

Can a vertebral fracture screening tool be used remotely as well as face-to-face?

Submission date 06/01/2022	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 10/01/2022	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 24/07/2025	Condition category Musculoskeletal Diseases	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

A vertebral fracture (broken bone in the back due to weak bones) increases the chance of more fractures, but only one in three patients are diagnosed. One reason for this is that medical staff find it difficult to know who should have a spinal X-ray.

To help medical staff decide, researchers have carried out a study that has resulted in the Vfrac tool. They now want to look at whether Vfrac can be completed by people at home or whether it needs to be done face-to-face (F2F) by a nurse or doctor.

There are three stages to this study:

1. Inviting men to complete the Vfrac questionnaire and simple physical examination at home. They will then attend a research clinic where the researchers will do the same F2F. They will be asked which they prefer and why.
2. Inviting women who have already had Vfrac done F2F as part of another study to complete the Vfrac questionnaire and simple physical examination at home. They will also be asked which they prefer and why.
3. Looking at the agreement between F2F and home completion of Vfrac by men and women to see if it can be completed by people at home.

Who can participate?

Men and women with back pain. For stage 1, men must be aged 65+ years and have had a spine X-ray recently. For stage 2, women must have already had Vfrac done F2F as part of another study.

What does the study involve?

Participants complete a questionnaire at home and send their answers back to the research team. For stage 1, participants will attend a research clinic for a 15-minute appointment to have the same questionnaire done F2F.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Completing the questionnaire at home as part of the study will take up a little time. Those participants who take part in stage 1 will also need to attend a research clinic in a hospital for a F2F assessment. Although this study will not benefit participants directly, it is hoped that this study will make it easier to identify people who have broken a bone in their back in the future.

Where is the study run from?
The University of Bristol (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?
January 2022 to April 2024

Who is funding the study?
Stage 1: University of Bristol Elizabeth Blackwell Institute MRC Confidence in Concept (CiC) award (ref: 410) (UK)
Stage 2: National Institute for Health Research (ref: NIHR 203026) (UK)

Who is the main contact?
Vfrac study team
Vfrac-study@bristol.ac.uk

Contact information

Type(s)
Principal investigator

Contact name
Prof Emma Clark

ORCID ID
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8332-9052>

Contact details
Musculoskeletal Research Unit
Translational Health Sciences
Bristol Medical School
University of Bristol
Learning & Research Building
Southmead Hospital
Westbury-on-Trym
Bristol
United Kingdom
BS10 5NB
+44 (0)117 414 7862
Vfrac-study@bristol.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

Integrated Research Application System (IRAS)
309446

Study information

Scientific Title
Testing an osteoporotic vertebral fracture screening tool (Vfrac): remote vs face-to-face data collection

Study objectives

Researchers have developed the Vfrac clinical tool using the MRC framework for the development and evaluation of complex interventions. The intention of Vfrac is to help healthcare practitioners in primary care decide if an older woman with back pain is at high risk of an osteoporotic vertebral fracture (OVF) and therefore requires a spinal radiograph to confirm the diagnosis. It contains 15 simple components based on self-reported data and a physical examination. It takes less than 5 minutes to perform and produces a binary output of "Low risk - spinal X-ray is not recommended" or "High risk - spinal X-ray is recommended as may have a vertebral fracture".

Currently no testing of Vfrac has been undertaken within a real-world clinical setting. Discussions with primary care colleagues have highlighted how much the model of consultation /service delivery has changed in the pandemic, and it is highly unlikely that there will be a full-scale return to face-to-face (F2F) consultations with GPs as the primary mode of clinical assessment. The Vfrac tool was originally planned to be used by practice nurses (all research data were collected by research nurses trained to the level of a practice nurse). However, there is an important question about whether Vfrac could be used fully remotely, with patients self-completing all questions and carrying out a self-assessment of the physical characteristics (height, weight and wall-to-tragus distance) themselves.

Published data on self-assessment of the wall-to-tragus distance, and work with our experienced in-house musculoskeletal PPI group have allowed us to produce easy to use instructions for completion of the Vfrac tool at home. Instructions are on a single side of A4 as the patient partners did not want it spread over two sides of paper. However, there is a concern that people with vertebral fractures may find it difficult to measure their wall-to-tragus distance due to difficulty raising their arms above head height. Remote use therefore needs to be tested in a group of older people with and without vertebral fractures.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Approved 17/05/2022, London - Bloomsbury Research Ethics Committee (HRA RES Centre Manchester, 3rd Floor Barlow House, 4 Minshull Street, Manchester, M1 3DZ, UK; +44 (0) 207104828, +44 (0)2071048272; bloomsbury.rec@hra.nhs.uk), ref: 22/PR/0378

Study design

Testing of agreement

Primary study design

Observational

Study type(s)

Screening

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Osteoporotic vertebral fractures

Interventions

Stage 1: Testing agreement between remote vs face-to-face (F2F) Vfrac in men
Men aged over 65 years (65+) who have had a spinal radiograph will be invited to take part in this study. All those recruited will be sent the Vfrac questionnaire and supporting documentation to complete at home with return of the completed documents to the study team. All participants will then be invited to a research clinic to have Vfrac completed F2F. Satisfaction and ease of use of remote Vfrac compared to F2F assessment will be investigated using a questionnaire. Outputs of the remote Vfrac (high risk vs low risk) will be compared to the F2F Vfrac (high risk vs low risk) and agreement assessed using Cohen's kappa. Outputs of the satisfaction and ease of use questionnaire will be used to modify the tool if necessary prior to Stage 2.

Stage 2: Testing agreement between remote vs face-to-face (F2F) Vfrac in women
As part of a separate feasibility study aiming to optimise Vfrac within a real-world clinical setting in primary care, women will be recruited for a nested study to assess agreement between remote vs face-to-face (F2F) use of Vfrac. This separate recruitment strategy is being used for women for efficiency. Women within three general practices where the Vfrac tool was used during a consultation for back pain will be recruited to Stage 2. They will already have had a F2F assessment with Vfrac as part of the feasibility study and those recruited to Stage 2 of this project will be sent the Vfrac questionnaire and supporting documentation to complete at home with return of the completed documents to the study team. Satisfaction and ease of use of remote Vfrac compared to F2F assessment will be investigated using the same questionnaire as Stage 1. Outputs of the remote Vfrac (high risk vs low risk) will be compared to the F2F Vfrac (high risk vs low risk) and agreement assessed using Cohen's kappa.

Stage 3: Decision as to whether Vfrac can be used remotely
Decision will be based on (1) size of agreement identified in Stages 1 and 2; and (2) patient satisfaction and ease of use of the self-completion questionnaire and written instructions. If Cohen's kappa is <0.6 (substantial agreement) remote use (self-completion) will not be incorporated into any future trial. If Cohen's kappa is ≥ 0.6 , data from the satisfaction questionnaire will be used to make any further necessary modifications to facilitate remote use (self-completion) of Vfrac in any future RCT or implementation study.

Intervention Type

Other

Primary outcome(s)

Measures taken once in each stage:

Stages 1 and 2:

1. Risk of having an osteoporotic vertebral fracture (OVF) as calculated by the self-completed Vfrac tool
2. Risk of having an OVF as calculated by the face-to-face Vfrac tool

Stage 3:

Assessment of agreement between remote vs F2F use of the Vfrac tool using Cohen's kappa. Standard classifications of Cohen's kappa will be used with 0.6 indicating substantial agreement

Key secondary outcome(s)

Satisfaction and ease of use of remote vs F2F Vfrac questionnaire collected during Stages 1 and 2. Questions based on the framework on Quality in Healthcare developed by Huycke et al. to cover process, interpersonal and technical attributes, plus relevant questions from the validated question on remote consultations by Mekhjian et al.

Completion date

01/04/2024

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Stage 1:

1. Patient is willing and able to give informed consent for participation in the study
2. Male aged 65+ years
3. Spinal radiograph in the previous 3 to 6 months

Stage 2:

1. Patient is willing and able to give informed consent for participation in the study
2. Patient had a previous F2F use of Vfrac when consulting in primary care with back pain

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

65 years

Sex

All

Key exclusion criteria

Stage 1:

1. Female
2. Aged under 65 years
3. Has not had a spinal radiograph in the previous 3 to 6 months
4. Spinal malignancy (cancer) mentioned in the radiology report
5. Patients who do not have the capacity to provide informed consent. Capacity to consent will be assessed by the researcher, in consultation with a clinical member of the study team (EC). This is in accordance with the Mental Capacity Act 2005 (<https://www.hra.nhs.uk/planning-and-improving-research/policies-standards-legislation/mental-capacity-act/>).
6. Participants who are unwilling to provide informed consent

Stage 2:

1. Not had a previous F2F use of Vfrac
2. Spinal malignancy

3. Patients who do not have the capacity to provide informed consent. Capacity to consent will be assessed by the researcher, in consultation with a clinical member of the study team (EC). This is in accordance with the Mental Capacity Act 2005 (<https://www.hra.nhs.uk/planning-and-improving-research/policies-standards-legislation/mental-capacity-act/>)
4. Participants who are unwilling to provide informed consent

Date of first enrolment

01/05/2022

Date of final enrolment

30/11/2023

Locations

Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

England

Study participating centre**North Bristol NHS Trust**

Southmead Hospital

Southmead Road

Westbury-on-trym

Bristol

United Kingdom

BS10 5NB

Study participating centre**NHS Bristol CCG Hq**

South Plaza

Marlborough Street

Bristol

United Kingdom

BS1 3NX

Study participating centre**University Hospitals Bristol and Weston NHS Foundation Trust**

Trust Headquarters

Marlborough Street

Bristol

United Kingdom

BS1 3NU

Study participating centre
University of Bristol
Senate House
Tyndall Avenue
Bristol
United Kingdom
BS8 1TH

Sponsor information

Organisation
University of Bristol

ROR
<https://ror.org/0524sp257>

Funder(s)

Funder type
University/education

Funder Name
University of Bristol Elizabeth Blackwell Institute MRC Confidence in Concept (CiC) award

Alternative Name(s)
Universitas Bristolliensis, bristoluniversity, bristoluni

Funding Body Type
Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype
Universities (academic only)

Location
United Kingdom

Funder Name
Research for Patient Benefit Programme

Alternative Name(s)

NIHR Research for Patient Benefit Programme, Research for Patient Benefit (RfPB), The NIHR Research for Patient Benefit (RfPB), RfPB

Funding Body Type

Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype

National government

Location

United Kingdom

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

Dr Emma Clark is the data custodian for this study. Direct access will be granted to authorised representatives from the Sponsor and host institution for monitoring and/or audit of the study to ensure compliance with regulations. It is the researchers' intention to share the underpinning research data to maximise reuse. Patients will be asked for permission to share anonymised data beyond the immediate project team. The data will be deposited at the University of Bristol Research Data Repository (as restricted data). A metadata record will be published openly by the repository and this record will clearly state how data can be accessed. The actual data is only made available to authenticated researchers upon application. The criteria the researchers use to check applicants against is: the applicant has provided a verifiable institutional affiliation; the applicant has provided verifiable institutional contact details; the applicant has nominated an appropriate institutional signatory; the applicant has ethical approval in place (this may not be required, depending on the nature of the requested dataset). The request is referred to the University of Bristol Data Access Committee (DAC) for approval before data can be released. Again, the applicant's host institution must agree to a Data Access Agreement.

IPD sharing plan summary

Stored in non-publicly available repository

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article		23/07/2025	24/07/2025	Yes	No
Protocol article		07/02/2024	07/02/2024	Yes	No
HRA research summary			28/06/2023	No	No
Protocol file	version 1.0	25/05/2022	17/11/2022	No	No
Study website	Study website	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes