

Comparison of ultra-low-dose versus standard-dose computed tomography for esophageal foreign body detection

Submission date 26/03/2026	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	☐ Prospectively registered ☐ Protocol
Registration date 31/03/2026	Overall study status Completed	☐ Statistical analysis plan ☐ Results
Last Edited 30/03/2026	Condition category Digestive System	☐ Individual participant data ☒ Record updated in last year

Plain English summary of protocol
Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)
Public, Scientific, Principal investigator

Contact name
Dr Xing Guan

Contact details
No. 89 Donggang Road, Yuhua District
Shijiazhuang
China
050000
+86 (0)15932013616
guanxing_gx2024@126.com

Additional identifiers

Study information

Scientific Title
Prospective comparison of ultra-low-dose versus standard-dose computed tomography for esophageal foreign body detection

Study objectives

This study aimed to address these knowledge gaps by conducting a prospective comparison of ultra-low-dose CT (ULD-CT) and standard-dose CT (SD-CT) for EFB detection.

Ethics approval required

Ethics approval required

Ethics approval(s)

Approved 15/04/2025, Ethics Committee of The First Hospital of Hebei Medical University (No. 89 Donggang Road, Yuhua District, Shijiazhuang, 050000, China; +86 (0)311-87156182; 1861123450@163.com), ref: [2025]YS-062

Primary study design

Interventional

Allocation

Randomized controlled trial

Masking

Blinded (masking used)

Control

Dose comparison

Assignment

Parallel

Purpose

Diagnostic

Study type(s)

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Patients suspected of swallowing esophageal foreign body (EFB)

Interventions

Patients will be randomized to ULD-CT (100 kV/50 mA, adaptive statistical iterative reconstruction-V 80% + deep learning image reconstruction) or SD-CT (120 kV/200 mA, filtered back projection + adaptive statistical iterative reconstruction-V 30%) groups.

Patient randomization is performed using a computer-generated sequence with permuted blocks of varying sizes (4, 6, and 8) stratified by age group (pediatric <18 years vs adult ≥18 years) and the participating center. Allocation concealment is maintained through sealed opaque envelopes opened immediately prior to CT scanning. All image interpreters, endoscopists, and surgeons are blinded to the CT protocol assignment.

All examinations are performed on 256-slice multi-detector CT scanners with deep-learning reconstruction capabilities. Patients are positioned supine with their arms elevated above the head when possible. No oral contrast is administered to avoid obscuring foreign bodies or delaying endoscopy.

The SD-CT protocol will utilize parameters consistent with routine chest CT at participating institutions: a tube voltage of 120 kV, a reference tube current of 200 mA with automatic tube current modulation (ATCM) enabled, a rotation time of 0.5 seconds, a pitch of 0.992, and collimation of 0.625 mm. Images are reconstructed using filtered back projection with 30% adaptive statistical iterative reconstruction blending, representing the current clinical standard at participating sites. The rationale for 120 kV is based on standard thoracic imaging protocols optimized for general diagnostic purposes.

The ULD-CT protocol will employ aggressive dose reduction strategies: a tube voltage of 100 kV, a reference tube current of 50 mA with ATCM (range 10–80 mA), and an identical rotation time and pitch to that of the SD protocol. The 100 kV/50 mA parameters are selected based on preliminary phantom studies demonstrating maintained foreign body conspicuity at these settings when combined with advanced reconstruction. Raw data are reconstructed using 80% adaptive statistical iterative reconstruction blending, followed by DLIR at medium strength.

For both protocols, images will be reconstructed at a 0.625-mm slice thickness with a 0.5-mm overlap to enable multiplanar reformations. The scan range will extend from the lower neck (C3 level) through the gastroesophageal junction, with careful positioning to minimize breast tissue inclusion in female patients. Dose reduction features, including organ-based tube current modulation and adaptive collimation, will be enabled for all scans.

Intervention Type

Other

Primary outcome(s)

1. Image quality measured using a medical physicist blinded to the protocol assignment. The signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) was calculated as the mean attenuation of the descending aorta divided by the standard deviation of subcutaneous fat. The contrast-to-noise ratio was calculated as the difference in attenuation between aortic blood and paraspinal muscle divided by image noise. To better align with the diagnostic task, additional measurements were performed in paraesophageal fat. Measurements were performed on axial images at three standardized levels (aortic arch, carina, and mid-esophagus) with circular regions of interest (150 mm²) placed consistently using anatomical landmarks. Subjective image quality was independently assessed by two thoracic radiologists with 8 and 12 years of experience. Images were reviewed on diagnostic workstations (window width: 350 HU, window level: 40 HU for soft tissue; window width: 1,500 HU, window level: –600 HU for lung evaluation). Readers scored the following parameters on a 5-point Likert scale: (1) edge definition of the mediastinal structures; (2) image noise; (3) diagnostic confidence for foreign body detection; and (4) overall diagnostic quality. A score ≥ 3 was considered diagnostically acceptable. Discrepancies between readers were resolved through consensus review, with the consensus score used for analysis. Inter-reader agreement was assessed using weighted kappa statistics. Measured at CT scanning.

2. Radiation dose metrics measured using the scanner-reported volume CT dose index (CTDIvol) and dose-length product. The effective dose (ED) was calculated using age- and sex-specific conversion factors ($k = 0.014 \text{ mSv}\cdot\text{mGy}^{-1}\cdot\text{cm}^{-1}$ for adults; age-adjusted factors for pediatric patients based on International Commission on Radiological Protection recommendations [Publication 103]). Size-specific dose estimates were calculated using patient anteroposterior and lateral dimensions measured at the mid-chest level. Measured at CT scanning.

Key secondary outcome(s)

Completion date

25/09/2025

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. Patients aged 3–80 years
2. A history of foreign body ingestion within 6 hours of presentation
3. Symptoms suggestive of esophageal impaction, including dysphagia, odynophagia, chest pain, or hypersalivation
4. Planned endoscopic or surgical evaluation within 12 hours of CT imaging

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Mixed

Lower age limit

3 Years

Upper age limit

80 Years

Sex

All

Total final enrolment

180

Key exclusion criteria

1. Severe cardiorespiratory instability requiring immediate intervention
2. Pregnancy or a positive pregnancy test
3. A known contrast allergy (for enhanced scans when clinically indicated)
4. Prior esophageal surgery or known esophageal stricture
5. Metallic implants causing substantial artifacts affecting >30% of the esophageal evaluation area
6. Body mass index (BMI) >40 kg/m² (due to potential image quality degradation at ultra-low doses)

Date of first enrolment

18/01/2024

Date of final enrolment

26/06/2025

Locations

Countries of recruitment

China

Sponsor information

Organisation

First Affiliated Hospital of Hebei Medical University

ROR

<https://ror.org/04z3aby64>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Funder Name

Hebei Province Medical Science Research Key Project

Alternative Name(s)

Funding Body Type

Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype

Local government

Location

China

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not expected to be made available