

# Advance care planning in pediatric oncology

<b>Submission date</b> 13/01/2021	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 22/01/2021	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 14/05/2025	<b>Condition category</b> Cancer	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

In the adult population, advance care planning (ACP) has shown to improve communication around care planning. However, ACP tools or interventions for child and adolescent cancer patients are scarce and evidence is lacking. There is evidence that adolescent patients have the desire and ability to share their values, beliefs, and preferences for treatment at the end of life. Especially communication about topics such as “what to do if the adolescent should get significantly worse” remains difficult, leaving room for improvement.

Aiming to improve parent-adolescent communication about ACP topics, the study team has developed an intervention for adolescents with cancer and their parent(s). This study will assess the effectiveness of a pediatric ACP intervention (BOOST) for adolescents with cancer and their parent(s) in Belgium. In addition, there will be a process evaluation to evaluate the implementation, mechanisms of impact, and context of the intervention.

### Who can participate?

Adolescents aged 10 -18 with any type of cancer in treatment at the pediatric oncology ward, and their parent(s).

### What does the study involve?

The intervention group will receive the BOOST pACP intervention. The control group will receive care as usual.

The goal of the BOOST pACP intervention is to improve parent-adolescent communication on advance care planning topics. The BOOST pACP intervention involves three conversation sessions between a trained facilitator (external to the medical team) and an adolescent with cancer and their parent(s). Before the conversation sessions take place, the family receives preparation booklets. During the first conversation session, the facilitator will introduce the content of the upcoming conversation sessions to the adolescent and parent(s), by showing a short video and discussing two topics with conversation cards. The second conversation session takes place with the adolescent and parent(s) separately and is structured by conversation cards. Examples of topics discussed are “worries and fears” and “expectations for the future”. In the third conversation session, the adolescent and parent(s) will fill out a summary sheet together. If they give permission, the facilitator will share the summary sheet with their pediatric oncologist.

Adolescents and parents will fill out questionnaires on three occasions: before the study begins; after they have received the intervention (approximately 3 months after the study begins); and again at approximately 7 months after the study begins. The questionnaires will evaluate attitude, self-efficacy, intention, and communication with each other regarding ACP topics (among other determinants). The pediatric oncologist questionnaires evaluate the ACP topics they have talked about to the family and the ACP topics they are planning to talk about to the family in the near future.

A process evaluation will be conducted to evaluate how the intervention was implemented and to assess the experiences of different groups. The process evaluation entails monitoring during the data collection period and interviews with adolescents and parents after the intervention and with facilitators and healthcare professionals after the data collection period.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

This study can deliver valuable evidence about the effects of ACP for adolescent cancer patients. The aim is to facilitate parent-child communication about ACP topics, in a way that adolescents feel empowered to engage more in their care plan. Talking about care preferences and open communication within families and with the medical team could improve a sense of control and autonomy.

It is possible participants will experience negative feelings, due to reflecting on potentially sensitive topics more than usual. However, these risks are minimal, taking into consideration that adolescents and parents are able to decide what topics they would like to discuss in the sessions. The study team will also monitor anxiety in adolescents and parents to allow a timely response to adverse events. The trained facilitator will give the contact information of the psychologist on the ward at the start of the conversation sessions and will emphasize they can always contact them when needed.

Where is the study run from?

Vrije Universiteit Brussels (VUB) and Ghent University (Belgium).

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

September 2018 to October 2023

Who is funding the study?

The Research Foundation- Flanders (Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek) and the Child Cancer Fund (Kinderkankerfonds) (Belgium)

Who is the main contact?

