

Postponed or immediate drainage of infected necrotizing pancreatitis (POINTER trial)

Submission date 02/07/2015	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	☐ Prospectively registered ☒ Protocol
Registration date 06/08/2015	Overall study status Completed	☐ Statistical analysis plan ☒ Results
Last Edited 02/09/2025	Condition category Digestive System	☐ Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Acute pancreatitis is a common disease where the pancreas becomes inflamed over a short period of time. During an acute pancreatitis attack, tissue within the pancreas may die (necrotize) and later become infected. Infected necrosis is associated with a mortality (death rate) of 20% and many other complications. Current guidelines recommend a so-called 'step-up approach' in these patients, starting with first catheter drainage. If necessary, patients will have an additional operation to remove their infected necrosis (necrosectomy). All these interventions are preferably delayed until the necrotic collections have reached the stage of walled-off necrosis. This process usually takes 4 weeks. During this waiting period antibiotic treatment is used. There is evidence that necrosectomy (second step) should be postponed until walled-off necrosis. However, evidence regarding the best timing of catheter drainage (first step) is lacking. This study aims to compare immediate and postponed catheter drainage in patients with infected necrotizing pancreatitis with regard to clinical outcomes and medical costs.

Who can participate?

Adult patients with (suspected) infected necrotizing pancreatitis.

What does the study involve?

Participants will be randomly allocated to either the intervention or the control group. Participants will undergo the same treatment as non-participating patients. The only difference is that participants in the intervention group will undergo catheter drainage earlier in the disease course. Participants will attend follow-up visits 3 and 6 months later to undergo routine tests (e.g., pancreatic function tests and imaging).

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

There is a rationale in postponing catheter drainage in patients with infected necrotizing pancreatitis. First, antibiotic treatment alone may suffice as treatment. Second, diagnosing infected necrotizing pancreatitis is often easier in a later stage of the disease. Third, catheter drainage may be easier once the stage of walled-off necrosis has been reached. On the other hand there is not always a technical reason to wait several weeks until full encapsulation of the collections and catheter drainage can be performed successfully in the first weeks after onset of

disease. For similar abdominal conditions catheter drainage is also safely performed early in 'non-walled-off' collections. If there is no technical reason for postponing catheter drainage, patients with infected necrotizing pancreatitis may benefit from earlier catheter drainage by reduced complications and length of hospital stay.

Where is the study run from?

The study is initiated by the Dutch Pancreatitis Study Group (DPSG) and 24 Dutch hospitals will participate.

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

The study will start in July 2015 and will run for 3 years. Thereafter the follow-up will be completed in 6 months, followed by 6 months for data collecting, analysing and reporting. The total duration of the study is 4 years.

Who is funding the study?

Fonds NutsOhra and the Amsterdam UMC, location Academic Medical Center Amsterdam.

Who is the main contact?

1. Dr Lotte Boxhoorn

L.boxhoorn@amsterdamumc.nl

2. Dr Marc Besselink

m.g.besselink@amsterdamumc.nl

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Prof Marc Besselink

Contact details

Amsterdam UMC, location VUMC

Department of Surgery

De Boelelaan 1117

Amsterdam

Netherlands

1081 HV

+31-20-4444400

m.g.besselink@amsterdamumc.nl

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr Lotte Boxhoorn

Contact details

St. Antonius Hospital

Dutch Pancreatitis Study Group

Nieuwegein

Netherlands
PO Box 2500 / 3430 EM
+31 (0)88 3207053
L.boxhoorn@amsterdamumc.nl

Additional identifiers

Study information

Scientific Title

Postponed or immediate drainage of infected necrotizing pancreatitis: a pragmatic parallel group randomized controlled multicenter superiority trial

Acronym

POINTER trial

Study objectives

Immediate catheter drainage is clinically and economically superior to postponed catheter drainage within the step-up approach in infected necrotizing pancreatitis.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

The medical ethics committee of the Amsterdam UMC, location Academic Medical Center Amsterdam, 19/06/2015, NL52361.018.15

Primary study design

Interventional

Study design

Pragmatic parallel-group randomized controlled multicenter superiority trial

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Infected necrotizing pancreatitis

Interventions

Immediate catheter drainage after diagnosing infected necrosis while starting antibiotic treatment versus current standard treatment with postponing catheter drainage under antibiotic treatment (preferably until walled-off necrosis). If necessary a necrosectomy will be performed and postponed, if feasible, until the stage of walled-off necrosis in both treatment arms.

Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

Primary outcome(s)

Current primary outcome measure as of 12/04/2021:

Comprehensive Complication Index (CCI), including all complications other than pre-existent complications (e.g. treatment for infected (extra) pancreatic necrosis) occurring after randomization until 6 months after randomization, and graded according to the Clavien-Dindo classification.

Previous primary outcome measure:

The Comprehensive Complication Index (CCI), including all complications between randomization and 6 months after

Key secondary outcome(s)

Current secondary outcome measures as of 12/04/2021:

1. Mortality
 2. New onset (multi-)organ failure
 3. Bleeding requiring intervention
 4. Perforation of a visceral organ requiring intervention
 5. Enterocutaneous fistula
 6. Pancreaticocutaneous fistula
 7. Incisional hernia
 8. Wound infections
 9. Endocrine and exocrine pancreas insufficiency
 10. Number of patients with severe complications (Clavien-Dindo III or higher)
 11. Number of patients per Clavien-Dindo classification
 12. Number of surgical, endoscopic and radiologic interventions
 13. Length of hospital stay
 14. Length of ICU admission
 15. QALYs
 16. Total direct and indirect costs
 17. Budget impact
- All secondary endpoints occurring within 6 months after randomization will be measured

Previous secondary outcome measures:

1. Mortality
 2. New onset (multi-)organ failure
 3. Bleeding requiring intervention
 4. Perforation requiring intervention
 5. Fistula
 6. Incisional hernia
 7. Wound infections
 8. Endocrine and exocrine pancreas insufficiency
 9. Number of patients with severe complications (Clavien-Dindo III or higher)
 10. Number of patients per Clavien-Dindo classification
 11. Number of (re-)interventions
 12. Hospital and ICU length of stay
 13. QALYs
 14. (In)direct costs
 15. Budget impact
- All secondary endpoints occurring within 6 months after randomization will be measured.

Completion date

11/04/2019

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Adult patients with (suspected) infected necrotizing pancreatitis; all patients with necrotizing pancreatitis will be screened for eligibility including a protocolized approach to patients with signs of infection

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Sex

All

Total final enrolment

104

Key exclusion criteria

1. More than 35 days after onset of acute pancreatitis
2. Indication for emergency laparotomy for abdominal catastrophe (e.g. bleeding, bowel perforation, abdominal compartment syndrome)
3. Previous retroperitoneal intervention for necrotizing pancreatitis
4. Documented chronic pancreatitis

Date of first enrolment

04/08/2015

Date of final enrolment

11/10/2019

Locations

Countries of recruitment

Netherlands

Study participating centre

Amsterdam UMC, location Academic Medical Center

Amsterdam

Netherlands

-

Study participating centre
Erasmus Medical Center
Rotterdam
Netherlands

-

Study participating centre
St. Antonius Hospital
Nieuwegein
Netherlands

-

Study participating centre
Maastricht University Medical Center
Maastricht
Netherlands

-

Study participating centre
Radboudumc
Nijmegen
Netherlands

-

Study participating centre
University Medical Center Groningen
Groningen
Netherlands

-

Study participating centre
University Medical Center Utrecht
Utrecht
Netherlands

-

Study participating centre
Jeroen Bosch Hospital
's-Hertogenbosch

Netherlands

-

Study participating centre

Reinier de Graaf Gasthuis

Delft

Netherlands

-

Study participating centre

Amsterdam UMC, location VU University Medical Center

Amsterdam

Netherlands

-

Study participating centre

Meander Medical Center

Amersfoort

Netherlands

-

Study participating centre

Hospital Gelderse Vallei

Ede

Netherlands

-

Study participating centre

Maxima Medisch Centrum

Veldhoven

Netherlands

-

Study participating centre

Isala Klinieken

Zwolle

Netherlands

-

Study participating centre
Rijnstate Hospital
Arnhem
Netherlands

-

Study participating centre
Medisch Spectrum Twente
Enschede
Netherlands

-

Study participating centre
Catharina Hospital
Eindhoven
Netherlands

-

Study participating centre
Gelre Hospital
Apeldoorn
Netherlands

-

Study participating centre
Medical Center Leeuwarden
Leeuwarden
Netherlands

-

Study participating centre
Onze Lieve Vrouwe Gasthuis
Amsterdam
Netherlands

-

Study participating centre

Amphia Hospital

Breda

Netherlands

-

Study participating centre

Maasstad Hospital

Rotterdam

Netherlands

-

Study participating centre

Spaarne Gasthuis

Haarlem

Netherlands

-

Study participating centre

Albert Schweitzer Hospital

Dordrecht

Netherlands

-

Sponsor information

Organisation

Academic Medical Center

ROR

<https://ror.org/03t4gr691>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Charity

Funder Name

Fonds NutsOhra

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are/will be available upon request from Prof. dr. Marc Besselink (m.g.besselink@amsterdamumc.nl)

IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	protocol	07/10/2021	07/10/2021	Yes	No
Results article		17/07/2023	17/07/2023	Yes	No
Protocol article		25/04/2019	29/04/2019	Yes	No
Other publications	Secondary analysis	25/04/2025	02/09/2025	Yes	No
Study website	Study website	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes