date 23/01/2004	**Recruitment status** No longer recruiting	[_] Prospectively registered [_] Protocol [_] Statistical analysis plan [X] Results [_] Individual participant data
Registration date 23/01/2004	**Overall study status** Completed	
Last Edited 15/12/2009	**Condition category** Respiratory	

Plain English summary of protocol
Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Dr Liesl Osman

Contact details
Chest Clinic (Clinic C)
University of Aberdeen
Aberdeen Royal Infirmary
Foresterhill
Aberdeen
United Kingdom
AB25 2ZN
+44 (0)1224 681 818 extn 51223
l.osman@abdn.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number
AM1/06/004

Study information

Scientific Title

Study objectives
The study will compare relative benefits of the two interventions for cost effectiveness, reduction in the use of emergency services and improving patient outcomes. The study offers potential benefits to patients in improved services and reduced morbidity and to the NHS in improved efficiency and reduction of costs for emergency care. It will increase our understanding of why patients use A&E in preference to general practice care.

Ethics approval required
Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)
Not provided at time of registration

Primary study design
Interventional

Study design
Randomised controlled trial

Study type(s)
Not Specified

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied
Respiratory tract diseases: Asthma

Interventions
1. Specialist follow-up after A&E attendance vs. no follow-up
2. Telephone follow-up with mailed asthma information vs. no telephone follow up

Intervention Type
Other

Phase
Not Specified

Primary outcome(s)
Re-attendance at Accident and Emergency and hospital admission, patient attendance at GP follow up, asthma medication prescriptions over the next 12 months, patient self management, morbidity and QOL at 1 month, 6 months and 12 months. A cost benefit analysis will be carried out.

Key secondary outcome(s)
Not provided at time of registration

Completion date
31/05/2001

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria
300 patients attending A&E due to asthma will be entered into the study over 15 months and outcomes assessed for 12 months after entry.

Participant type(s)
Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed
No

Age group
Not Specified

Sex
Not Specified

Key exclusion criteria
Not provided at time of registration

Date of first enrolment
01/10/1997

Date of final enrolment
31/05/2001

Locations

Countries of recruitment
United Kingdom

Scotland

Study participating centre
Chest Clinic (Clinic C)
Aberdeen
United Kingdom
AB25 2ZN

Sponsor information

Organisation
Record Provided by the NHS R&D 'Time-Limited' National Programme Register - Department of Health (UK)

Funder(s)

Funder type
Government

Funder Name
NHS Asthma National Research and Development Programme (UK)

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary
Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/10/2002		Yes	No