

Comparison of two airway devices to aid breathing during percutaneous tracheostomy

Submission date 09/11/2009	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	☒ Prospectively registered ☐ Protocol
Registration date 17/11/2009	Overall study status Completed	☐ Statistical analysis plan ☒ Results
Last Edited 12/06/2015	Condition category Surgery	☐ Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol
Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Dr Grant Price

Contact details
Department of Anaesthetics
St John's Hospital
Livingston
United Kingdom
EH54 6PP
-
grant.price@nhs.net

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number
09/MRE00/54

Study information

Scientific Title
A prospective randomised controlled trial of airway management in patients undergoing percutaneous tracheostomy and its effect on hypercarbia

Study objectives

Maintenance of the airway during percutaneous tracheostomy with the laryngeal mask airway (LMA) Supreme™ supraglottic airway device, is at least as effective at maintaining mechanical ventilation as the use of a cuffed endotracheal tube.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Scotland A Research Ethics Committee, 13/08/2009

Primary study design

Interventional

Study design

Prospective randomised controlled trial

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Percutaneous tracheostomy

Interventions

LMA Supreme™ versus cuffed oral endotracheal tube. Duration of intervention is variable but no more than 60 minutes. There is no follow up beyond the procedure itself.

Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

Primary outcome(s)

Change in partial pressure of carbon dioxide in arterial blood (PaCO₂) levels between start of percutaneous tracheostomy procedure and completion of tracheostomy procedure.

Key secondary outcome(s)

Measured during the procedure and immediately on completion of the procedure:

1. Combined complications (desaturation less than 92% during procedure, repositioning of airway device during procedure, loss of airway during procedure)
2. How many people required to help with airway maintenance
3. View on bronchoscopy of procedure
4. Time to airway ready
5. Total time from incision to tracheostomy placement

Completion date

01/12/2011

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. Aged over 18 years, either sex
2. Require a percutaneous tracheostomy as part of ongoing intensive care therapy

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

18 Years

Sex

All

Key exclusion criteria

1. Aged less than 18 years
2. Patient or relative/welfare guardian refusal
3. Treating clinician refusal

Date of first enrolment

01/12/2009

Date of final enrolment

02/09/2011

Locations**Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

Scotland

Study participating centre

St John's Hospital

Livingston

United Kingdom

EH54 6PP

Sponsor information

Organisation

NHS Lothian (UK)

ROR

<https://ror.org/03q82t418>

Funder(s)**Funder type**

Government

Funder Name

NHS Lothian (UK)

Results and Publications**Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan****IPD sharing plan summary**

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/07/2014		Yes	No