Prof. Joachim Cohen

Joachim.Cohen@vub.be

## Contact information

**Type(s)**

Scientific

**Contact name**

Prof Kim Beernaert

**ORCID ID**

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2520-3977>

### **Contact details**

Corneel Heymanslaan 10  
Gent  
Belgium  
9000  
+32 (0)473 57 51 28  
Kim.Beernaert@vub.be

### **Type(s)**

Scientific

### **Contact name**

Prof Joachim Cohen

### **ORCID ID**

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7224-9476>

### **Contact details**

Laarbeeklaan 103  
Brussels  
Belgium  
1090  
+32(0) 2 4774714  
Joachim.Cohen@vub.be

### **Type(s)**

Scientific

### **Contact name**

Ms Anne van Driessche

### **Contact details**

Laarbeeklaan 103  
Brussels  
Belgium  
1090  
+32456302963  
Anne.van.Driessche@vub.be

## **Additional identifiers**

## **Study information**

### **Scientific Title**

BOOST pACP: a multi-center randomized controlled trial to test the effectiveness of an advance care planning intervention on parent-adolescent communication in pediatric oncology

### **Acronym**

## BOOST pACP

### Study objectives

1. Adolescents that receive the intervention will report better parent-adolescent communication (Parent-Adolescent Communication Scale (PACS) at T1 (baseline + 3 months), compared to adolescents that do not receive the intervention
2. Adolescents that receive the intervention will report better parent-adolescent communication (Parent-Adolescent Communication Scale (PACS) at T2 (baseline + 7 months), compared to adolescents that do not receive the intervention
3. Adolescents that receive the intervention will report better open communication and fewer problems in communication with their parent(s) (two subscales of Parent-Adolescent Communication Scale (PACS) at T1 (baseline + 3 months) and T2 (baseline + 7 months), compared to the adolescents that do not receive the intervention
4. Adolescents that receive the intervention, will report a more positive attitude towards, and better self-efficacy in communicating about ACP topics with their parent(s), at T1 (baseline +3 months) and T2 (baseline + 7 months), compared to adolescents that do not receive the intervention
5. Adolescents that receive the intervention, will report an intention to talk about more ACP topics and have talked about more ACP topics with their parent(s) at T1 (baseline +3 months) and T2 (baseline + 7 months), compared to adolescents that do not receive the intervention
6. Adolescents that receive the intervention, will report an intention to talk about more ACP topics and have talked about more ACP topics with their pediatric oncologist at T1 (baseline + 3 months) and T2 (baseline + 7 months), compared to adolescents that do not receive the intervention
7. Parent(s) that receive the intervention, will report a more positive attitude towards, and better self-efficacy in communicating about ACP topics with their child at T1 (baseline +3 months) and T2 (baseline + 7 months), compared to parent(s) that do not receive the intervention
8. Parent(s) that receive the intervention, will report an intention to talk about more ACP topics and have talked about more ACP topics with their child at T1 (baseline +3 months) and T2 (baseline + 7 months), compared to parent(s) that do not receive the intervention
9. Parents that receive the intervention will report a higher level of shared decision making (CollaboRATE) at T1 (baseline +3 months) and T2 (baseline + 7 months), compared to the parent (s) that do not receive the intervention
10. The intervention will be cost-effective (using the EQ-5D-Y) and cost-efficient (using resource use) at T1 (baseline + 3 months) and T2 (baseline + 7 months). We will carry out a subsequent economic evaluation

### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

### Ethics approval(s)

Approved 18/11/2020, Commission for Medical Ethics (O.G. 016) of the Vrije Universiteit Brussel /UZ Brussel (Laarbeeklaan 101, 1090 Brussels, Belgium; +32(0)24775584; commissie.ethiek@uzbrussel.be) Ref: 2020-270

### Study design

Multicenter parallel-group randomized controlled superiority trial

### Primary study design

Interventional

## Study type(s)

Other

## Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Improving communication on advance care planning (ACP) topics between adolescents with cancer and their parents

## Interventions

Current intervention as of 04/05/2021:

The intervention group will receive the BOOST pACP intervention (Benefits of Obtaining Ownership Systematically Together), in addition to standard care. The control group will receive care as usual.

Two routes will be used to recruit patients:

1. Pediatric oncologists (together with psychologists) at each of the four participating wards will select adolescents based on the inclusion criteria first. Subsequently, they will review whether their parent(s) adhere to the inclusion criteria also.
2. Through patient organizations and other non-profit organizations. Organizations will be asked to post an open call in their newsletters, on their website and on other social media platforms (eg. Facebook, LinkedIn and/or Twitter) or share this in other ways that are common communication channels when interacting with their members and network. The research team has developed a flyer and social media image for organizations to share. Interested families can contact our data collectors.

The intervention group will be compared in terms of parent-adolescent communication to a usual care control group. Randomization will be performed per a computerized random number generator with a 1:1 allocation ratio to either the intervention arm or the standard care arm (control group) using simple randomization. Randomization will be stratified by age (two groups: 10-14 years versus 15-18 years).

The intervention consists of the following 10 components:

1. Training of facilitators that will lead the conversation sessions and coaching on the job every 3 months
2. Preparation booklets for the parent(s) and the adolescent
3. A video with experts by experience talking about the impact of the intervention (shown during the first conversation session)
4. Conversation session 1 involves an introductory session with parent(s) and adolescent together. Two broad topics (identity; experience of disease and treatment) are discussed with conversation cards.
5. Conversation session 2a, only with the adolescent, involves a conversation with the adolescent alone. Six ACP topics are discussed with conversation cards: talking to others; hope and comfort; worries and fear; care preferences; expectations for the future; and about dying. Adolescents are asked to divide the topics into two categories: "I would like to discuss this topic with my parent(s)" and "at the moment I do not feel the need to discuss this topic with my parent(s)".
6. Conversation session 2b, only with the parent(s), involves a conversation with the parent(s) alone. Seven ACP topics are discussed with conversation cards: talking to your child; parenthood; hope and comfort; worries and fear; care and treatment; expectations of the future; and about dying.
7. Conversation session 3 a conversation with parent(s) and adolescent together. They are given the opportunity to talk about certain ACP topics if they wish to.

8. The adolescent with parent(s) fill in a summary sheet together during the third conversation session. They can fill out whether they agree that this summary sheet is shared with their oncologist.
9. A transfer of information from the intervention facilitator to a treating pediatric oncologist /medical team, if families give permission
10. Conversation cards that can be used as a game of quartet at home. Families will receive conversation cards at the end of conversation session 3.

The conversation sessions are guided by a trained facilitator that has a background and experience as a psychologist, external to the treating medical team.

---

Previous intervention:

The intervention group will receive the BOOST pACP intervention (Benefits of Obtaining Ownership Systematically Together), in addition to standard care. The control group will receive care as usual.

Pediatric oncologists (together with psychologists) at each of the four participating wards will select adolescents based on the inclusion criteria first. Subsequently, they will review whether their parent(s) adhere to the inclusion criteria also.

The intervention group will be compared in terms of parent-adolescent communication to a usual care control group. Randomization will be performed per a computerized random number generator with a 1:1 allocation ratio to either the intervention arm or the standard care arm (control group) using simple randomization. Randomization will be stratified by age (two groups: 10–14 years old versus 15–18 years old).

The intervention consists of the following 10 components:

1. Training of facilitators that will lead the conversation sessions and coaching on the job every 3 months
2. Preparation booklets for the parent(s) and the adolescent
3. A video with experts by experience talking about the impact of the intervention (shown during the first conversation session)
4. Conversation session 1 involves an introductory session with parent(s) and adolescent together. Two broad topics (identity; experience of disease and treatment) are discussed with conversation cards.
5. Conversation session 2a, only with the adolescent, involves a conversation with the adolescent alone. Six ACP topics are discussed with conversation cards: talking to others; hope and comfort; worries and fear; care preferences; expectations for the future; and about dying. Adolescents are asked to divide the topics into two categories: "I would like to discuss this topic with my parent(s)" and "at the moment I do not feel the need to discuss this topic with my parent (s)".
6. Conversation session 2b, only with the parent(s), involves a conversation with the parent(s) alone. Seven ACP topics are discussed with conversation cards: talking to your child; parenthood; hope and comfort; worries and fear; care and treatment; expectations of the future; and about dying.
7. Conversation session 3 a conversation with parent(s) and adolescent together. They are given the opportunity to talk about certain ACP topics if they wish to.
8. The adolescent with parent(s) fill in a summary sheet together during the third conversation session. They can fill out whether they agree that this summary sheet is shared with their oncologist.

9. A transfer of information from the intervention facilitator to a treating pediatric oncologist /medical team, if families give permission
10. Conversation cards that can be used as a game of quartet at home. Families will receive conversation cards at the end of conversation session 3.

The conversation sessions are guided by a trained facilitator that has a background and experience as a psychologist, external to the treating medical team.

### **Intervention Type**

Behavioural

### **Primary outcome(s)**

1. Parent-adolescent communication from the perspective of the adolescent, measured by the Parent-Adolescent Communication Scale (PACS) (Dutch translation by translation agency for this study) at baseline, 3, and 7 months

### **Key secondary outcome(s)**

1. Adolescent attitude, self-efficacy, behavior, and intention to communicate about ACP topics with parent(s), measured using self-developed items constructed based on the Theory of Planned Behavior at baseline, 3, and 7 months
2. Adolescent behavior and intention to communicate about ACP topics with a pediatric oncologist, measured using self-developed items (constructed based on the Theory of Planned Behavior) at baseline, 3, and 7 months
3. Adolescent quality of life (for cost-effectiveness), measured using the EuroQol 5-dimension quality of life questionnaire (EQ-5D-Y) at baseline, 3, and 7 months
4. Adolescent satisfaction items and items on perceived effect only for the intervention group (on intervention components and the intervention as a whole) measured using self-developed items at 3 and 7 months
5. Parent(s) attitude, self-efficacy, behavior and intention to communicate about ACP topics with their child, measured using self-developed items (constructed based on the Theory of Planned Behavior) at baseline, 3, and 7 months
6. Parent(s) perspective of the level of shared decision making in the last clinical encounter with the pediatric oncologist, measured using the CollaboRATE for parents at baseline, 3, and 7 months
7. Parent(s) satisfaction items and items on perceived effect only for the intervention group (on intervention components and the intervention as a whole) measured using self-developed items at 3 and 7 months
8. Pediatric oncologists behavior and intention to communicate about ACP topics with the family, measured using self-developed items (constructed based on the Theory of Planned Behavior) at baseline, 3, and 7 months
9. Level of anxiety the past week measured using the Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS) in the questionnaires for adolescents and parents at baseline, 3, and 7 months
10. Perceived effects of the intervention measured using semi-structured face-to-face interviews with a selection of adolescents and parent(s) at 5 months

Process evaluation outcome measures:

1. Fidelity measured by:
  - 1.1. Analysis of a selection of audio-recorded conversation sessions of the facilitators with the adolescent and parent(s), to analyze fidelity at facilitator level throughout the data collection period

- 1.2. Analysis of reports of coaching on the job sessions for facilitators (what actions have been done and have the actions been done at the right time?) every 4 months
- 1.3. Structured diary filled in by facilitators (present during conversation sessions) about what topics were and were not discussed, kept updated continuously and completed at 3 months
2. Experiences of different groups measured by:
  - 2.1. Separate interviews with adolescents and parents (about suitability, feasibility, added value) at 5 months
  - 2.2. Interviews with facilitators (about challenges, facilitating factors, proposed changes, feasibility), after the data collection period
  - 2.3. Interviews with healthcare professionals at the pediatric oncology ward through interviews (about the transfer of information of facilitator and potential courses on communication, ACP or palliative care), after the data collection period
3. Evaluating recruitment and enrollment into the study (number of eligible patients and parent(s) approached, reasons for not approaching, number of approached families that were recruited, number and characteristics of patients that refused to participate and reasons for refusal) at baseline

### **Completion date**

31/10/2023

## **Eligibility**

### **Key inclusion criteria**

Adolescent (patient):

1. Aged between 10 and 18 years
2. Diagnosis of cancer  $\geq$  3 months prior to inclusion
3. Aware of, or informed about, cancer diagnosis according to parent(s)
4. Receiving treatment in a pediatric oncology ward
5. Fluent Dutch language understanding

Parent:

1. Aware of or informed about the diagnosis of their child according to the clinician
2. Fluent Dutch language understanding

Pediatric oncologist:

1. Medically involved in the treatment of the adolescent
2. Indicated by the family to be the oncologist with whom the family has most contact about treatment
3. Fluent Dutch language understanding

### **Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

### **Age group**

Mixed

### **Sex**

All

### **Key exclusion criteria**

Adolescent (patient):

1. Participated in the feasibility test
2. Intellectual disabilities to an extent that general communication is very difficult or heavy mental health problems to an extent that the extra effort of participating in the study is not justified (estimated by the pediatric oncologist and psychologist)
3. Life expectancy  $\leq 3$  months
4. Not receiving active treatment (in follow up or in remission)

Parent:

1. Participated in the feasibility test
2. Intellectual disabilities to an extent that general communication is very difficult or heavy mental health problems to an extent that the extra effort of participating in the study is not justified (estimated by the pediatric oncologist and psychologist)

**Date of first enrolment**

28/01/2021

**Date of final enrolment**

31/03/2023

## **Locations**

**Countries of recruitment**

Belgium

**Study participating centre**

**University Hospital Brussels**

Brussels Health Campus

Laarbeeklaan 101

Jette

Belgium

1090

**Study participating centre**

**University Hospital Leuven**

Herestraat 49

Leuven

Belgium

3000

**Study participating centre**

**University Hospital Antwerp**

Drie Eikenstraat 655

Edegem  
Belgium  
2650

**Study participating centre**  
**University Hospital Ghent**  
Corneel Heymanslaan 10  
Gent  
Belgium  
9000

**Study participating centre**  
**Vrije Universiteit Brussel**  
Laarbeeklaan 101  
Jette  
Belgium  
1090

**Study participating centre**  
**Universiteit Gent**  
Campus UZ Gent  
Corneel Heymanslaan 10  
Gent  
Belgium  
B-9000

## **Sponsor information**

**Organisation**  
Vrije Universiteit Brussel

**ROR**  
<https://ror.org/006e5kg04>

## **Funder(s)**

**Funder type**  
Research organisation

**Funder Name**

Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek

**Alternative Name(s)**

Research Foundation Flanders, Flemish Research Foundation, Research Foundation – Flanders, Fonds voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek - Vlaanderen, The FWO, Het FWO, FWO

**Funding Body Type**

Government organisation

**Funding Body Subtype**

Trusts, charities, foundations (both public and private)

**Location**

Belgium

**Funder Name**

Kinderkankerfonds (Child Cancer Fund)

## Results and Publications

**Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study will be available upon request. Sharing of datasets will be defined on a case-by-case basis. Requests may be addressed to the main contact persons (Anne van Driessche, Prof. Kim Beernaert, Prof. Joachim Cohen). Every request will be evaluated on an individual basis and the ethics committee of the Vrije Universiteit Brussels will be contacted for approval before any sharing of participant-level data.

**IPD sharing plan summary**

Available on request

**Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>		29/01/2025	05/02/2025	Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>		13/05/2025	14/05/2025	Yes	No
<a href="#">Protocol article</a>		01/09/2021	13/02/2023	Yes	No
<a href="#">Study website</a>	Study website	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes