

# Gel vs saline as medium for ultrasound-guided regional anesthesia

<b>Submission date</b> 12/04/2021	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 27/04/2021	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 27/04/2021	<b>Condition category</b> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data <input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

The ultrasound-guided technique is the gold standard for procedural guidance in regional anesthesia. Traditionally, ultrasound gel has been used as the medium for ultrasound wave conduction, but the use of aqueous solutions such as D5W or normal saline has been described and kits containing gel or normal saline conducting mediums are commercially available. Ultrasound gel presents potential problems including a theoretical risk for nerve toxicity and cell damage, increased cost, allergic reaction, and difficulty in maintaining a static ultrasound probe position while performing a block; all of these factors are addressed when using normal saline. Previous studies evaluating the image quality for ultrasound-guided central line placement have shown that gel image quality is superior to normal saline, but both provided adequate views for placement of central lines. Regional anesthesia blocks often require more fine resolution to identify nerve structures, but no study to date has evaluated how normal saline compares to gel for ultrasound-guided regional anesthesia.

### Who can participate?

Anesthesiology trainees and attending physicians within the Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative & Pain Medicine at Mount Sinai

### What does the study involve?

Anesthesiology trainees and attending physicians are asked to obtain a supraclavicular view (above the collarbone) with one medium before a washout period and then repeat the exercise with the other medium. The view with each medium is saved and two blinded experts grade each view as "proceed with the nerve block" or "not proceed with the nerve block". If there is a disagreement between the two experts a third expert provides a tie-break.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Benefits include practising ultrasound skills and using different types of medium. The risk to participants is minimal. They risk others knowing they are participating in a research study. They may have stress associated with being observed while scanning with ultrasound. There is a risk of loss of study information including name, highest level of education, experience level with regional anesthesia, and time to obtaining scans/grade of ultrasound view.

Where is the study run from?  
Mount Sinai Hospital (USA)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?  
August 2020 to May 2021

Who is funding the study?  
Investigator initiated and funded

Who is the main contact?  
Garrett Burnett  
garrett.burnett@mountsinai.org

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Dr Garrett Burnett

**Contact details**  
1468 Madison Avenue  
New York  
United States of America  
10029  
+1 (0)9728970460  
garrett.burnett@mountsinai.org

**Type(s)**  
Public

**Contact name**  
Dr Amar Bhavsar

**Contact details**  
1468 Madison Avenue  
New York  
United States of America  
10029  
+1 (0)7329861514  
Amar.bhavsar@mountsinai.org

## Additional identifiers

**Protocol serial number**  
HS#: 20-01288M

## Study information

## **Scientific Title**

Ultrasound gel vs sterile saline as conducting medium for ultrasound-guided regional anesthesia

## **Study objectives**

Gel would provide superior images for ultrasound-guided regional anesthesia compared to normal saline.

## **Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

## **Ethics approval(s)**

Approved 10/21/2020, Institutional Review Board of the Mount Sinai School of Medicine (One Gustave L. Levy Place Box 1621 New York, NY 10029, USA; +1 (0)212 824 8200; irb@mssm.edu), ref: HS#: 20-01288M

## **Study design**

Single-centre randomized simulation study with crossover component

## **Primary study design**

Interventional

## **Study type(s)**

Diagnostic

## **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Regional anesthesiology

## **Interventions**

Anesthesiology trainees and attending physicians are asked to obtain a supraclavicular view with one medium before a washout period and then repeat the exercise with the other medium. The view with each medium is saved and two blinded experts graded each view as: "proceed with the nerve block" or "not proceed with the nerve block". If there is a disagreement between the two experts a third expert provided a tie-break.

## **Intervention Type**

Procedure/Surgery

## **Primary outcome(s)**

Acceptable ultrasound image as deemed by the percentage of images that blinded expert reviewer answered "yes" to the question "Would you proceed with the block with this image?". This will be measured just after image acquisition.

## **Key secondary outcome(s)**

Quality of ultrasound image measured by a visual analogue scale of 0-10 by a blinded expert reviewer just after image acquisition

## **Completion date**

29/05/2021

## **Eligibility**

**Key inclusion criteria**

Anesthesiology trainee or attending at the Mount Sinai Hospital who completed all required peripheral nerve blocks required by the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education

**Participant type(s)**

Health professional

**Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

**Age group**

Adult

**Sex**

All

**Key exclusion criteria**

Subject refused after being explained study

**Date of first enrolment**

05/03/2021

**Date of final enrolment**

28/05/2021

**Locations****Countries of recruitment**

United States of America

**Study participating centre**

**Mount Sinai Hospital**

1468 Madison Avenue

New York

United States of America

10029

**Sponsor information****Organisation**

Mount Sinai Hospital

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/05deks119>

# Funder(s)

## Funder type

Other

## Funder Name

Investigator initiated and funded

# Results and Publications

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are/will be available upon request from Garrett Burnett (garrett.burnett@mountsinai.org). The study data will be kept for 6 months following the publication of the study. Data will include training level, prior use of saline as an ultrasound medium, comfort with supraclavicular blocks, time to obtain supraclavicular block, acceptability of ultrasound image, and quality of ultrasound image. Unidentified data will be shared with researchers inquiring for further analyses, collaboration or additional information if deemed acceptable by the Mount Sinai Institutional Review Board.

## IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request