

# Young patients' perceptions of intra bony local anesthetics and their usefulness in treating lower molars with pulp therapy and crowns

<b>Submission date</b> 02/08/2023	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 02/10/2023	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 22/11/2024	<b>Condition category</b> Oral Health	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data <input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

During dental procedures, pain management is essential, especially when working with young children. In dentistry, local anesthetic is the method of choice for minimizing the discomfort and pain related to dental treatment. Unfortunately, fear of local anesthetic causes many people to put off getting dental care, especially kids. Choosing the least painful local anesthetic method is therefore crucial. The ideal local anesthetic should be as painless and simple as possible, provide maximal performance, and need the fewest injections possible.

The study aims to test the usefulness of intra bony anesthesia and pain-related behavior, pain perception with children while performing pulp therapy and crowns

### Who can participate?

Any healthy patient aged 5 - 9 years with two lower molars (one on each side) without any history of severe pain or swelling can participate in the study.

### What does the study involve?

According to a randomization table, each patient will get pulp therapy on two primary lower molars and either standard syringe or intra bony anesthetic. The teeth will then be repaired with crowns.

Every 15 seconds for the duration of the injection time, two evaluators will assess the patient's behavior.

Following injections, a validated index will be used to assess the kids' sense of pain.

Using a scale for pain measurement, the effectiveness of local anesthetic will be evaluated by two impartial, blinded evaluators while the patient is being videotaped.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Participating in the study entitles the subject to free comprehensive dental treatment with no known risks.

### Where is the study run from?

King Saud University, Riyadh (Saudi Arabia)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?  
May 2022 to June 2024

Who is funding the study?  
Investigator initiated and funded

Who is the main contact?  
Dr Zeyad AlRaddadi  
Zeyad.alraddadi@gmail.com

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Principal investigator

### Contact name

Dr Latifa Alhowaish

### Contact details

alnakheel dis.  
Riyadh  
Saudi Arabia  
11512  
+966 (0)50 623 0899  
LAlHowaish@ksu.edu.sa

### Type(s)

Principal investigator

### Contact name

Dr Zeyad Alraddadi

### Contact details

Irqa dis.  
Riyadh  
Saudi Arabia  
11512  
+966 (0)555472452  
zalraddadi@ksu.edu.sa

## Additional identifiers

### Clinical Trials Information System (CTIS)

Nil known

### Protocol serial number

E-22-6879

## Study information

## **Scientific Title**

Pain-related behavior, perception, and effectiveness of intraosseous local anesthesia (Quicksleeper 5®) in pulpotomies and stainless steel crowns of mandibular primary molars: a randomized controlled clinical trial

## **Study objectives**

1. Difference in pain-related behavior and pain perception during local anesthesia injection between buccal infiltration and intraosseous (IO) anesthesia using Quicksleeper 5
2. Difference in the effectiveness of local anesthesia between intraosseous (IO) anesthesia using Quicksleeper 5 and buccal infiltration in treating pulpotomies and SSC of primary mandibular second molars
3. Difference in the complications of local anesthesia between intraosseous (IO) anesthesia using Quicksleeper 5 and buccal infiltration in treating pulpotomies and SSC of primary mandibular second molars

## **Ethics approval required**

Ethics approval required

## **Ethics approval(s)**

approved 23/08/2022, King Saud University College of Medicine (PO Box 7805, Riyadh, 11472, Saudi Arabia; -; atuk@ksu.edu.sa), ref: E-22-6879

## **Study design**

Interventional double-blind randomized controlled clinical trial

## **Primary study design**

Interventional

## **Study type(s)**

Treatment

## **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Intraosseous local anesthesia and its effectiveness in treating lower molars for pulp therapy and crowns

## **Interventions**

Current interventions as of 22/11/2024:

part1

This study will include a total of 33 patients who fulfilled the inclusion criteria. Each patient will receive either intraosseous (IO) anesthesia using Quicksleeper 5 (experimental group) or traditional syringe (control group) according to a randomization table, and each patient will undergo a standardized pulpotomy procedure on two primary mandibular molars. The teeth will then be restored with stainless steel crowns (SSC). Before the start of the data collection phase, two pediatric dentists working in the Department of Pediatric Dentistry were calibrated to use the index for evaluating pain-related behavior. The procedures were video recorded, and two calibrated pediatric dentists evaluated the behavior of the patient using five pain-related behaviors during the injection. The videos were edited with a sound indicator to ensure that both evaluators checked the patient's behavior simultaneously. These behaviors were recorded as present or absent at every 15-second interval of the entire injection period (Versloot et al., 2008):

1. Bodily movement, defined as the movement of an extremity greater than 15 centimeters or the turning of the body, 2. Muscle tension, defined as visible tension in the hands (white knuckles) or tension throughout the body, 3. Crying or screaming, 4. Verbal protest, and 5. Bodily resistance, when necessary, to maintain control of the child. To calculate the mean score for pain-related behaviors, the occurrences of all five behaviors were added and divided by the number of intervals. Intraexaminer and interexaminer reliabilities were assessed using Cohen's kappa.

The Wong-Baker Faces Pain Rating Scale (Hockenberry-Eaton, 2001) was used to evaluate the children's perception of pain following the injections. This index has been validated for the Saudi population (Baghla et al., 2015). This rating scale is appropriate for children aged three and above. Before administering anesthesia, the operator explained the faces in the scale to the patient with scripted instructions that were read to all patients, accompanied by pointing to each face and describing the associated pain intensity with the corresponding words. Immediately following the administration of local anesthesia, the child was asked, "Which looks like how you felt during the injection?" to select the face that best described their pain. The corresponding number was recorded

## part 2

The effectiveness of local anesthesia was measured with each step of the pulpotomy procedure using the sounds, eyes, and motor (SEM) scale (Wright et al., 1991). This is a 12-point scale ranging from 3-12, and a lower score is better. The patients were video recorded. The videos were edited with writing, indicating each procedure to be evaluated; during placement of the rubber dam, drilling, opening of the pulp, removal of pulpal tissue (using a low-speed handpiece and restored with MTA), removal of the rubber dam, preparation for the SSC, and placement of the SSC. A calibrated independent blinded evaluator assessed the effectiveness in the mentioned procedures. The blinded evaluator scored for the seven steps during the procedure was taken, and then the mean score taken. The intraexaminer reliability assessed using Cohen's kappa.

## Follow-up evaluation:

The parents of each patient were contacted by the operator within 2-4 hours of administering LA and asked if there are any postoperative complications and if numbness is still present. In addition, parents were asked about lip biting, swelling or pain at the injection site.

---

## Previous interventions:

This study will include a total of 53 patients who fulfilled the inclusion criteria. Each patient will receive either intraosseous (IO) anesthesia using Quicksleeper 5 (experimental group) or traditional syringe (control group) according to a randomization table, and each patient will undergo a standardized pulpotomy procedure on two primary mandibular second molars. The teeth will then be restored with stainless steel crowns (SSC). The procedure will be video recorded, and two trained dental assistants will evaluate the patient's behavior according to five distinct pain-related behaviors. The presence or absence of these behaviors will be recorded every 15 seconds for the duration of the injection period. Inter- and intra-evaluator reliabilities will be assessed using Cohen's kappa. Following injections, the Wong-Baker Faces Pain Rating Scale will be used to assess the children's perception of pain. The effectiveness of local anesthesia will be measured with each step of the pulpotomy procedure using the sounds, eyes, and motor (SEM) scale. The patient will be video recorded, and two independent blinded evaluators will assess the effectiveness of LA during placement of the rubber dam, drilling,

opening of the pulp (which will be announced by the operator), removal of pulpal tissue, removal of the rubber dam, preparation for SSC and the placement of SSC. Inter- and intraevaluator reliabilities will be assessed using Cohen's kappa. The parents of each patient will be contacted after 2 hours of administering LA and will be asked if there are any postoperative complications.

Descriptive statistics will be performed first to report the demographic characteristics of the participating children. The average age and standard deviation as well as the frequency and proportion of sex distribution, adverse events, and drop-outs after which LA was used will be reported. For all outcomes, to study individual differences, the Wilcoxon test will be applied. Simple and multiple linear regressions will be performed to estimate the average difference in pain-related scores between buccal infiltration and IO anesthesia. The overall and age-specific average difference, with the corresponding 95% confidence intervals (95% CI), will be reported. To address the within-individual correlation of the outcome, for each age group in the full model, the standard error in our models will be adjusted to account for clustering and a stratified analysis will be performed. If assumptions of linear regression do not hold, we will perform generalized linear regressions addressing the violated assumption. The alpha level will be set at 0.05 to reject the null hypothesis, and all statistical analyses will be performed using Stata/MP Version 17.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX).

### **Intervention Type**

Mixed

### **Primary outcome(s)**

1. Pain-related behavior: 5 different behaviors (present or absent) measured every 15 seconds
2. Pain perception: Wong baker Scale. The patient will be asked once after giving the LA.

(added 22/11/2024)

3. The effectiveness of LA: (sound, Eye, Motor Scale). The blinded evaluators will evaluate the scores in 7 steps during the procedure, then the mean score will be taken

### **Key secondary outcome(s)**

Current secondary outcome measures as of 22/11/2024:

1. Complications of intraosseous local anesthesia: patient's parents will be contacted after 2 - 4 hours after the procedure and will be asked standard questions

Eligibility

---

Previous secondary outcome measures:

1. The effectiveness of LA: (sound, Eye, Motor Scale). The blinded evaluators will evaluate the scores in 7 steps during the procedure, then the mean score will be taken
2. Complications of intraosseous local anesthesia: patient's parents will be contacted after 2 - 4 hours after the procedure and will be asked standard questions

### **Completion date**

13/06/2024

## **Eligibility**

## **Key inclusion criteria**

Current inclusion criteria as of 22/11/2024:

1. Aged 4-9 years
2. Physically and mentally healthy
3. Positive or definitely positive behavior, assessed by taking the history of the patient; if the patient has no previous dental treatment, a treatment will be provided to the patient to assess the behavior
3. No contraindication for the use of local anesthesia
4. Not taking any analgesic before the appointment for at least 48 hours
5. No infection or inflammation on either side of the lower arch
6. Two lower second primary molars indicated for pulpotomy and SSC, i.e.:
  - 6.1. No history of spontaneous or persistent pain
  - 6.2. No pathological mobility
  - 6.3. No tenderness to percussion
  - 6.4. No swelling or sinus tract
  - 6.5. No widening of the periodontal ligament space
  - 6.6. Restorable tooth

---

Previous inclusion criteria:

1. Aged 5-9 years
2. Physically and mentally healthy
3. Positive or definitely positive behavior, assessed by taking the history of the patient; if the patient has no previous dental treatment, a treatment will be provided to the patient to assess the behavior
3. No contraindication for the use of local anesthesia
4. Not taking any analgesic before the appointment for at least 48 hours
5. No infection or inflammation on either side of the lower arch
6. Two lower second primary molars indicated for pulpotomy and SSC, i.e.:
  - 6.1. No history of spontaneous or persistent pain
  - 6.2. No pathological mobility
  - 6.3. No tenderness to percussion
  - 6.4. No swelling or sinus tract
  - 6.5. No widening of the periodontal ligament space
  - 6.6. Restorable tooth

## **Participant type(s)**

Patient

## **Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

## **Age group**

Child

## **Lower age limit**

4 years

**Upper age limit**

9 years

**Sex**

All

**Total final enrolment**

33

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Physical or mental illness
2. Definitely negative or negative behavior
3. Presence of contraindication for using local anesthesia
4. Presence of infection or inflammation on either side of the lower arch
5. History of spontaneous or persistent pain
6. Pathological mobility
7. Tenderness to percussion
8. Swelling or sinus tract
9. Widening of the periodontal ligament space
10. Nonrestorable tooth

**Date of first enrolment**

01/02/2023

**Date of final enrolment**

13/06/2024

**Locations****Countries of recruitment**

Saudi Arabia

**Study participating centre**

**King Saud University**

Dental University Hospital

Riyadh

Saudi Arabia

12372

**Sponsor information****Organisation**

King Saud University

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/02f81g417>

## **Funder(s)**

### **Funder type**

Other

### **Funder Name**

Investigator initiated and funded

## **Results and Publications**

### **Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**

The data will be stored in a non-publicly available repository of the clinical trial unit (CTU), King Saud University Medical City (KSUMC) (Galdrees@ksu.edu.sa). Patient videos will not be shared with anyone, and for other data the researchers will follow the protocol of KSUMC and the CTU.

### **IPD sharing plan summary**

Stored in non-publicly available repository