

# A comparison of the accuracies of ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging in assessing the spread of womb cancer

|                                        |                                                   |                                                                                                   |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Submission date</b><br>28/03/2021   | <b>Recruitment status</b><br>No longer recruiting | <input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered<br><input type="checkbox"/> Protocol            |
| <b>Registration date</b><br>06/08/2021 | <b>Overall study status</b><br>Completed          | <input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results |
| <b>Last Edited</b><br>12/04/2022       | <b>Condition category</b><br>Cancer               | <input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data                                              |

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

The spread of womb cancer is commonly estimated by an MRI scan before surgery, however, some studies reported that ultrasound could be as accurate as MRI. The potential benefits of ultrasound are its wider availability, lower cost and fewer contraindications compared to MRI. The aim of this study is to compare the accuracy of ultrasound and MRI in assessing the spread of womb cancer before surgery.

### Who can participate?

All women with postmenopausal bleeding who are suspected of womb cancer on ultrasound in our clinic.

### What does the study involve?

In women who are already undergoing transvaginal ultrasound assessment for postmenopausal bleeding, it involves having a simultaneous ultrasound assessment for the spread of womb cancer. All women with a confirmed diagnosis of womb cancer on biopsy will also be invited for an MRI scan to assess the spread of cancer.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

The potential benefit is that women will have both tests to assess the spread of their womb cancer.

The potential risks are discomfort and inconvenience of having both tests, as well as, the risks of false-positive and false-negative diagnoses on ultrasound and MRI.

### Where is the study run from?

Gynaecology Diagnostic and Treatment Unit, University College London Hospitals, London, UK

### When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

May 2011 to October 2018

Who is funding the study?  
University College London Hospitals (UK)

Who is the main contact?  
Dr Michael Wong, michael.wong3@nhs.net

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Dr Michael Wong

**ORCID ID**  
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2168-1111>

**Contact details**  
Gynaecology Diagnostic and Treatment Unit  
235 Euston Road  
London  
United Kingdom  
NW1 2BU  
+44 (0)20 3456 7890  
michael.wong3@nhs.net

**Type(s)**  
Public

**Contact name**  
Dr Michael Wong

**Contact details**  
Gynaecology Diagnostic and Treatment Unit  
235 Euston Road  
London  
United Kingdom  
NW1 2BU  
+44 (0)20 3456 7890  
michael.wong3@nhs.net

## Additional identifiers

**Protocol serial number**  
10/0316

## Study information

**Scientific Title**

A prospective comparison of the diagnostic accuracies of ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging in preoperative staging of endometrial cancer

### **Study objectives**

The diagnostic accuracy of ultrasound is comparable to MRI for the prediction of deep myometrial invasion and cervical stromal invasion in women with endometrial cancer.

### **Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

### **Ethics approval(s)**

Approved 31/05/2011, Central London REC2 committee (Health Research Authority, Skipton House, 80 London Road, London, SE1 6LH, UK; +44 (0)20 7972 2545; londoncentral.rec@hra.nhs.uk), ref: 10/H0713/66

### **Primary study design**

Observational

### **Study design**

Single centre cross-sectional observational study

### **Study type(s)**

Diagnostic

### **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Preoperative diagnoses of deep myometrial invasion and cervical stromal invasion in women with endometrial cancer

### **Interventions**

Consecutive women presenting with postmenopausal bleeding to our gynaecology outpatient clinic during the study period are potentially eligible.

Women who have previously undergone a hysterectomy or already diagnosed with gynaecological malignancy will be excluded.

All women will be assessed by a single clinical research fellow, who has undergone intensive training in early pregnancy and gynaecological ultrasound prior to the start of the study. He will assess for the presence of endometrial cancer based on ultrasound subjective pattern recognition. All women will be divided into the following groups: 1. suspected endometrial cancer, 2. benign endometrial polyps, 3. uniformly thickened endometrium, 4. atrophic endometrium, 5. unsatisfactory ultrasound assessment.

All women with an unsatisfactory transvaginal ultrasound assessment or an axial uterus will be offered a transrectal ultrasound scan, saline infusion sonography or outpatient/day-case hysteroscopy.

Women with suspected endometrial cancer will simultaneously undergo a subjective assessment for the depth of myometrial invasion (1. no myometrial invasion or <50% myometrial invasion of the entire myometrial thickness, 2. ≥50% myometrial thickness) and cervical stromal invasion

(present or absent). An outpatient endometrial biopsy (pipelle) will then be taken after the ultrasound scan. Hysteroscopy will be offered to those who decline or failed with an outpatient endometrial biopsy.

All women with a histologically confirmed diagnosis of endometrial cancer will be advised to undergo a preoperative MRI to assess for the depth of myometrial invasion and cervical stromal invasion by an experienced consultant radiologist in gynaecological oncology. The radiologist involved will be blinded to the ultrasound findings and the presence or absence of DMI and CSI will be assessed subjectively. The standard MRI protocol will involve T2-weighted imaging (T2WI), dynamic T1-weighted gadolinium sequences (DCE-MRI) and diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI-MRI) with an apparent diffusion coefficient map.

Women with endometrial cancer will be managed by a consultant gynaecological oncologist who will not take part in the study and each woman's management will be discussed routinely at a multi-disciplinary team meeting.

The diagnostic accuracies of ultrasound and MRI for deep ( $\geq 50\%$ ) myometrial invasion and cervical stromal invasion will be compared with the final histology (hysterectomy) as the gold standard.

## **Intervention Type**

Procedure/Surgery

## **Primary outcome(s)**

At a single time point:

The diagnostic accuracies of ultrasound and MRI for DMI and CSI in endometrial cancer

1. Sensitivity measured using the findings from the respective imaging test with histology as the reference standard.
2. Specificity measured using the findings from the respective imaging test with histology as the reference standard.
3. Positive likelihood ratio measured using the findings from the respective imaging test with histology as the reference standard.
4. Negative likelihood ratio measured using the findings from the respective imaging test with histology as the reference standard.
5. Overall accuracy measured using the findings from the respective imaging test with histology as the reference standard.

## **Key secondary outcome(s)**

At a single time point:

1. The accuracy of ultrasound subjective pattern recognition in diagnosing endometrial cancer (sensitivity, specificity, positive likelihood ratio, negative likelihood ratio and overall accuracy) measured using the findings on ultrasound scan with histology as the reference standard.
2. The number of unsatisfactory ultrasound assessments to diagnose and stage endometrial cancer amongst women with postmenopausal bleeding (as a proportion of the total number of women included in the study).
3. The efficacies of transrectal ultrasound scan and saline infusion sonography (as a proportion of the total number of unsatisfactory ultrasound assessments) measured using patient records.

## **Completion date**

02/10/2018

# Eligibility

## Key inclusion criteria

1. Postmenopausal women (at least 45 years of age with at least 1 year of amenorrhea)
2. Presenting with postmenopausal bleeding, including women with unscheduled bleeding whilst on hormone replacement therapy

## Participant type(s)

Patient

## Healthy volunteers allowed

No

## Age group

Adult

## Sex

Female

## Total final enrolment

51

## Key exclusion criteria

1. Women with a previous history of hysterectomy
2. Women with a known diagnosis of gynaecological malignancy
3. Women who decline a transvaginal or transrectal ultrasound scan
4. Women who have a contraindication to MRI scan
5. Women who are managed expectantly (without hysterectomy) following a diagnosis of endometrial cancer

## Date of first enrolment

07/10/2015

## Date of final enrolment

02/10/2018

# Locations

## Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

England

## Study participating centre

University College London Hospitals

Gynaecology Diagnostic and Treatment Unit

235 Euston Road

London

United Kingdom  
NW1 2BU

## Sponsor information

### Organisation

University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

### ROR

<https://ror.org/042fqyp44>

## Funder(s)

### Funder type

Hospital/treatment centre

### Funder Name

University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

### Alternative Name(s)

University College London Hospitals, UCLH

### Funding Body Type

Private sector organisation

### Funding Body Subtype

Universities (academic only)

### Location

United Kingdom

## Results and Publications

### Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

All data generated or analysed during this study will be included in the subsequent results publication.

### IPD sharing plan summary

Other

### Study outputs

| Output type | Details | Date created | Date added | Peer reviewed? | Patient-facing? |
|-------------|---------|--------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|
|-------------|---------|--------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|

[Results article](#)

01/03/2022

12/04/2022

Yes

No