

# A clinical evaluation of a CVC securement device

<b>Submission date</b> 08/07/2015	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 09/07/2015	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 23/04/2019	<b>Condition category</b> Signs and Symptoms	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

Central venous catheters (CVC) are inserted into many patients for the administration of treatment and haemodynamic monitoring (for measuring blood pressure and oxygen levels in the blood). Sutures (stitches) are frequently used to secure CVC onto the skin, however application of sutures carries a risk of needle stick injury to the clinician, discomfort to the patient and trauma at the CVC insertion site. Moreover, the sutures securing CVC are often highly colonized with bacteria despite scrupulous CVC site care, and may serve as a source for an infection. CVC movement may introduce microorganisms from the skin surface along the CVC and contribute to an infection. Therefore alternative securement methods for short term CVC needs to be tested. Alternative CVC securement methods are available, such as adhesive devices /tapes/dressings; however these have been used for securing CVC that are placed through veins in the arm. Knowledge of securement device for CVC, which are inserted into neck, chest or groin, is limited. This study will test the safety of the device, whether what is being measured is appropriate and measurable and whether the device is acceptable to clinical users.

### Who can participate?

Adults (18 or over) being treated, or about to be treated, in a critical care unit and needing a CVC.

### What does the study involve?

Participants are randomly allocated into one of two groups. Those in group 1 have sutures securing their CVC. Those in group 2 have the CVC securement device applied to secure the CVC. The patients are observed for any CVC related complications and CVC placement is measured daily. Comfort of the patient and user acceptability is evaluated where appropriate.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Participants may not have any direct medical benefit from being in this study; however the information gained from this study will help improve the treatment of future patients who have a catheter inserted as part of their medical care. Catheter stabilization is recognised as an intervention to decrease the risk for phlebitis and catheter displacement, and may be advantageous in preventing catheter related bloodstream infections. Risks include skin irritation and damage to the skin, improper use of the catheter securement system or displacement of it, infection and air embolism.

Where is the study run from?  
University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust (UK).

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?  
June 2014 to June 2017

Who is funding the study?  
3M Deutschland GmbH

Who is the main contact?  
Dr Tarja Karpanen

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Dr Tarja Karpanen

**Contact details**  
University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust  
Queen Elizabeth Hospital  
Mindelsohn Way  
Edgbaston  
United Kingdom  
B15 2GW

## Additional identifiers

**Protocol serial number**  
18974

## Study information

**Scientific Title**  
A clinical evaluation of two central venous catheter stabilization systems

**Study objectives**  
The aim of this feasibility study is to assess the safety of a new securement device for central venous catheters (CVC), to see whether the proposed study parameters are appropriate and measurable, and the securement device is acceptable to clinical users.

**Ethics approval required**  
Old ethics approval format

**Ethics approval(s)**  
NRES Committee North West – Greater Manchester South, 27/04/2015, ref: 15/NW/0185

**Study design**

Randomised; Interventional; Design type: Treatment

## Primary study design

Interventional

## Study type(s)

Treatment

## Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Topic: Critical care; Subtopic: Critical care; Disease: All Critical care

## Interventions

CVC securement: a short-term central venous catheter is secured onto the skin using either sutures and a transparent dressing, or a sutureless securement system which consists of a molded plastic device with an adhesive backing and a transparent film dressing.

## Intervention Type

Device

## Primary outcome(s)

Total number of catheter dislodgements. Recorded daily (for the duration of CVC placement) using standard clinical observation methods.

## Key secondary outcome(s)

1. Number of complete catheter dislodgements (i.e. number of unplanned catheter removals)
2. Number of partial catheter dislodgement: (i.e. catheter migration at the skin insertion site but not resulting in unplanned catheter removal; measured in mm)
3. Securement device adherence onto skin and catheter (full or partial)
4. Number of catheters requiring immediate repositioning of securement device
5. Number of dressing changes per catheter
6. Number of reported unresolved occlusions of the catheter
7. Catheter insertion site visible on routine clinical inspection (yes or no)
8. Number of patients requiring an alternative catheter securement method to which the subject was originally selected
9. Reasons for requiring an alternative catheter securement method to which the subject was originally selected
10. Clinical staff satisfaction (questionnaire)
11. Patient comfort level: subjective (pain score if able to communicate) and objective evaluation (skin condition) on application and removal of the securement device

Recorded daily (for the duration of CVC placement) using standard clinical observation methods

## Completion date

14/06/2017

## Eligibility

### Key inclusion criteria

1.  $\geq 18$  years of age
2. Admitted, or to be admitted, to a critical care unit

3. Require a single, short-term, non-cuffed, non-tunnelled CVC (up to and including 12F in size) as part of their clinical care
4. Willing and able to provide written informed consent (or if their condition do not allow this their legally authorized representative willing and able to give the consent on their behalf)

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

**Age group**

Adult

**Lower age limit**

18 years

**Sex**

All

**Total final enrolment**

186

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Confused (patients who have a positive CAMICU score or if confusion expected after sedation stopped)
2. Excessively perspiring (skin becomes moist within 2 minutes of drying)
3. Non adherent skin burn, trauma or other condition affecting the skin integrity in close proximity to the potential insertion site, so that the device/ suture is applied to the skin without any of these conditions
4. Underlying uncorrected bleeding diathesis
5. Known allergy to adhesives or device components
6. To have more than one catheter inserted at the same location
7. Pregnant or breastfeeding women
8. Past participants in this study

**Date of first enrolment**

08/09/2015

**Date of final enrolment**

31/01/2017

**Locations****Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

England

France

Spain

**Study participating centre**

**University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust**  
Birmingham  
United Kingdom  
B15 2GW

**Study participating centre**

**Bichat-Claude Bernard Hopital**  
Paris  
France  
75018

**Study participating centre**

**University Hospital (Centre Hospitalier Universitaire), Universite de Poitiers et Inserm**  
Poitiers  
France  
86021

**Study participating centre**

**University Hospital Arnau de Vilanova (University Hospital Arnau de Vilanova)**  
Lleida  
Spain  
25198

## **Sponsor information**

**Organisation**

University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/014ja3n03>

## **Funder(s)**

**Funder type**

Industry

## Funder Name

3M Deutschland GmbH

# Results and Publications

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The datasets are not made publicly available as consent from patients were not sought for data to be used for other research/sent outside of EU

## IPD sharing plan summary

Not expected to be made available

## Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	17/04/2019	23/04/2019	Yes	No
<a href="#">Basic results</a>		06/09/2018	06/09/2018	No	No
<a href="#">HRA research summary</a>			28/06/2023	No	No