

SLURP: Steroidinjections in LUmboSacral Radicular Syndrome

Submission date 20/12/2005	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	☐ Prospectively registered
		☐ Protocol
Registration date 20/12/2005	Overall study status Completed	☐ Statistical analysis plan
		☐ Results
Last Edited 18/11/2008	Condition category Musculoskeletal Diseases	☐ Individual participant data
		☐ Record updated in last year

Plain English summary of protocol
Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Dr A. Spijker-Huiges

Contact details
P.O. Box 196
Groningen
Netherlands
9700 AD
+31 (0)50 363 7232
a.spijker-huiges@med.umcg.nl

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number
1705; NTR342

Study information

Scientific Title
Segmental epidural steroid injections for lumbosacral radicular syndrome: a randomised controlled trial comparing cost-effectiveness of a segmental epidural injection with usual care for patients with lumbosacral radicular syndrome (LRS) in general practice

Acronym

SLURP

Study objectives

Adding segmental steroid injections to usual care in the treatment of acute lumbosacral radicular syndrome will reduce pain and fasten recovery in general practice.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Received from the local medical ethics committee

Primary study design

Interventional

Study design

Randomised, single-blind, active controlled, parallel group trial

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Lumbosacral Radicular Syndrome

Interventions

1. Intervention group: care as usual, combined with one or two segmental epidural corticosteroid injections (80 mg kenacort)
2. Control group: care as usual

Intervention Type

Drug

Phase

Not Specified

Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)

Kenacort

Primary outcome(s)

1. Pain in back and/or leg, while walking, standing, lying down and night pain using a numerical rating scale (NRS) (0 - 10)
2. Severity of main complaint NRS (0 - 10)
3. Perceived recovery (NRS 0 - 10, complete recovery-severe deterioration)

Key secondary outcome(s)

1. Mobility, which the Roland-Morris Disability Questionnaire
2. Quality of life, measured with the 36-item short form health survey (SF-36)
3. Primary and secondary health care costs

Completion date

01/09/2008

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. Answering to the definition of lumbosacral radicular syndrome as described by the Guidelines of the Dutch College of General Practitioners (see introduction). The GP diagnoses the patient on grounds of history and physical examination.
2. Underwent usual medical care for lumbosacral radicular syndrome with insufficient response in one to two weeks of treatment. Inadequate response is, in accordance with the guideline of the Dutch college of general practitioners, left to the agreement of patients and GPs together.
3. Aged between 18 and 60 years old

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

18 Years

Upper age limit

60 Years

Sex

All

Key exclusion criteria

1. Pain that has lasted for more than one month before the patient presents to the GP (we want to include acute patients)
2. Having experienced a previous episode of lumbosacral radicular syndrome in the twelve months before the study
3. Previously having undergone spinal surgery. Previous spinal surgery will have caused adhesions in the patients' vertebrae, making the approach and the application of the epidural injection much more difficult. Chances of complications are a lot higher and the risk of needle misplacing increases.
4. Complaints arising after trauma. Patients who developed lumbosacral radicular syndrome as a result of trauma may have pathology that needs additional diagnostic imaging and treatment other than injections.
5. Maintenance therapy of oral corticosteroids. Apart from possible interference with the study results, patients on maintenance therapy of oral corticosteroids have a higher risk that their symptoms may be caused by osteoporosis which may need additional diagnostic imaging.
6. Oral anticoagulant therapy or bleeding disorders. Treatment with acenocoumarol and/or other anticoagulants increases the risk of bleeding. Since this risk is not as high with platelet aggregation inhibitors, we will not exclude patients on acetylsalicylic acid or non-steroidal anti-

inflammatory drug (NSAID) maintenance therapy.

7. Paresis or cauda equina syndrome. Lower extremity paresis and especially cauda equina syndrome are indications for immediate referral to a neurosurgeon.

8. Morbid obesity: body mass index (BMI) (weight/square length) greater than 35 In these patients, back pain complaints are much more likely to have other causes than lumbosacral radicular syndrome. Besides, administration of SESI is much more difficult in obese patients since the epidural space is harder to find and the needle may be too short. This increases the risk of false-negative results, needle misplacement and complications

9. Inadequate mastering of Dutch language. When patients are unable to communicate with the primary researcher or fill in the questionnaires, it is not possible to assess their progress or receive informed consent.

10. Allergy to corticosteroids

11. Women who are pregnant, have an active pregnancy wish or are lactating

12. Incapacity of will

Date of first enrolment

01/09/2005

Date of final enrolment

01/09/2008

Locations

Countries of recruitment

Netherlands

Study participating centre

P.O. Box 196

Groningen

Netherlands

9700 AD

Sponsor information

Organisation

University Medical Centre Groningen (UMCG) (The Netherlands)

ROR

<https://ror.org/03cv38k47>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Hospital/treatment centre

Funder Name

University Medical Centre Groningen (UMCG) (The Netherlands) - doelmatigheidsbureau (MTA)

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration