

# Effect of desktop virtual reality preoperative handover communication

<b>Submission date</b> 26/05/2023	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 30/05/2023	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 21/12/2023	<b>Condition category</b> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

The aim of this study is to investigate whether second-year undergraduate nursing students practising the Identification-Situation-Background-Assessment-Recommendation (ISBAR) communication approach in a desktop virtual reality (VR) application had a non-inferior learning outcome compared with the traditional paper-based method when sorting patient information correctly based on the ISBAR structure.

### Who can participate?

Second-year undergraduate nursing students enrolled in the nursing study program at the participating universities with no or limited experience in supervised clinical practice in somatic hospitals.

### What does the study involve?

Participants are randomly allocated to one of two groups.

The intervention group practice using a desktop VR simulation called the Preoperative ISBAR Desktop VR Application, developed specifically for nursing students to practice the ISBAR approach during handover in an acute preoperative setting. The desktop VR application was created as part of a larger VR research project called VirSam (Virtual Collaboration) in healthcare education.

The participants in the traditional paper-based group meet in person and are placed around a table in groups of three. They are given printed papers with the same explanation and tasks, including an explanation of the ISBAR approach and a list of suggestions for correct sorting.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Participants may benefit from increased knowledge of desktop VR to learn preoperative communication approaches for undergraduate nursing students.

### Where is the study run from?

1. University of Agder (Norway)
2. Norwegian University of Science and Technology (Norway)

When is the study starting, and how long is it expected to run for?  
February 2021 to April 2023

Who is funding the study?

1. University of Agder (Norway)
2. Norwegian University of Science and Technology (Norway)
3. Research Council of Norway (Norway)

Who is the main contact?

Eva Mari Andreassen, [eva.mari.andreassen@uia.no](mailto:eva.mari.andreassen@uia.no)

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Principal investigator

### Contact name

Mrs Eva Mari Andreassen

### ORCID ID

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4777-2406>

### Contact details

Fiolveien 20  
Kristiansand S  
Norway  
4634  
+47 (0)90642121  
[eva.mari.andreassen@uia.no](mailto:eva.mari.andreassen@uia.no)

## Additional identifiers

### Clinical Trials Information System (CTIS)

Nil known

### Protocol serial number

RCTPDVR

## Study information

### Scientific Title

The effect of using desktop virtual reality to practice preoperative handovers with the ISBAR approach: a randomized controlled trial

### Acronym

RCTPDVR

### Study objectives

The aim was to investigate whether second-year nursing students self-practising the ISBAR approach during handovers in a preoperative setting in a desktop virtual reality (VR) application experienced a non-inferior learning outcome compared with self-practising the traditional paper-based (TP) method to sort patient information.

### **Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

### **Ethics approval(s)**

Approved 11/08/2021, the Service Provider for the Education Sector (SIKT; no postal address; +47 (0)73 98 40 40; postmottak@sikt.no), ref: 305866

### **Study design**

Non-inferior parallel-group assessor-blinded randomized controlled trial

### **Primary study design**

Interventional

### **Study type(s)**

Other

### **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Communication during presurgery handover

### **Interventions**

Self-practice the ISBAR approach for 45 minutes in groups of three using an interactive desktop VR application (intervention)

Randomization considered the logistical arrangement of students participating in different batches of 9, 12, or 15 students. Consequently, different randomization lists were created using the Microsoft Excel RAND function for each batch. These lists were utilized to generate stickers containing identification (ID) numbers and allocation codes. The stickers containing identification numbers and allocation codes were placed in separate containers corresponding to each batch.

The intervention group practiced using a desktop VR simulation called the Preoperative ISBAR Desktop VR Application, developed specifically for nursing students to practice the ISBAR approach during handover in an acute preoperative setting. The desktop VR application was created as part of a larger VR research project called VirSam (Virtual Collaboration) in healthcare education.

The participants in the traditional paper-based group met in person and were placed around a table in groups of three. Due to uneven numbers, two groups comprised four students. As the desktop virtual reality group, they were given printed papers with the same explanation and tasks, including an explanation of the ISBAR approach and a list of suggestions for correct sorting.

### **Intervention Type**

Behavioural

## **Primary outcome(s)**

The proportion of nursing students who sorted all 11 statements of patient information into the correct ISBAR order on a written test. The statements with patient information were presented in random order, numbered and provided on paper. The students were instructed to "write the number on the patient information in the correct order and write the letter where the information belongs." This outcome variable was based on earlier research and had been tested during the pilot study. Time frame: 5 minutes. Measured at a single timepoint.

## **Key secondary outcome(s)**

Measured at a single timepoint:

1. The proportion that placed the correct patient information within each ISBAR category provided additional information on the primary outcome by identifying the category that was best understood, as determined by the highest proportion of correct patient information placements. The outcome variable was based on prior research and was tested during the pilot study. Time frame: 5 minutes.
2. The proportion that arranged the ISBAR words correctly using an online questionnaire. The students were presented with the five words that comprised ISBAR, sorted in the following order "Recommendation-Background-Identification-Situation-Assessment." They will be instructed; "Sort in correct order." A similar outcome was used in earlier research and tested during the pilot study. Time frame: 5 minutes.
3. The proportion that sorted five statements of patient information (one for each ISBAR category) correctly based on ISBAR using an online questionnaire. The students were presented with the patient information sorted in the following order: "AIRBS" and were asked to "sort the patient information correctly based on what you have learned today." This outcome was made for this study and tested during the pilot study. Time frame: 5 minutes.
4. Students' experiences with the self-perceived learning outcome on five questions from the online questionnaire: "To which degree did you think: 1. the video about ISBAR gave you enough knowledge before you started to practice; 2. you had enough time to practice; 3. the practice method was likable; 4. the teaching activity (introduction and practice) were a good way to learn the ISBAR approach; and 5. you are confident in conducting communication in the ISBAR approach." Five answer options will be provided: 1 (completely disagree); 2 (disagree); 3 (neither disagree/agree); 4 (agree); or 5 (completely agree). The proportion answering agree/completely agree will be reported. These outcomes were used in earlier research and tested during the pilot study. Time frame: 5 minutes.
5. The proportion of complete practice runs from the online questionnaire. The students were asked to type the number of complete runs of the practice. A similar outcome was used in earlier research and tested during the pilot study. Time frame: 5 minutes.
6. The simulation method's perceived usability from the online questionnaire using the System Usability Scale (SUS). The SUS has ten open-ended items, with five answer options ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). The score has been created by adding responses and converting it to a 0 to 100 scale, which can be translated into a curved grading scale from A-F. The SUS is viewed as a reliable test of educational technology usability, and the validated Norwegian version will be used. Time frame: 5 minutes.

## **Completion date**

20/04/2023

## **Eligibility**

### **Key inclusion criteria**

Second-year undergraduate nursing students enrolled in the nursing study program at the participating universities who have no or limited experience in supervised clinical practice in somatic hospitals

**Participant type(s)**

Learner/student

**Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

**Age group**

Adult

**Sex**

All

**Total final enrolment**

175

**Key exclusion criteria**

Does not meet the inclusion criteria

**Date of first enrolment**

02/03/2022

**Date of final enrolment**

20/04/2022

## **Locations**

**Countries of recruitment**

Norway

**Study participating centre**

**University of Agder**

Department of Health and Nursing Science

PO Box 422

Kristiansand

Norway

4604

**Study participating centre**

**Norwegian University of Science and Technology**

Department of Health Sciences

PO Box 1517

Ålesund

Norway  
6025

## Sponsor information

### Organisation

University of Agder

## Funder(s)

### Funder type

University/education

### Funder Name

Universitetet i Agder

### Alternative Name(s)

University of Agder, UiA

### Funding Body Type

Private sector organisation

### Funding Body Subtype

Universities (academic only)

### Location

Norway

### Funder Name

Norges Teknisk-Naturvitenskapelige Universitet

### Alternative Name(s)

Norwegian University of Science and Technology, The Norwegian University for Technology and Sciences, Universidad Noruega de Ciencia y Tecnología, NTNU

### Funding Body Type

Government organisation

### Funding Body Subtype

Universities (academic only)

### Location

Norway

### Funder Name

Norges Forskningsråd

### Alternative Name(s)

Forskningsrådet, Norwegian Research Council, Research Council of Norway, The Research Council of Norway

### Funding Body Type

Government organisation

### Funding Body Subtype

National government

### Location

Norway

## Results and Publications

### Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study will be available in the Service Provider for the Education Sector (SIKT, reference 305866) repository at <https://sikt.no/veiledning-bestille-data>.

The type of data stored: SPSS dataset.

The process for requesting access (if non-publicly available): send a request to <https://sikt.no/veiledning-bestille-data>.

Dates of availability: No limit.

Whether consent from participants was required and obtained: Due to the data being anonymous, no consent for making the data available was needed.

Comments on data anonymization: All data are anonymous.

### IPD sharing plan summary

Stored in non-publicly available repository

### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>		20/12/2023	21/12/2023	Yes	No
<a href="#">Protocol file</a>			30/05/2023	No	No