

Optimum socket fixation at total hip replacement

Submission date 12/09/2003	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	☐ Prospectively registered ☐ Protocol
Registration date 12/09/2003	Overall study status Completed	☐ Statistical analysis plan ☐ Results
Last Edited 16/04/2015	Condition category Surgery	☐ Individual participant data ☐ Record updated in last year

Plain English summary of protocol
Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Mr John Timperley

Contact details
Royal Devon & Exeter Hospital (Wonford)
Barrack Road
Exeter
United Kingdom
EX2 5BW
+44 (0)1392 403544
abc@email.com

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number
N0203096528

Study information

Scientific Title
Optimum socket fixation at total hip replacement

Study objectives

For patients 65 and under the aim of this project is to show whether the use of cemented or uncemented sockets at total hip replacement confers the best clinical and radiological results. For older patients the aim is to establish which of two techniques of cementing the socket confers the best results. Patients will be divided into two groups on the basis of their age. Patients under the age of 65 will be randomised into a cemented or cementless group for socket fixation. Patients over 65 will have cemented sockets inserted using two long established techniques of cementing i.e. with or without a flange attached to the socket. Patients entering into the study will have tantalum markers inserted into the pelvis and prosthetic socket at the time of their hip replacement. The subsequent radiological follow-up will be carried out using the technique of Radiostereometry (RSA).

The study should show if there is any advantage in using expensive uncemented sockets in younger patients; the cemented arm of the trial should define whether the use of a flanged socket confers any advantage to a patient who has a cemented socket inserted. Roentgen Stereophotogrammetric Analysis (RSA) will detect early micromotion of the implants and will allow comparison of wear rates at the articulation. It may be possible to see at an early stage what sort of socket fixation is optimum.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Surgery: Total hip replacement

Interventions

A controlled, prospective trial to compare forms of socket fixation.

Randomisation:

Randomisation by sealed envelope in theatre into groups depending on age at surgery:

A. Patients 65 and under:

1. To have a cementless socket
2. To have a cemented socket

B. Patients aged 65 - 80:

1. To have cemented socket with flange attached to rim for pressurisation
2. To have identical cemented socket without flange attached to rim

Techniques and protocols:

All other surgical techniques and protocols will be identical between the groups and follow existing practice. All of the implants to be used are currently stocked at PEOC under existing

NHS contracts. All surgical techniques to be used are universally accepted as contemporary practice.

Tantalum markers (0.8 mm or 1.0 mm) will be inserted into the rim of the socket and into the pelvic bone around the implant. Subsequent radiographs will be taken using a stereoradiographic method known as radiostereometric analysis (RSA) developed in 1974 by Goran Sevik. This method allows very accurate 3-dimensional measurements to be made from radiographs.

The tantalum balls have been inserted in at least 6000 patients over the past 30 years and no serious adverse reaction has ever been documented during or after insertion of the markers (Karrholm et al 1997).

The radiation dose from a radiographic examination is usually lower than the corresponding conventional one. In studies of the hip, radiation doses of 0.2-0.3 mSv have been calculated by Prof Karrholm's group (10-20% of a conventional examination including anteroposterior (AP), lateral and pelvis view). The technique involves the use of higher kilovoltages and lower current from the X-ray generator thus reducing the dose of radiation to the patient.

Patients who have their operation on the Hip Unit at the Princess Elizabeth Orthopaedic Centre in Exeter are routinely X-rayed post-operatively and then reviewed at six weeks, six months and then at approximately three yearly intervals thereafter indefinitely. The group enrolled for this study will have additional reviews with X-rays at one year and two years. The films taken at each clinic attendance will be in place of the conventional series.

Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome(s)

1. Life tables and Survival Curves with confidence limits for different definitions of failure including implant loosening and radiological evidence of failure including implant migration (as defined by RSA), excessive wear, radiolucencies etc.
2. Log rank comparison.

Key secondary outcome(s)

Not provided at time of registration

Completion date

30/11/2004

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Any patient under 80 years of age undergoing primary total hip replacement at the Princess Elizabeth Orthopaedic Centre (PEOC) are eligible.

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Sex

All

Key exclusion criteria

Patients refusing informed consent to the trial.

Date of first enrolment

30/11/1999

Date of final enrolment

30/11/2004

Locations**Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

England

Study participating centre

Royal Devon & Exeter Hospital (Wonford)

Exeter, Devon

United Kingdom

EX2 5BW

Sponsor information**Organisation**

Department of Health (UK)

Funder(s)**Funder type**

Government

Funder Name

Royal Devon and Exeter NHS Trust (UK)

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration