

Multi-centre randomised controlled trial of nurse practitioners and pre-registration house officers (PRHO) in pre-operative workup

Submission date 25/04/2003	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	☐ Prospectively registered ☐ Protocol
Registration date 25/04/2003	Overall study status Completed	☐ Statistical analysis plan ☒ Results
Last Edited 11/03/2009	Condition category Surgery	☐ Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Prof John Primrose

Contact details

University Surgical Unit
University of Southampton
F Level, Centre Block (816)
Southampton General Hospital
Tremona Road
Southampton
United Kingdom
SO16 6YD
+44 (0)23 80 796144
j.n.primrose@soton.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number

HTA 94/40/38

Study information

Scientific Title

Study objectives

To determine whether pre-operative assessment carried out by an appropriately trained nurse (ATN) is equivalent in quality to that carried out by a pre-registration house officer (PRHO).

To assess whether pre-assessments carried out by ATNs and PRHOs are equivalent in terms of cost.

To determine whether assessments carried out by ATNs are acceptable to patients.

To investigate the quality of communication between senior medical staff and ATNs.

More details can be found at: <http://www.hta.ac.uk/993>

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration.

Study design

Prospective randomised equivalence trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Not Specified

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Pre-operative assessment

Interventions

The study design was principally a prospective randomised equivalence trial but was accompanied by additional qualitative assessment of patient and staff perceptions, and an economic evaluation.

The intervention consisted of a pre-operative assessment carried out by either an appropriately trained nurse (ATN) or a PRHO. Of the patients who completed the study with a full evaluation, 926 patients were randomised to the PRHO arm of the trial and 948 to the ATN arm. Three ATNs took part in the study, one from each centre, together with a total of 87 PRHOs.

Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome(s)

Immediately following the initial assessment of a patient by a PRHO or an ATN, one of a number of clinical research fellows, all specialist registrars in anaesthetics, repeated the assessment and recorded it on a study form, together with a list of investigations required. The clinical research fellow then evaluated the competency of the initial assessor by comparing the quality of their

assessment with their own. Any deficiencies in ordering of investigations and referral to other specialities were met in order to maximise patient care. Three areas of ATN and PRHO performance were judged separately, history taking, examination and ordering of tests, and each was graded into one of four categories, the most important of which was under-assessment, which would possibly have affected peri-operative management. In the case of ordering of tests, it was possible to have both over- and under-assessed a patient on different tests.

Key secondary outcome(s)

Not provided at time of registration.

Completion date

31/10/1999

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

All patients attending at one site for assessment prior to general anaesthetic for elective general, vascular, urological or breast surgery were potentially included in the study. Of 1,907 patients who were randomised, 1874 completed the study with a full evaluation.

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Not Specified

Sex

All

Key exclusion criteria

Not provided at time of registration.

Date of first enrolment

01/10/1997

Date of final enrolment

31/10/1999

Locations

Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

England

Study participating centre
University Surgical Unit
Southampton
United Kingdom
SO16 6YD

Sponsor information

Organisation
Department of Health (UK)

ROR
<https://ror.org/03sbpja79>

Funder(s)

Funder type
Government

Funder Name
NIHR Health Technology Assessment Programme - HTA (UK)

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary
Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	07/12/2002		Yes	No