

The effects of different community fitness centre based interventions in sedentary adults

Submission date 20/02/2018	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 05/03/2018	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 15/02/2019	Condition category Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Loss of lean body mass and strength are key public health concerns. Aerobic physical activity as currently prescribed in guidelines is relatively ineffective for improving these outcomes. Resistance training however is known to improve both. There is relatively little research examining community-based resistance training interventions for public health. The aim of this study is to examine the effects of community fitness centre based exercise interventions involving resistance training using either a structured or unstructured approach, or physical activity counselling, upon lean body mass and strength.

Who can participate?

Sedentary adults (not meeting physical activity recommendations) currently not taking any medication for cardiovascular (heart) disease

What does the study involve?

Participants are offered one of two pathways. Those choosing the fitness centre pathway are randomly allocated to one of two interventions: a structured exercise programme or free /unstructured exercise. Those choosing a non-fitness centre pathway are randomly allocated to either physical activity counselling (PAC), or to just participate in the measurement sessions. At the start of the study and after the interventions (48 weeks) participants have their lean body mass and strength assessed.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Participants benefit from being able to take part in either community fitness centre interventions or physical activity counselling for 48 weeks, which may result in improvements in lean body mass and strength. The potential risks include injury or other complications as a result of the exercise program.

Where is the study run from?

University of Greenwich (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

January 2013 to April 2015

Who is funding the study?
University of Greenwich (UK) and ukactive (UK)

Who is the main contact?
1. Dr Steven Mann
2. Dr James Steele

Contact information

Type(s)
Public

Contact name
Dr Steven Mann

Contact details
Waters Edge
Building Nine, Riverside Way
Watchmoor Park
Camberley
United Kingdom
GU15 3YL

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Dr James Steele

Contact details
26 28 Bedford Row
London
United Kingdom
WC1R 4HE

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number
CommunityFitnessCentreStudy

Study information

Scientific Title
The effects of 48 week structured exercise, unstructured exercise, physical activity counselling, or measurement only control on strength and body composition in sedentary adults

Study objectives

A structured exercise intervention will result in greater strength and body composition improvements compared with either unstructured exercise, physical activity counselling, or measurement only control.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

University of Greenwich, 10/07/2012, ref: UREC/11/12.5.6.11

Study design

Semi-randomised trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Other

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Physical activity and exercise

Interventions

The population (P) was sedentary adults. The interventions (I) included two fitness centre interventions and a physical activity counselling intervention both described below, and the comparator (C) was a measurement only control group. Outcomes (O) included body composition and strength.

A semi-randomised trial design was utilised. Participants were initially offered one of two pathways. Those choosing the fitness centre pathway were randomised to one of two interventions; a structured exercise programme (STRUC), or free/unstructured exercise (FREE). Those choosing a non-fitness centre pathway were randomised to either physical activity counselling (PAC), or to a measurement only control condition (CONT) including two health checks. Interventions were delivered over 48 weeks with measures at 0 (baseline) and 48 weeks.

STRUC had access to all fitness centre facilities and received an individualised and structured RT programme. This programme was based on guidelines published by the American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM). RT loads were based upon calculations of one-repetition maximum (1RM) derived from baseline data (see below). As the studies were conducted in ecologically valid community settings there was some flexibility in the exercises utilised based on participant preferences and any orthopaedic issues/injuries. However, all participants at a minimum followed a full body routine consisting of an upper body multi-joint push (e.g. chest press, overhead press, or dip), upper body multi-joint pull (e.g. pulldown, or seated row), and lower body multi-joint push (e.g. leg press). Exercise professionals met STRUC participants once a month to discuss their progress.

FREE participants had access to all fitness centre facilities but received no structured programme. Exercise professionals met with FREE participants once each month to discuss progress.

PAC participants met exercise professionals once each month for counselling sessions structured around the model proposed by Haase et al. and delivered within the fitness centre location. PAC participants did not however have access to any fitness centre exercise facilities.

CONT participants acted as the comparator group, did not receive an intervention, and did not have access to any fitness centre exercise facilities. Whilst CONT did not receive an exercise intervention, they did receive two free health screens (pre and post measurement) over the duration of the study. Exercise professionals were instructed to have no contact with CONT participants other than to arrange data collection at 0 and 48 weeks.

Intervention Type

Behavioural

Primary outcome(s)

Measured pre and post (48 weeks) intervention:

1. Body composition, including BF mass (kg), LBM (kg) and BF percentage (%), measured using bioelectrical-impedance (Bodystat 1500, Bodystat, Isle of Man, UK). Guidelines from the National Institute of Health Research Southampton Biomedical Research Centre were followed for body compositions assessment (<http://www.uhs.nhs.uk/Media/Southampton-Clinical-Research/Procedures/BRCProcedures/Procedure-for-bioimpedance-with-Bodystat-1500.pdf>).
2. Predicted 1RM for chest press, pull down and leg press obtained by gauging the maximal weight that could be lifted successfully for between 5 and 15 repetitions, and inputting these data into the Brzycki equation (i.e. $\text{weight}/(1.0278-(0.0278 \times \text{No. Repetitions}))$). These results were collapsed into a single strength measure (the mean of the predicted 1RM for each exercise).

Key secondary outcome(s)

Measured pre and post (48 weeks) intervention:

1. Maximal aerobic capacity (VO₂max) predicted using the Modified Balke Protocol. Participants walked on a treadmill at between 3.6 and 5.6kph, depending on ability, for 3min. Following this, the gradient was increased by 1% each minute. Ratings of perceived exertions were recorded at 1min intervals using the OMNI1–10 scale. Oxygen consumption and heart rate were continuously monitored via direct gaseous analysis (Fitmate Pro, COSMED, Italy). Predicted VO₂max was automatically extrapolated using the relationship with heart rate. The test was terminated when participants indicated perceived exertion above six (hard) and/or their heart rate reached 150 bpm.
2. Mean arterial pressure (MAP), which describes the average arterial pressure during a single cardiac cycle, incorporating both systolic and diastolic phases, but weighted towards the diastolic. Systolic (SBP) and diastolic (DBP) blood pressures (mmHg) were measured using a commercially available blood pressure monitor (Omron Healthcare, Japan). Three readings were collected and the mean value reported. MAP was estimated via the calculation $\text{MAP}=\text{DBP}+0.33(\text{SBP}-\text{DBP})$.
3. Total cholesterol (TC: the sum of low-density lipoprotein (LDL) and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol) measured via finger-prick blood analysis (Cholestech LDX, Alere, UK)

Completion date

01/04/2015

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. Sedentary, defined as currently not meeting the physical activity recommendations of the UK Chief Medical Officer
2. Taking no medication that might impact cardiovascular risk

Participant type(s)

Healthy volunteer

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Sex

All

Key exclusion criteria

1. Not classified as sedentary
2. Currently taking medication that might impact cardiovascular disease risk

Date of first enrolment

01/08/2013

Date of final enrolment

01/12/2013

Locations**Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

England

Scotland

Study participating centre**Topnotch Health Club**

Unit 2

Phoenix Square

Wyncolls Rd

Colchester

United Kingdom

CO4 9AS

Study participating centre**ESPH**

116 Lordship Lane

London
United Kingdom
SE22 8HD

Study participating centre
DC Leisure – Malden Centre
Blagdon Road
New Malden
United Kingdom
KT3 4TA

Study participating centre
DC Leisure - Harborne
Lordswood Road
Harborne
Birmingham
United Kingdom
B17 9QS

Study participating centre
The Shrewsbury Club
Sundorne Road
Shrewsbury
United Kingdom
SY1 4RG

Study participating centre
Life Leisure: Avondale
Heathbank Road
Cheadle Heath
Stockport
United Kingdom
SK3 0UP

Study participating centre
Eze Fitness Redditch
17 Alcester Street
Redditch
United Kingdom
B98 8AE

Study participating centre

Airdrie Leisure Centre

Motherwell Street

Airdrie

United Kingdom

ML6 7HU

Study participating centre

The Essex Golf & Country Club

Earls Colne

Colchester

United Kingdom

CO6 2NS

Study participating centre

Chartham Park

Felcourt Road

Felcourt

East Grinstead

United Kingdom

RH19 2JT

Study participating centre

Adam Nicholas Eze Fitness

E Service Road

Raynesway Spondon

Derby

United Kingdom

DE21 7BB

Study participating centre

Richie Sundaram / Jermaine Ward

15 Thomas More Square

London

United Kingdom

E1W 1YW

Study participating centre

White Horse Leisure and Tennis Centre

Audlett Drive
Abingdon
United Kingdom
OX14 3PJ

Study participating centre

All Seasons Leisure Centre

United Kingdom
PR7 1EX

Study participating centre

Ravenscraig Regional Sports Facility

O'Donnell Way
Motherwell
United Kingdom
ML1 2TZ

Study participating centre

Leith Victoria Leisure Centre

Junction Place
Edinburgh
United Kingdom
EH6 5JA

Study participating centre

Nizels Golf & Country Club

Nizels Lane
Hildenborough
United Kingdom
TN11 8NU

Study participating centre

Pent Valley Leisure Centre

Tile Kiln Lane
Cheriton
Folkestone
United Kingdom
CT19 4PB

Study participating centre

Fitness First

179a Tottenham Court Road
London
United Kingdom
W1T 7PA

Study participating centre

Eze Fitness Scarborough

Dunslow Road
Eastfield Business Park
Scarborough
United Kingdom
YO11 3UT

Study participating centre

Pontefract Squash and Leisure Club

Stuart Road
Pontefract
United Kingdom
WF8 4PQ

Study participating centre

Fitness First

Aspects Leisure Park
Kingswood
Bristol
United Kingdom
BS15 9LA

Study participating centre

DC Leisure

Penns Place
Petersfield
United Kingdom
GU31 4EX

Study participating centre

St James Leisure Centre

72 Waterdale

Doncaster
United Kingdom
DN1 3BU

Sponsor information

Organisation

University of Greenwich

Organisation

ukactive

Organisation

University of Greenwich

ROR

<https://ror.org/00bmj0a71>

Funder(s)

Funder type

University/education

Funder Name

University of Greenwich

Alternative Name(s)

Woolwich Polytechnic, Thames Polytechnic

Funding Body Type

Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype

Universities (academic only)

Location

United Kingdom

Funder Name

ukactive

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are/will be available upon request from Dr Steven Mann or Dr James Steele.

IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	27/03/2018		Yes	No