

# A clinical study to compare the dental plaque removal efficacy of a new electric toothbrush compared to a regular manual toothbrush

<b>Submission date</b> 17/08/2020	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 21/08/2020	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 16/06/2025	<b>Condition category</b> Oral Health	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

A regular daily program of oral hygiene is vital for oral health. Accumulation of plaque bacteria rapidly leads to gingivitis (inflammation of the gums). Ineffective plaque control by toothbrushing can result from poor technique, short brushing duration, lack of motivation, or insufficient toothbrush performance.

One approach to improve daily oral hygiene has been the development of the electric toothbrush. Electric toothbrushes with oscillating/rotating technology have consistently shown to be highly effective in both plaque removal and control of gingivitis, without compromising safety to oral tissues. New electric toothbrushes are under continuous review for improvement. Digital Plaque Imaging Analysis (DPIA) is a method of plaque measurement that allows simultaneous assessment of plaque regrowth overnight and during the day, and provides data on hygiene efficiency from evaluations after brushing.

The aim of this study is to assess the overnight and afternoon plaque regrowth rate during a 1-week period of brushing with a newly developed Oral-B electric toothbrush compared to a reference manual toothbrush.

### Who can participate?

Generally healthy volunteers aged 18 or older with a sufficient amount of plaque

### What does the study involve?

Participants are randomly allocated to a treatment sequence of the two toothbrushes. Participants brush for 8 days per study period at home twice daily with their assigned toothbrush: two periods with the electric brush, two periods with the manual brush. Participants brush the whole time with a standard anti-cavity toothpaste. During each period they come on site on days 1,3, and 8 for a morning pre-brush picture and a 5-hours post-brushing picture.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Participation helps with the development of products that aim to prove oral health. Possible risks of using a normal toothbrush are not expected.

Where is the study run from?

Universitätsmedizin der Johannes Gutenberg Universität Mainz (Mainz University Medical School) (Germany)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

August 2020 to December 2020

Who is funding the study?

Procter & Gamble (USA)

Who is the main contact?

Dr Christina Erbe

erbe@uni-mainz.de

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Mrs Christina Dr. Erbe

### Contact details

Universitätsmedizin der Johannes Gutenberg Universität Mainz

Klinik für Zahn- Mund- und Kieferkrankheiten

Poliklinik für Kieferorthopädie

Augustusplatz 2

Mainz

Germany

55131

+49 (0)6131 173024

erbe@uni-mainz.de

## Additional identifiers

### Clinical Trials Information System (CTIS)

Nil known

### Protocol serial number

Clinical protocol number 2019135

## Study information

### Scientific Title

Clinical study to compare the plaque removal efficacy of a new power toothbrush with a reference manual brush by means of digital plaque imaging analysis

### Study objectives

The central aim of this clinical investigation is to assess whether brushing with an electric toothbrush would provide additional plaque removal efficacy beyond that achieved with a standard manual toothbrush.

### **Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

### **Ethics approval(s)**

Approved 08/04/2019, Ethics Committee of the State Medical Association Rhineland Palatinate (Landesärztekammer Rheinland-Pfalz, Postfach 2926, 55019 Mainz; +49 (0)6131 28822-63/-64/-65/-67; ethic-kommission@laek-rlp.de), ref: 2020-14928

### **Study design**

Single-center two-treatment randomized four-period cross-over trial

### **Primary study design**

Interventional

### **Study type(s)**

Prevention

### **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Dental caries prevention

### **Interventions**

The computer-generated randomization of the test products is created in cooperation with a statistician (Dr Julie Grender) from P&G. Participants are randomly allocated to a treatment sequence of the two toothbrushes. Participants brush for 8 days per study period at home twice daily (morning and evening) for 2 min according to the manufacturer's use instructions with their assigned toothbrush: two periods with the electric brush, two periods with the manual brush (four periods in total, each period consists of 8 days). Participants brush the whole time with standard anti-cavity toothpaste. Participants will be requested to use exclusively their assigned products at home for the duration of each study period.

Each treatment period of this cross-over study will be 8 days in duration (Tuesday through Tuesday) and will consist of Day 1, Day 3 and Day 8 study visits. Each study visit will consist of a pre-brushing DPIA measurement followed by a supervised on-site product use (this brushing will be considered one of the two daily brushings) and a second DPIA measurement taken approximately 5 hours post-brushing. There will be 6 days of washout between treatment periods (Wednesday through Monday) during which participants will use a manual toothbrush and NaF toothpaste as acclimation product. This study procedures will be repeated until participants have completed four treatment periods using their randomly assigned treatment sequence.

The plaque is assessed using a digital photo of the front teeth after staining with fluorescein. The test person places her/his chin in a chin rest and uses two plastic retractors to open the oral cavity sufficiently so that the entire front tooth area is clearly visible. The upper and lower incisors are positioned edge to edge and opened slightly. The plaque is made visible with the help of blue light. The digital images are then masked and analyzed using a validated computer image analysis program.

## **Intervention Type**

Device

## **Phase**

Not Applicable

## **Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)**

Not provided at time of registration

## **Primary outcome(s)**

Differences in afternoon plaque (5 hours post-brushing) measured by DPIA score (digital plaque imaging analysis) across the 8 days of treatment

## **Key secondary outcome(s)**

Morning pre-brushing plaque coverage measured by DPIA score (digital plaque imaging analysis) analysed separately for days 3 and 8 to determine treatment differences

## **Completion date**

31/12/2020

# **Eligibility**

## **Key inclusion criteria**

1. Be at least 18 years of age
2. Provide written informed consent prior to participation and be given a signed copy of the informed consent form
3. Be in general good health as determined by the Investigator/designee based on a review /update of their medical history
4. Have sufficient amount of plaque (estimated 10% coverage on buccal sites of front teeth after 24 hours build-up as estimated by DPIA operator)
5. Possess a minimum of 10 natural anterior teeth with facial scorable surfaces (Not including teeth with crowns, excessive facial restorations or severe staining from tetracycline, fluorosis or hypocalcification)
6. Agree not to participate in any other oral care study for the duration of this study
7. Agree to return for scheduled visits and follow the study procedures
8. Agree to refrain from use of any non-study oral hygiene products (including prescription rinses) for the duration of the study
9. Agree to delay any elective dentistry, including dental prophylaxis, until the completion of the study and to report any non-study dentistry received during the course of this study
10. Agree to refrain from using dental floss during the treatment periods of this study (Tuesday through Tuesday)
11. Agree to refrain from all oral hygiene procedures for approximately 24 hours prior to all subsequent morning visits
12. Agree to refrain from all oral hygiene procedures between all subsequent morning on-site brushings and the afternoon imaging visits
13. Agree to refrain from eating, drinking\*, chewing gum and using tobacco for 2 hours prior to their morning appointments and 1 hour prior to their afternoon appointments? \*The only exception being allowed small sips of water up until 1 hour prior to their morning appointments

## **Participant type(s)**

Healthy volunteer

**Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

**Age group**

Adult

**Lower age limit**

18 years

**Sex**

All

**Total final enrolment**

46

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Hypersensitivity to dyes
2. Any caries lesions requiring restorative treatment
3. Active treatment for periodontitis
4. Fixed facial or lingual orthodontic appliances
5. Self-reported pregnancy or lactation
6. Performing any oral hygiene the morning of or the evening prior to their Visit 1 study visit
7. Having eaten, drank, used tobacco or chewed gum for at least 2 hours prior to their Baseline visit (Small sips of water will be allowed up to 45 minutes prior to the visit)
8. Any diseases or condition that could be expected to interfere with examination procedures or with the subject safely competing the study (including allergies to dyes)
9. Inability to undergo study procedures
10. Using an antibiotic or a chlorhexidine mouth rinse any time within 2 weeks prior to this visit
11. Calculus on the facial surface of their teeth (per operator discretion)

**Date of first enrolment**

20/07/2020

**Date of final enrolment**

10/08/2020

## **Locations**

**Countries of recruitment**

Germany

**Study participating centre**

Universitätsmedizin der Johannes Gutenberg Universität Mainz; Klinik für Zahn- Mund- und Kieferkrankheiten; Poliklinik für Kieferorthopädie

Augustusplatz 2

Mainz  
Germany  
55131

## Sponsor information

### Organisation

Procter & Gamble (United States)

### ROR

<https://ror.org/04dkns738>

## Funder(s)

### Funder type

Industry

### Funder Name

Procter and Gamble

### Alternative Name(s)

Procter & Gamble, PandG, The Procter & Gamble Company, P and G, Procter & Gamble Company, P&G

### Funding Body Type

Government organisation

### Funding Body Subtype

For-profit companies (industry)

### Location

United States of America

## Results and Publications

### Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are not expected to be made available because if the raw data is available but not analyzed appropriately by qualified experts in the area, it may lead to misinterpretation of the results.

### IPD sharing plan summary

Not expected to be made available

## Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>		01/06/2025	16/06/2025	Yes	No