

Group treatment: an acceptable and effective method of physiotherapy provision for female urinary incontinence?

Submission date
15/08/2005

Recruitment status
No longer recruiting

Prospectively registered

Protocol

Registration date
12/04/2006

Overall study status
Completed

Statistical analysis plan

Results

Last Edited
28/09/2009

Condition category
Urological and Genital Diseases

Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Prof Sarah Lamb

Contact details

University of Warwick
Room A1.04 Medical School Building
Gibbet Hill Campus
Coventry
United Kingdom
CV4 7AL

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number

PRF/01/2

Study information

Scientific Title

Acronym

INCON

Study objectives

The aims of this study are to:

1. Compare the effectiveness of group versus individual treatments in terms of quality of life and cost effectiveness in the UK health care setting
2. Establish patients' preferences for group versus individual physiotherapy for the management of female urinary incontinence (FUI)
3. Identify patients' concerns with regards to participation in group settings, and changes in preferences as a result of treatment

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

This study received an ethics approval before participant recruitment.

Primary study design

Interventional

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Stress incontinence and/or urge incontinence

Interventions

Group: three group sessions for an hour each over a three-week period, with a maximum of 10 women in each treatment group.

Individual: an assessment given and any of the techniques used in the group sessions were taught on a one to one basis. A maximum of three sessions of 1-hour duration were permissible.

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome(s)

1. Symptom severity questionnaire (SSI) (Black et al., 1996)
2. Incontinence-related quality of life (IQOL) (Uebersax et al., 1995)

Key secondary outcome(s)

1. Cost related to treatment attendance and complementary therapies and other products
2. The need for further physiotherapy
3. General practitioner (GP) utilisation and surgery will be recorded although not reported here

Completion date

30/04/2005

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. Females aged 18 years or over
2. Ability to understand and capability of giving written informed consent with an interpreter if necessary
3. Clinical symptoms of stress and/or urge incontinence

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

18 Years

Sex

Female

Key exclusion criteria

1. Pregnancy
2. Recent pelvic surgery (less than three months)
3. History of pelvic malignancy
4. Current urinary infection
5. Grade III prolapse
6. Diseases of the central nervous system (e.g. multiple sclerosis, cerebrovascular accident)
7. Acute mental illness and dementia
8. Previous physiotherapy for incontinence within the last 12 months

Date of first enrolment

12/08/2002

Date of final enrolment

30/04/2005

Locations

Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

England

Study participating centre
University of Warwick
Coventry
United Kingdom
CV4 7AL

Sponsor information

Organisation

Physiotherapy Research Foundation (UK)

ROR

<https://ror.org/04sn78z72>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Charity

Funder Name

Physiotherapy Research Foundation (PRF) - PRF/01/2

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/04/2008		Yes	No
Results article	interview study results	10/09/2009		Yes	No
Results article	results	14/09/2009		Yes	No