

# Effective lidocaine volume for a double-injection costoclavicular brachial plexus block

<b>Submission date</b> 06/11/2025	<b>Recruitment status</b> Recruiting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 11/11/2025	<b>Overall study status</b> Ongoing	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 20/11/2025	<b>Condition category</b> Surgery	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

## Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims:

The costoclavicular block is a regional anesthesia technique for the upper extremity. The block has proven to be effective and safe to offer anesthesia and analgesia for upper extremity surgery. Recently it was demonstrated that depositing the local anesthetic in two locations is more efficient than in just one for this technique. However, the volume of local anesthetic needed when using two injections is unknown and might be different and perhaps lower than with single injections. The aim of this study is to determine the ideal volume to use in this double injection technique. This is important because knowing the effective volume it is possible to prevent unnecessary high and possibly toxic doses of local anesthetics.

Who can participate?

Patients between 18 and 70 years old undergoing upper limb surgery (elbow, forearm, wrist or hand) at the Montreal General Hospital.

What does the study involve?

Patients agreeing to participate will undergo surgery under a costoclavicular block. The block will be performed by specialists in regional anesthesia before surgery, in a dedicated area for this procedure with monitoring and access to sedation if required.

Lidocaine volume used for the block will be based on the response of the previous patient as follows: if the previous patient did not have a successful block, the patient will receive the next higher dose (2.5 ml higher). If the previous patient had a successful block, the next dose will be decided by chance, with a probability to receive a 2.5 ml lower dose of 11%, or to receive the same dose, with a probability 89%. A maximal volume is predetermined to avoid toxicity. If the previous patient did not respond and had been given the maximal volume the next patient will also get the maximal volume.

Through an statistical analysis of successful and failed blocks with differences volumes received, the dose most possibly effective for 90% of cases will be defined.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

The study does not represent any special benefit for the participants. By determining the minimum effective volume of lidocaine, the risks of local anesthetic related systemic toxicity are potentially reduced.

Participation in this protocol will not put patients at higher risk for complications since we use a similar technique for US-guided double-injection costoclavicular block independently of study enrollment. Clinical success of the block will not be affected by study participation as subjects failing to achieve a complete block after 30 minutes will receive local anesthesia supplementation through the catheter installed just after administering the studied volume. Furthermore, side effects associated with costoclavicular blocks are rare. Our experience reveals a very low incidence of vascular puncture.

Where is the study run from?

The study will be conducted at the Montreal General Hospital, Montreal, Quebec, Canada.

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

The study will start after it is made public. Expected start date: 01/12/2025. Expected recruitment duration: 6 months.

Who is funding the study?

This study is funded by the Department of Anesthesia of the Montreal General Hospital, McGill University Health Centre, Montreal, Canada.

Who is the main contact?

Dr Julián Aliste, [julian.aliste@mcgill.ca](mailto:julian.aliste@mcgill.ca)

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Public, Scientific, Principal investigator

### Contact name

Dr Julián Aliste

### ORCID ID

<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6355-1270>

### Contact details

D10 1650 Cedar Avenue

Montreal

Canada

H3G 1A4

+1 (0)514 934 1934 ext 43261

[julian.aliste@mcgill.ca](mailto:julian.aliste@mcgill.ca)

## Additional identifiers

### Clinical Trials Information System (CTIS)

Nil known

### Protocol serial number

2026-11651

## Study information

## **Scientific Title**

Minimum effective volume of lidocaine for double-injection ultrasound-guided costoclavicular block

## **Study objectives**

The efficacy of a double-injection costoclavicular block depends on the volume injected. This study seeks to determine the minimum effective volume in 90% of patients (MEV90) for lidocaine to block the brachial plexus.

## **Ethics approval required**

Ethics approval required

## **Ethics approval(s)**

approved 24/09/2025, McGill University Health Centre Research Ethics Board (5100, boul. de Maisonneuve Ouest, 5th floor, Office 596, Montreal, H4A 3T2, Canada; +1 (0)514 934 1934 ext 36077; reb@muhc.mcgill.ca), ref: 2026-11651

## **Study design**

Sequential allocation via biased coin and up-and-down methodology

## **Primary study design**

Interventional

## **Study type(s)**

Efficacy

## **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Brachial plexus nerve block for upper extremity surgery

## **Interventions**

Patients undergoing upper extremity surgery (elbow and below) under a double-injection costoclavicular brachial plexus block will be assigned to a predetermined volume of adrenalized 1.5% lidocaine.

Dose assignment will be done using an up-and-down sequential method, where the dose of each subsequent patient depends on the response of the previous patient, called the Biased Coin Design (4). The first subject recruited will receive a total of 30 ml of lidocaine 1.5 % with epinephrine 1: 200 000, divided into two equal aliquots of 15 ml for each of the two injection sites. Injection will be carried out by slow increments (5 ml) with negative aspiration between each increment.

The assignment of each subsequent dose will be based on the response of the previous patient as follows: if the previous patient did not have a successful block, the patient will receive the next higher dose, which is the previous dose incremented by 2.5 ml (i.e., an increment of 1.25 ml for each of the two injection sites). If the previous patient had a successful block, the patient will be randomized to either receive the next lower dose, which is the previous dose decremented by 2.5 ml (i.e., a decrement of 1.25 ml for each of the two injection sites), with a probability  $b = 0.11$ , or to receive the same previous dose, with a probability  $1 - b = 0.89$ . These are the probabilities

required for assigning doses under the BCD for estimating ED90 (5). A maximal dose of 40 ml will not be exceeded to avoid LA toxicity. If the previous patient did not respond and had been given the maximal dose, the patient will also get the maximal dose.

## **Intervention Type**

Drug

## **Phase**

Phase IV

## **Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)**

Lidocaine

## **Primary outcome(s)**

Success rate (binary outcome): dose-response data will be used to estimate the minimum effective volume of LA required to successfully anesthetize the brachial plexus in 90% of patients.

Success definition: Using a previously reported 16 point sensorimotor scale for musculocutaneous, radial, median and ulnar nerves. A block will be considered successful if, at 30 minutes (after injection), a composite score of at least 14 points (out of a maximum of 16) is obtained. This score has been proven to provide a reliable estimate of surgical anesthesia. Sensory blockade will be graded with a 3-point scale using a cold test to each nerve sensory regions: 0 = no block, 1 = analgesia (patient can feel touch, not cold), 2 = anesthesia (patient cannot feel touch) (3). The cold test will be applied with light touch to avoid confusion with deep pressure sensation.

Motor blockade will also be graded on a 3-point scale by elbow flexion (musculocutaneous), thumb abduction (radial), thumb opposition (median) and thumb adduction (ulnar): 0 = no block, 1 = paresis, 2 = paralysis (3).

## **Key secondary outcome(s)**

1. Operator's level of experience (binary): expert vs trainee (expert defined as an experienced operator of a minimum of 60 costoclavicular blocks)
2. Number of needle passes (count): after needle insertion, any needle adjustment requiring 10 mm retraction will be considered an extra pass
3. Performance time (seconds): time necessary to guide the needle to the desired locations
4. Patient discomfort measured using a Visual Analog Scale (VAS) during the block: 0 = minimum discomfort and 10 = maximum discomfort
5. Demographic data:
  - 5.1. Sex (binary): male/female
  - 5.2. Age (years)
  - 5.3. Weight (kilograms)
  - 5.4. Height (centimeters)
  - 5.5. Type of surgery (count): elbow/forearm/wrist/hand
6. Side effects:
  - 6.1. Vascular puncture (binary): yes/no (ultrasound evidence of vascular puncture or blood reflux through block needle)
  - 6.2. Hematoma at the site of puncture (binary): yes/no (ultrasonographic or clinical evidence of hematoma)
  - 6.3. Toxic effects of LA (binary): yes/no (presence of clinical signs of systemic toxicity to local anesthetics: tinnitus, perioral paresthesia, confusion, seizures, ECG alterations)
  - 6.4. Hoarseness (binary): yes/no

6.5. Phrenic nerve block (count): normal/paresis/paralysis (by ultrasound-assessed excursion change from baseline before block, excursion decrease >25% = paresis, excursion decrease >75% = paralysis)

6.6. Horner's syndrome (binary): yes/no ( clinical signs)

**Completion date**

01/12/2026

## Eligibility

**Key inclusion criteria**

1. Surgery of the distal upper extremity under brachial plexus block
2. Age between 18 and 70 years
3. American Society of Anesthesiologists classification 1-3
4. Body mass index between 20 and 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

**Age group**

Mixed

**Lower age limit**

18 years

**Upper age limit**

70 years

**Sex**

All

**Total final enrolment**

0

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Adults who are unable to give their own consent
2. Pre-existing neuropathy (assessed by history and physical examination)
3. Coagulopathy (assessed by history and physical examination and, if deemed clinically necessary, by blood work up i.e. platelets  $\leq 100$ , International Normalized Ratio  $\geq 1.4$  or partial prothrombin time  $\geq 50$ )
4. Renal failure (assessed by history and physical examination and, if deemed clinically necessary, by blood workup up i.e. creatinine  $\geq 100$ )
5. Hepatic failure (assessed by history and physical examination and, if deemed clinically necessary, by blood workup up i.e. transaminases  $\geq 100$ )
6. Allergy to local anesthetics

7. Pregnancy
8. Prior surgery in the infraclavicular region
9. Chronic pain syndromes requiring opioid intake at home

**Date of first enrolment**

17/11/2025

**Date of final enrolment**

30/06/2026

## Locations

**Countries of recruitment**

Canada

**Study participating centre****Montreal General Hospital**

1650 Cedar Avenue

Montreal

Canada

H3G 1A4

## Sponsor information

**Organisation**

McGill University Health Centre

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/04cpxjv19>

## Funder(s)

**Funder type**

Hospital/treatment centre

**Funder Name**

Montreal General Hospital

**Funder Name**

McGill University Health Centre

# Results and Publications

## **Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**

The deidentified datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study will be available upon reasonable request from Julián Aliste ([julian.aliste@mcgill.ca](mailto:julian.aliste@mcgill.ca))

## **IPD sharing plan summary**

Available on request