

The Feedback Intervention Trial (FIT) - improving hand hygiene compliance in UK healthcare workers

Submission date 07/03/2012	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	☐ Prospectively registered
		☐ Protocol
Registration date 05/04/2012	Overall study status Completed	☐ Statistical analysis plan
		☒ Results
Last Edited 28/08/2015	Condition category Infections and Infestations	☐ Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Healthcare-associated infections (HCAI) are infections that are acquired as a result of health care. Studies have shown that in a wide variety of hospital and community settings, hand hygiene significantly reduces the number of HCAI. Despite this evidence, hand hygiene compliance amongst healthcare workers (HCWs) is poor, difficult to change, and any changes are difficult to sustain. Previous studies suggest that giving feedback to HCWs may be the most effective way to improve hand hygiene. In this study we tested whether a hand hygiene intervention would improve rates of hand hygiene compared to standard practice (i.e., the routine use of hand hygiene information).

Who can participate?

16 intensive therapy units (ITUs) & 44 acute care of the elderly (ACE) wards in 16 English/Welsh hospitals were recruited to the study.

What does the study involve?

Trusts were randomly allocated to carry out the hand hygiene intervention in blocks of two to four at five time points. By the end of the study all of the trusts had been allocated to the intervention. The intervention was carried out by an allocated ward coordinator who was generally a junior ward sister or infection control link nurse, and involved a repeating four-week cycle. In week 1 the hand hygiene of an individual Nurse/Health Care Assistant was observed for a 20-minute period. Immediate feedback was given after the period of observation, and where relevant, the person observed was helped to create an action plan to improve their behaviour. Week 2 was the same as week 1 except that a 'non-nurse' (doctor or other health care professional) was observed. In week 3 a ward area was observed for 20 minutes, recording the hand hygiene behaviour of all HCWs entering that area. Poor practice was documented but feedback was not given at the time. In week 4 the week 3 observations were fed back and action plans created at a ward meeting.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

There was no risk involved for the patients on the wards as they all received routine hand

hygiene practice before their ward entered the intervention and all wards were intended to enter the intervention although at different time points.

Where is the study run from?
University College London (UK).

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?
October 2006 to August 2009.

Who is funding the study?
The Patient Safety Research Programme, the Royal Free Hospital Trustees and GOJO industries.

Who is the main contact?
Dr Sheldon Stone
s.stone@ucl.ac.uk

Contact information

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Dr Sheldon Stone

Contact details
University College London
Medical School (Hampstead Campus)
Royal Free Hospital
London
United Kingdom
NW3 2PF

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number
National Research Register N0256159318

Study information

Scientific Title
The Feedback Intervention Trial (FIT) - improving hand hygiene compliance in UK healthcare workers: a stepped wedge cluster randomised controlled trial

Acronym
FIT

Study objectives

Null hypothesis:

Providing feedback to healthcare workers (HCWs) on their hand hygiene using a feedback intervention based on behavioural theory has no effect on hand hygiene compliance compared to standard practice.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Multi-Centre Research Ethics Committee, Scotland B, January 2005, ref: 05/MRE10/2

Primary study design

Interventional

Study design

Stepped wedge cluster randomised controlled multi centre trial

Study type(s)

Prevention

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Healthcare Associated Infection

Interventions

Computer generated stepped wedge randomisation. Hospitals aware only of own allocation. Hand hygiene observer blinded to allocation.

A theory-based, sustainable intervention was designed by two of the study researchers (Health Psychologists) following the MRC framework for complex interventions. The development phase involved identifying an appropriate theoretical framework and associated techniques to inform intervention design. Goal-setting, control and operant learning theories were identified, and the individual and group level behaviour change techniques of feedback, goals, action planning and contingent reward were selected.

The intervention was carried out by an allocated 'ward coordinator' who was generally a junior ward sister or infection control link nurse, and involved a repeating four-week cycle.

Week1: Hand hygiene observation of an individual Nurse/Health Care Assistant for a 20-minute period. Immediate feedback was given after the period of observation, and, for instances of non-compliance with hand hygiene, the person observed was helped to formulate an action plan to improve behaviour.

Week 2: As for week one except that a 'non-nurse' (doctor or other health care professional) was observed.

Week 3: Hand hygiene observation of a ward area for 20 minutes, recording the hand hygiene behaviour of all HCWs entering that area (group compliance). Poor practice was documented but feedback was not given at the time.

Week 4: The week 3 observations (group compliance) were fed back and action plans formulated at a ward meeting.

The effect of this intervention on hand hygiene compliance was compared with that of standard practice.

Standard practice involved implementation of the pragmatically designed national cleanyourhands campaign consisting of:

1. Bedside placement of alcohol hand rub
2. Posters and patient empowerment materials encouraging healthcare workers to clean their hands
3. Audit of hand hygiene compliance

Intervention Type

Behavioural

Primary outcome(s)

Hand hygiene compliance measured by covert direct observation by an observer blinded as to ward allocation or randomisation to the intervention.

Observation periods of one hour, every 6 weeks, using a previously tested Hand Hygiene Observation Tool (the HHOT).

Key secondary outcome(s)

Monthly soap and AHR procurement data (litres per bed day) were collected as a proxy measure of hand hygiene compliance for each of the study wards.

Data were collected either from hospital supplies departments or directly from NHS Supply Chain.

Data routinely collected by trusts for national mandatory reporting on healthcare associated infections (cases per 10,000 bed days) (Methicillin resistant-, and sensitive Staphylococcus aureus bacteraemias and Clostridium difficile infection. Data collected monthly for individual wards from hospital infection control teams).

Completion date

31/08/2009

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Acute care of the elderly (ACE) or general medical wards and intensive therapy units (ITUs) in acute NHS trust hospitals across England and Wales. In each hospital, one ITU and a maximum of three acute care of the elderly wards were recruited. Sites recruited by requests posted on the "cleanyourhands campaign" website and by contacting infection control teams directly. Sites were eligible if they still wished to be involved after three or four site visits to gain the support of senior infection control team and management, ward managers, senior nurses and consultants, could offer the ITU and two or three acute care of the elderly wards as the clinical settings for the trial and were implementing the cleanyourhands campaign.

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Sex

All

Key exclusion criteria

Wards that do not meet the above inclusion criteria

Date of first enrolment

01/10/2006

Date of final enrolment

31/08/2009

Locations**Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

England

Study participating centre

University College London

London

United Kingdom

NW3 2PF

Sponsor information**Organisation**

University College London (UK)

ROR

<https://ror.org/02jx3x895>

Funder(s)**Funder type**

Government

Funder Name

Funder Name

Royal Free Hospital Trustees (UK)

Funder Name

GOJO industries (USA)

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/04/2012		Yes	No
Study website	Study website	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes