

Reverse or Anatomical replacement for Painful Shoulder Osteoarthritis, Differences between Interventions (RAPSODI-UK)

Submission date 13/07/2022	Recruitment status Recruiting	☒ Prospectively registered ☒ Protocol
Registration date 13/07/2022	Overall study status Ongoing	☐ Statistical analysis plan ☐ Results
Last Edited 01/05/2026	Condition category Musculoskeletal Diseases	☐ Individual participant data ☒ Record updated in last year

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

The aim of this study is to find the best type of joint replacement for the treatment of painful osteoarthritis of the shoulder.

With increasing age, shoulder osteoarthritis is common and causes severe pain and stiffness making everyday activities difficult. A shoulder replacement is an effective solution, reducing pain and allowing the shoulder to move better. The operation replaces damaged bone with new metal and plastic parts. There are two types of shoulder replacement:

1. Anatomic Total Shoulder Replacement which relies on the tendons (Rotator Cuff) around the shoulder to be intact and healthy
2. Reverse Total Shoulder Replacement, which is usually used when the rotator cuff becomes weaker or torn

The rotator cuff can weaken with age which may cause an anatomic replacement to stop working. This could mean a further operation to change the shoulder to a reverse total shoulder replacement. For this reason, an increasing number of patients are offered reverse shoulder replacements even when their rotator cuff is intact. Currently, there is no scientific evidence to support this change and no guidance to recommend which is the best type of shoulder joint replacement. We will investigate which type of surgery gives value for money and the best outcome.

The local PPI Group played a central role in designing this study. They felt that this is an important question to answer and that with surgery it is vital to get 'it' right the first time both for the patient and for economic reasons. We, therefore, asked 34 surgeons in a survey about their practice and found 87% already perform or would consider a reverse shoulder in patients with an intact rotator cuff and 74% would be willing to change practice based on the results of the study evidence. Fourteen people who are volunteers for the hospital completed a survey containing a study information sheet. Thirteen said that they would consider being randomised to a study of this type. The PPI group influenced the choice of outcome measure and suggested the addition of a linked qualitative study. A member of the group has agreed to be a co-

applicant for the study. All participant documentation will be written with input from the PPI group, strengthened with support from diversity and inclusion experts.

Who can participate?

People over the age of 60 who would benefit from a shoulder replacement and have an attached working rotator cuff will be asked to take part in the study.

What does the study involve?

Before their operation, participants will fill in questionnaires about pain and function. At the time of surgery, the type of replacement given will be decided by a process called randomisation. This means that the patient may be allocated to have either an anatomic or reverse total shoulder replacement with equal chance of either type of replacement (like tossing a coin). Participants will not know which treatment group they are in until the end of the study. Clinic visits after the operation will happen as normal but with the addition of remote questionnaires at 3, 6, 12, 18 and 24 months. A subgroup of about 20 participants will be interviewed at 2 and 12 months after their operations to share experiences and thoughts about their recovery.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Shoulder replacements can only be improved with the help of patients. So taking part in this study means that patients may help improve the care of future patients who need shoulder replacements. Patients may also have more support taking part in the study because of the wider team involved. There is no increased risk for patients taking part in the study. The NHS has treated patients with the types of shoulder replacements being compared in this study for many years. Patients taking part will face the same risks of surgery and receive the same care as patients who have one of these shoulder replacements without taking part in the study. Any adverse events that patients taking part may experience will be followed-up according to regulatory requirements.

Where is the study run from?

Wrightington, Wigan, and Leigh Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust (UK) in collaboration with York Trials Unit (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

From March 2022 to November 2028

Who is funding the study?

National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment (HTA) (UK)

Who is the main contact?

The study team can be contacted at ytu-rapsodi@york.ac.uk

Contact information

Type(s)

Principal investigator

Contact name

Prof Ian Trail

ORCID ID

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1874-019X>

Contact details

Wrightington, Wigan & Leigh NHS Foundation Trust
Hall Lane
Appley Bridge
Wigan
United Kingdom
WN6 0XT
+44 (0)1257 488213
Ian.Trail@wwl.nhs.uk

Type(s)

Principal investigator

Contact name

Prof Joseph Dias

ORCID ID

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5360-4543>

Contact details

Leicester General Hospital
Gwendolen Road
Leicester
United Kingdom
LE5 4PW
+44 (0)116 258 4702
jd96@leicester.ac.uk

Type(s)

Public, Scientific

Contact name

Dr Stephen Brealey

ORCID ID

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9749-7014>

Contact details

Department of Health Sciences
University of York
York
United Kingdom
YO10 5DD
+44 (0)7450027363
stephen.brealey@york.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

Integrated Research Application System (IRAS)

313848

Central Portfolio Management System (CPMS)

53735

National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR)

133418

Study information

Scientific Title

Reverse or Anatomical replacement for Painful Shoulder Osteoarthritis, Differences between Interventions (RAPSODI-UK): a multi-centre, pragmatic, parallel group, superiority randomised controlled trial

Acronym

RAPSODI-UK

Study objectives

In patients aged 60 years and over, with painful OA of the shoulder with an intact rotator cuff and suitable bone stock, is reverse total shoulder replacement (rTSR) superior, in terms of clinical and cost-effectiveness, to anatomical total shoulder replacement (aTSR)?

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Approved 04/10/2022, London - Queen Square Research Ethics Committee (HRA NRES Centre Bristol, 3rd floor, block B Whitefriars, Lewins Mead, Bristol, BS1 2NT, UK; +44 (0)207 1048225, (0) 207 1048284; queensquare.rec@hra.nhs.uk), ref: 22/LO/0617

Primary study design

Interventional

Study design

Pragmatic, patient and assessor-blinded, multi-centre, parallel-group, superiority randomized controlled trial with a full health economic evaluation, data linkage with the National Joint Registry, and an embedded qualitative interview study

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Painful osteoarthritis of the shoulder joint

Interventions

Eligible and consenting patients will be randomly allocated to either anatomical total shoulder replacement (aTSR) or reverse total shoulder replacement (rTSR). Only non-augmented replacements will be used. Therefore, patient specific implants that are custom made to an individual's anatomical specifications will not be allowed.

Intervention:

For the rTSR, the arrangement of the ball and socket component parts are reversed making use of the deltoid muscle for movement of the arm: it does not rely on an intact or functioning rotator cuff.

Comparator:

The aTSR is a conventional shoulder replacement which mimics the natural ball and socket structure of the joint and relies on the presence of an intact rotator cuff for useful range of movement. The choice of implant will depend on local practice at recruiting sites but will include any anatomical shoulder implant from any manufacturer licensed for use in the UK implanted using techniques consistent with manufacturer instructions.

Randomisation:

Allocation will be 1:1, using random permuted blocks of random block size, stratified by age (60-69; 70+) as a surrogate of deteriorating shoulder rotator cuff function. The allocation schedule will be generated by a trial statistician, otherwise not involved in the recruitment or randomisation of participants. It will be implemented using a secure web-based randomisation service managed by York Trials Unit (YTU), ensuring allocation concealment. The research team at the site will confirm patient eligibility and consent and access the online service to perform the randomisation ideally two weeks before surgery but no earlier than the pre-operative clinic to confirm the patient is fit for surgery.

Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

Primary outcome(s)

Patient-reported shoulder pain and function measured using combined Shoulder Pain and Disability Index (SPADI) score at 24 months

Key secondary outcome(s)

Current secondary outcome measures as of 19/09/2025:

1. Pain and function measured using total SPADI score at 3, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months
2. Quality of life measured using individual subscales of pain and disability from SPADI, Oxford Shoulder Score (OSS), and EuroQol 5-dimension 5-level (EQ-5D-5L) questionnaires at 3, 6, 12, 18 (SPADI only) and 24 months
3. Global perceived effect is measured by asking the patient at 24 months for their opinion about the change in their shoulder since the start of the trial using a 5-point Likert scale
4. Resource use measured using a patient-reported questionnaire at 3, 6, 12, and 24 months
5. Re-operations and complications measured from medical records at 3, 6, 12, and 24 months, also complications specific to the arthroplasty implant (e.g. glenoid loosening) will be reviewed by the local surgeon using post-operative and 24-month radiographs
6. Objective assessments using shoulder range of movement and strength and global shoulder score at 24 months
7. Revisions and mortality measured from medical records at 24 months

Previous secondary outcome measures:

1. Pain and function measured using total SPADI score at 3, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months
2. Quality of life measured using individual subscales of pain and disability from SPADI, Oxford Shoulder Score (OSS), and EuroQol 5-dimension 5-level (EQ-5D-5L) questionnaires at 3, 6, 12, 18 (SPADI only) and 24 months
3. Resource use measured using a patient-reported questionnaire at 3, 6, 12, and 24 months
4. Re-operations and complications measured from medical records at 3, 6, 12, and 24 months
5. Objective assessments using shoulder range of movement and strength and global shoulder score at 24 months
6. Revisions and mortality measured from medical records at 24 months.

Completion date

30/11/2028

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. Aged ≥ 60 years
2. Diagnosis of painful osteoarthritis of the glenohumeral joint using routine radiographs not controlled by previous interventions
3. An intact rotator cuff determined by pre-operative advanced imaging (Ultrasound, MRI, or CT)
4. Minimal glenoid erosion determined by pre-operative CT or other imaging in whom a non-augmented replacement is appropriate
5. Able to give informed consent

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Mixed

Lower age limit

60 Years

Upper age limit

120 Years

Sex

All

Total final enrolment

0

Key exclusion criteria

1. Shoulder replacement surgery contra-indicated
2. A diagnosis of inflammatory arthritis, acute trauma or trauma sequelae

3. Evidence that the patient would be unable to adhere to trial procedures or complete questionnaires
4. Trial participant for TSR for opposite shoulder

Date of first enrolment

01/11/2022

Date of final enrolment

30/11/2026

Locations

Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

England

Northern Ireland

Wales

Study participating centre

Wrightington Hospital NHS Trust

Hall Lane

Wrightington

Wigan

England

WN6 9EP

Study participating centre

Airedale General Hospital

Skipton Road

Steeton

Keighley

England

BD20 6TD

Study participating centre

Musgrave Park Hospital

Stockmans Ln

Belfast

Northern Ireland

BT9 7JB

Study participating centre

Royal Berkshire Hospital

Royal Berkshire Hospital

London Road

Reading

England

RG1 5AN

Study participating centre

Sandwell and West Birmingham Hospitals NHS Trust

City Hospital

Dudley Road

Birmingham

England

B18 7QH

Study participating centre

Southmead Hospital

Southmead Road

Westbury-on-trym

Bristol

England

BS10 5NB

Study participating centre

West Suffolk Hospital

Hardwick Ln

Bury Saint Edmunds

England

IP33 2QZ

Study participating centre

University Hospital of Wales

Heath Park

Cardiff

Wales

CF14 4XW

Study participating centre

St James's University Hospital

St James's University Hospital
Gledow Wing
Beckett Street
Leeds
England
LS9 7TF

Study participating centre**Countess of Chester Hospital**

Countess of Chester Health Park
Liverpool Road
Chester
England
CH2 1UL

Study participating centre**Chesterfield Royal Hospital**

Chesterfield Road
Calow
Chesterfield
England
S44 5BL

Study participating centre**Colchester General Hospital**

Colchester District General Hosp.
Charter Way
Turner Road
Colchester
England
CO4 5JL

Study participating centre**University Hospital Coventry**

University Hospital Coventry
Clifford Bridge Road
Coventry
England
CV2 2DX

Study participating centre
Royal Derby Hospital
Uttoxeter Road
Derby
England
DE22 3NE

Study participating centre
Prince Phillip Hospital
Bryngwyn Mawr
Llanelli
Wales
SA14 8QF

Study participating centre
Ipswich Hospital
Heath Road
Ipswich
England
IP4 5PD

Study participating centre
Leicester Royal Infirmary
Infirmary Square
Leicester
England
LE1 5WW

Study participating centre
Broadgreen Hospital
Thomas Drive
Liverpool
England
L14 3LB

Study participating centre
Trafford General Hospital
Trafford General Hospital
Moorside Road
Urmston
Manchester

England
M41 5SL

Study participating centre
Milton Keynes University Hospital
Standing Way
Eaglestone
Milton Keynes
England
MK6 5LD

Study participating centre
Furness General Hospital
Dalton Lane
Barrow-in-furness
England
LA14 4LF

Study participating centre
North Tyneside General Hospital
North Tyneside General Hospital
Rake Lane
North Shields
England
NE29 8NH

Study participating centre
Nottingham City Hospital
Hucknall Road
Nottingham
England
NG5 1PB

Study participating centre
Nuffield Orthopaedic Centre
Windmill Road
Headington
Oxford
England
OX3 7HE

Study participating centre
Peterborough City Hospital
Edith Cavell Campus
Bretton Gate
Bretton
Peterborough
England
PE3 9GZ

Study participating centre
Northern General Hospital
Northern General Hospital NHS Trust
C Floor, Huntsman Building
Herries Road
Sheffield
England
S5 7AU

Study participating centre
Royal National Orthopaedic Hospital
Brockley Hill
Stanmore
England
HA7 4LP

Study participating centre
Princess Royal Hospital
Lewes Road
Haywards Heath
England
RH16 4EX

Study participating centre
Yeovil District Hospital
Higher Kingston
Yeovil
England
BA21 4AT

Study participating centre

Sulis Hospital Bath

Foxcote Avenue
Bath Business Park
Peasedown St. John
Bath
England
BA2 8SQ

Study participating centre

Frimley Health NHS Foundation Trust

Portsmouth Road
Frimley
Camberley
England
GU16 7UJ

Study participating centre

Sherwood Forest Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

Kings Mill Hospital
Mansfield Road
Sutton-in-ashfield
England
NG17 4JL

Study participating centre

Wirral University Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust

Arrowe Park Hospital
Arrowe Park Road
Upton
Wirral
England
CH49 5PE

Study participating centre

The Queen Elizabeth Hospital, King's Lynn, NHS Foundation Trust

Queen Elizabeth Hospital
Gayton Road
King's Lynn
England
PE30 4ET

Sponsor information

Organisation

Wrightington, Wigan and Leigh NHS Foundation Trust

ROR

<https://ror.org/028mrxf52>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

National Institute for Health and Care Research

Alternative Name(s)

National Institute for Health Research, NIHR Research, NIHRresearch, NIHR - National Institute for Health Research, NIHR (The National Institute for Health and Care Research), NIHR

Funding Body Type

Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype

National government

Location

United Kingdom

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study will be available upon request from the trial team (ytu-rapsodi@york.ac.uk). Anonymised data will be shared for secondary analyses including meta-analyses. Consent from participants was obtained to allow the sharing of their data with other researchers in other institutions such that they could not be identified in any data released.

IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
-------------	---------	--------------	------------	----------------	-----------------

Protocol article		12/12/2025	17/12/2025	Yes	No
Protocol (other)		24/04/2023	29/08/2024	No	No
Study website	Study website	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes