

# A randomised trial to determine the best method for delivering talc for the management of malignant pleural effusions in patients with a good performance status

<b>Submission date</b> 24/05/2012	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 28/05/2012	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 06/12/2019	<b>Condition category</b> Cancer	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

<http://www.cancerresearchuk.org/cancer-help/trials/a-trial-looking-at-how-treat-fluid-lung-tapps>

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Dr Nick Maskell

### Contact details

Respiratory Research Unit  
Southmead Hospital  
Bristol  
United Kingdom  
BS10 5NB  
-  
nick.maskell@nbt.nhs.uk

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Dr Rahul Bhatnagar

### Contact details

-  
-  
United Kingdom  
-

## Additional identifiers

### Protocol serial number

HTA 10/50/42, 2843, UKCRN:12537

## Study information

### Scientific Title

Evaluating the efficacy of Thoracoscopy And talc Poudrage versus Pleurodesis using talc Slurry: A randomised, open-label trial to determine the most effective method for the management of malignant pleural effusions in patients with a good performance status

### Acronym

TAPPS

### Study objectives

Primary research question:

Does thoracoscopy and talc poudrage increase the proportion of patients with successful pleurodesis at three months post-procedure, when compared to standard therapy with chest drain insertion and talc slurry instillation?

Secondary research questions:

1. Does thoracoscopy and talc poudrage reduce the time to pleurodesis failure, measured at three and six months post-procedure, when compared to standard therapy with chest drain insertion and talc slurry instillation?
2. Does fluid drainage and talc poudrage at thoracoscopy improve chest x-ray appearances at 24 hours and at 3 months post-procedure, when compared to standard fluid drainage via chest tube alone?
3. Does thoracoscopy and talc poudrage cause less breathlessness and thoracic pain for the first five days post-procedure, when compared to standard therapy with chest drain insertion and talc slurry instillation?
4. Does thoracoscopy and talc poudrage improve health-related quality of life over the six months post-procedure, when compared to standard therapy with chest drain insertion and talc slurry instillation?
5. Is thoracoscopy and talc poudrage cost effective over six months, when compared to standard therapy with chest drain insertion and talc slurry instillation?
6. Does thoracoscopy and talc poudrage reduce healthcare utilisation during the six months post-procedure, when compared to standard therapy with chest drain insertion and talc slurry instillation?

On 07/01/2015 the overall trial end date was changed from 15/01/2015 to 31/01/2017.

### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

## **Ethics approval(s)**

NRES Committee North West – Preston, 26/06/2012, ref: 12/NW/0467

## **Primary study design**

Interventional

## **Study design**

Randomised open-label multi-centre trial

## **Study type(s)**

Treatment

## **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Malignant pleural effusion

## **Interventions**

1. Small-bore chest drain insertion followed by 4 g graded sterile talc slurry pleurodesis
2. Medical (local anaesthetic) thoracoscopy followed by 4 g graded sterile talc poudrage

## **Intervention Type**

Procedure/Surgery

## **Primary outcome(s)**

Number of patients who experience pleurodesis failure up to three months (90 days) post randomisation

## **Key secondary outcome(s)**

1. Requirement for further pleural procedures up to 6 months post-randomisation, as assessed by two independent, blinded adjudicators. The adjudicator will be provided with relevant radiological images and information regarding the patient's health status, including performance status and Visual Analogue Scores (VAS) scores for breathlessness and thoracic pain
2. Percentage radiographic (chest x-ray) pleural opacification, measured by visual estimation in a blinded fashion, on the side of the pleurodesis attempt at 24 hours post poudrage or slurry instillation, and at 3 and 6 months post randomisation
3. Self-reported health-related quality of life, as measured using the SF-36 and EQ-5D questionnaires measured at 1 month, 3 months and 6 months post randomisation
4. Self-reported thoracic pain, as measured using VAS scores recorded daily for the first 7 days post randomisation, and then weekly for the duration of trial follow-up
5. Self-reported breathlessness, as measured using VAS scores recorded daily for the first 7 days post randomisation, and then weekly for the duration of trial follow-up.
6. The number of patients with pleurodesis failure up to one month (30 days) post randomisation
7. The number of patients with pleurodesis failure up to six months (180 days) post randomisation
8. All-cause mortality up to six months (180 days) post-randomisation
9. Time to pleurodesis failure, censored at six months (180 days) post randomisation
10. Time from randomisation to hospital discharge
11. Number of days spent as a hospital inpatient up to three months
12. Healthcare resource usage and costs at six months (180 days) post randomisation
13. The costs of performing talc pleurodesis under the two interventions under study
14. Follow-up costs

**Completion date**

31/10/2018

## Eligibility

**Key inclusion criteria**

1. Clinically confident diagnosis of malignant pleural effusion requiring pleurodesis, defined as:
  - 1.1. Pleural effusion with histocytologically proven pleural malignancy OR
  - 1.2. Pleural effusion in the context of histocytologically proven malignancy elsewhere, without a clear alternative cause for fluid OR
  - 1.3. Pleural effusion with typical features of malignancy with pleural involvement on cross-sectional imaging (CT/MRI)
2. Fit enough to undergo local anaesthetic thoracoscopy, as per British Thoracic Society (BTS) guidelines
3. Expected survival >3 months
4. Written, informed consent to trial participation

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

**Age group**

Adult

**Sex**

All

**Total final enrolment**

330

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Patients in whom thoracoscopy is the only reasonable approach to making a diagnosis, and in whom such a diagnosis would significantly influence further management
2. Age < 18 years
3. Females who are pregnant or lactating
4. Evidence of extensive lung entrapment on chest X-ray (CXR) or CT, or significant fluid loculation on ultrasound scan, to a level which would normally be a contraindication to attempted talc pleurodesis
5. Insufficient volume or position of pleural fluid on lateral decubitus thoracic ultrasound to safely perform local anaesthetic thoracoscopy without further intervention being necessary
6. Previously documented adverse reaction to talc
7. Clear contraindication to thoracoscopy or chest tube insertion

**Date of first enrolment**

01/08/2012

**Date of final enrolment**

24/10/2017

# Locations

## Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

England

Scotland

## Study participating centre

### Southmead Hospital

Monks Park Avenue

Bristol

United Kingdom

BS10 5NB

## Study participating centre

### Nottingham City Hospital

Hucknall Road

Nottingham

United Kingdom

NG5 1PB

## Study participating centre

### Musgrove Park Hospital

Taunton

United Kingdom

TA1 5DA

## Study participating centre

### Churchill Hospital

Headington

Oxford

United Kingdom

OX3 7LE

## Study participating centre

### Medway Maritime Hospital

Gillingham

United Kingdom

ME7 5NY

**Study participating centre**

**King's Mill Hospital**

Mansfield Road  
Sutton in Ashfield  
Nottingham  
United Kingdom  
NG17 4JL

**Study participating centre**

**Lancashire Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust**

Fulwood  
Preston  
United Kingdom  
PR2 9HT

**Study participating centre**

**Wythenshawe Hospital**

Southmoor Road  
Wythenshawe  
Manchester  
United Kingdom  
M23 9LT

**Study participating centre**

**Addenbrooke's Hospital**

Hills Road  
Cambridge  
United Kingdom  
CB2 0QQ

**Study participating centre**

**St Thomas' Hospital**

Westminster Bridge Road  
London  
United Kingdom  
SE1 7EH

**Study participating centre**

**Doncaster Royal Infirmary**  
Armthorpe Road  
Doncaster  
United Kingdom  
DN2 5LT

**Study participating centre**  
**University Hospital of North Tees**  
Hardwick  
Stockton  
United Kingdom  
TS19 8PE

**Study participating centre**  
**Aintree University Hospital**  
Liverpool  
United Kingdom  
L9 7AL

**Study participating centre**  
**Southern General Hospital**  
1345 Govan Road  
Glasgow  
United Kingdom  
G51 4TF

**Study participating centre**  
**Milton Keynes Hospital NHS Foundation Trust**  
Standing Way  
Eaglestone  
Milton Keynes  
United Kingdom  
MK6 5LD

**Study participating centre**  
**Queen Elizabeth Hospital**  
Birmingham  
United Kingdom  
B15 2TH

# Sponsor information

## Organisation

North Bristol NHS Trust (UK)

## ROR

<https://ror.org/036x6gt55>

# Funder(s)

## Funder type

Government

## Funder Name

NIHR Health Technology Assessment (HTA) (UK) (ref. 10/50/42)

# Results and Publications

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The trial was funded by the NIHR HTA programme, who will publish the full data and a comprehensive study report at the same time as the academic manuscript. This will be open access and thus available to anyone in perpetuity. For further information email the study Chief Investigator, Nick Maskell, at [nick.maskell@bristol.ac.uk](mailto:nick.maskell@bristol.ac.uk). Consent was obtained and all data are anonymised.

## IPD sharing plan summary

Other

## Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	05/12/2019	06/12/2019	Yes	No
<a href="#">Protocol article</a>	protocol	26/11/2014		Yes	No
<a href="#">Other publications</a>	strategies	21/11/2014		Yes	No