

Spinal anaesthesia or general anaesthesia for anorectal surgery

Submission date 03/12/2007	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	☐ Prospectively registered ☐ Protocol
Registration date 20/03/2008	Overall study status Completed	☐ Statistical analysis plan ☒ Results
Last Edited 05/01/2010	Condition category Surgery	☐ Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol
Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Prof Thomas Luecke

Contact details
Clinic of Anaesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine
University Hospital Mannheim
Theodor-Kutzer-Ufer 1-3
Mannheim
Germany
68167

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number
N/A

Study information

Scientific Title
Comparison of spinal anaesthesia with 1.0 mL hyperbaric bupivacaine 0.5% and total intravenous anaesthesia for minor anorectal surgery

Study objectives

Minor anorectal surgery can be performed with several anaesthesia techniques. Due to multiple irrational fears, many patients deny a spinal anaesthesia and prefer a general anaesthesia. In this study we evaluate the practicability, patients' acceptability and analgetic consumption for both anaesthesia techniques in patients undergoing minor anorectal surgery.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Ethics approval received from the local medical ethics committee (Medizinische Ethikkommission II Anschrift: Medizinische Ethik-Kommission II) on the 24th May 2007 (ref: 2007-085N-MA).

Study design

Single-centre, randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Minor anorectal surgery

Interventions

Patients with anorectal surgery are 1:1 randomised to either a spinal anaesthesia or a general anaesthesia. All patients received either:

1. A spinal anaesthesia with 1.0 mL hyperbaric bupivacaine 0.5% or
2. A total intravenous anaesthesia with:
 - 2.1. 0.2 mg fentanyl and 2 mg propofol 1% per kg body weight for induction
 - 2.2. Propofol 1% in a perfusion pump for the duration of anaesthesia, dosage depending on the demands of the patient
 - 2.3. A laryngeal mask (size depending on the body weight of the patient)

Intervention Type

Drug

Phase

Not Specified

Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)

Fentanyl, propofol, bupivacaine

Primary outcome(s)

Recovery room time, measured on day of surgery.

Key secondary outcome(s)

Consumption of analgetics in the first 24 hours, measured 48 hours after surgery.

Completion date

01/02/2008

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. Patients (male/female) with minor anorectal surgery
2. Operation in jack knife position
3. Age: 18 - 75 years
4. American Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) grade I - II
5. No contra-indication against spinal anaesthesia or general anaesthesia

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

18 years

Sex

All

Key exclusion criteria

1. Contra-indications against spinal anaesthesia or general anaesthesia
2. Operations in prone position
3. Allergy against diclofenac

Date of first enrolment

01/09/2007

Date of final enrolment

01/02/2008

Locations

Countries of recruitment

Germany

Study participating centre

Clinic of Anaesthesiology and Critical Care Medicine

Mannheim

Germany

68167

Sponsor information

Organisation

University Hospital Mannheim (Germany)

ROR

<https://ror.org/05sxbyd35>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Other

Funder Name

Investigator initiated and funded (Germany)

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/01/2010		Yes	No