

Peri-operative pain management in children and adolescents undergoing scoliosis surgery: pain, nausea and psychological impact

Submission date 20/02/2009	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
		<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 15/07/2009	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 17/01/2020	Condition category Surgery	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data
		<input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

Plain English summary of protocol
Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Dr Mats Karling

Contact details
Department of Anesthesia
University Hospital of Northern Sweden
Umeå
Sweden
SE 90185
+46 (0)90 785 0000
mats.karling@vll.se

Additional identifiers

Clinical Trials Information System (CTIS)
2008-001642-19

Protocol serial number
EudraCT:

Study information

Scientific Title

Intravenous analgesia with S-ketamine and morphine versus epidural analgesia with fentanyl, bupivacaine and epinephrine: a randomised controlled trial

Acronym

SPIC

Study objectives

Patient-controlled intravenous analgesia with morphine and S-ketamine hydrochloride is as effective in pain control with less invasiveness and has comparable or less adverse effects as patient-controlled epidural analgesia with bupivacaine, fentanyl and epinephrine after scoliosis surgery.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Regional Ethics Board of Umea University, Sweden gave approval on 5th December 2008 (ref: 08-056)

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Post-operative pain after scoliosis surgery

Interventions

In one arm pain treatment consist of a continued epidural infusion of a mixture of bupivacaine 1 mg/ml, epinephrine 2 µg/ml and fentanyl 2 µg/ml with patient controlled extra boluses of 0.1 ml/kg to a maximum 5 ml. Initial bolus will be 0.02 ml/kg/segment (maximum of 15 ml) and an initial infusion rate of 0.2 ml/kg/h (maximum of 15 ml/h). Maximal infusion rate inclusive bolus doses will not exceed 0.4 ml/kg/h. The epidural infusion rate is adjusted according to effect and spread.

In the other arm pain treatment consists of a continues intravenous infusion of (S)-ketaminehydrochlorid 1 mg/ml 0.1 mg/kg/h and will be started after an initial bolus of 0.25 mg/kg. Morphine 1 mg/ml administered as a Patient Controlled bolus intravenous injection of 25 µg/kg lock out time 6 minutes, after an initial repeated boluses of 50 µg/kg until pain is lower than 30 mm measured with Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) (0 - 100 mm). A continuous infusion of morphine will be started at a rate of 10 µg/kg/h. The bolus dose or the infusion rate will be adjusted according to needs. Background infusion may be increased 5 µg/kg/h until a maximum of 25 µg/kg/h.

These treatments will be given for 6 days. Follow up of pain, side effects, bowel function and mobilisation will be as long as the patient is hospitalised (about 9 days) and further 2 weeks at home.

Intervention Type

Drug

Phase

Not Applicable

Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)

Morphine, S-ketamine hydrochloride, bupivacaine, fentanyl, epinephrine

Primary outcome(s)

Patients self-report on pain at rest, measured with a Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) (0 - 100 mm, where 0 means no pain and 100 means worst possible pain) every 4 hours during the six first post-operative days.

Key secondary outcome(s)

1. Pain in motion (during coughing) measured once every 24 hours, VAS (0 - 100 mm)
2. Nausea: defined as 0 = no nausea, 1 = a little nausea, 2 = much nausea, and 3 = vomiting will be monitored every 4 hours and when the patient reports nausea
3. Pruritus defined as: 0 = no pruritus, 1 = pruritus will be monitored when occurring
4. Bowel activity: measured every 12 hours and defined as follows: bowel sounds = 1, first flatus = 2, first tolerated meal = 3, first bowel movement (defined by the patient as defecation) = 4
5. Time to first demanded dose
6. Time to first rescue-analgesia measured from the start of the post-operative study-protocol. Criteria for rescue-administration will be pain, self-report VAS greater than 30 mm in spite of the study protocol for pain management in the respective PCIA and PCEDA groups.
7. Number of demanded PCA-doses
8. Number of rescue doses
9. Total amount of rescue-morphine measured in mg
10. Occurrence of negative psychological effects, as nightmares, hallucinations or confusion
11. A rating of the participants global satisfaction, rated once a day (very satisfied = 0, satisfied = 1, dissatisfied = 2, very dissatisfied = 3)
12. Adherence to mobilisation plan will be recorded daily (0 = plan not fulfilled, 1 = plan fulfilled, 2 = ahead of plan)
13. Length of stay in the hospital (LOS) measured from the day of surgery

Outcome-measures in the follow up study will be:

1. The Trauma Symptom Checklist for Children and the adolescents completed at 1 month after returning home
2. Self-reports of common pain and worst pain, will be rated every day, the first 14 days at home after the surgery
3. The adolescents will write a diary on how they experience the first 14 days at home
4. Interviews will be performed 1 month after the surgery

Completion date

01/04/2011

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Children and adolescents (aged 12 - 18 years, either sex) with idiopathic scoliosis scheduled for correction by posterior spinal fusion.

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Other

Sex

All

Key exclusion criteria

1. Pregnant
2. Allergic to study drugs
3. Unable to present self reports on pain and nausea

Date of first enrolment

01/04/2009

Date of final enrolment

01/04/2011

Locations

Countries of recruitment

Sweden

Study participating centre

Department of Anesthesia

Umeå

Sweden

SE 90185

Sponsor information

Organisation

University Hospital of Northern Sweden (University Hospital of Umeå)

ROR

<https://ror.org/012k96e85>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

Västerbotten Läns Landsting

Alternative Name(s)

Västerbotten County Council

Funding Body Type

Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype

Local government

Location

Sweden

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration