

# Bonding success rate of 2 different composites used for the bonding of attachments for the Invisalign® system

<b>Submission date</b> 02/08/2024	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
		<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 05/08/2024	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 05/08/2024	<b>Condition category</b> Oral Health	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data
		<input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

The goal of this prospective study is to compare the bonding success rate of 2 different composites used for the bonding of attachments for the Invisalign® system: the Transbond XT® from 3M Unitek® and the Tetric EvoCeram® from Ivoclar Vivadent®.

### Who can participate?

Patients aged 12 - 75 years

### What does the study involve?

Patients were assigned randomly between the experimental group with resin composite Tetric EvoCeram® (26 patients) or the control group with resin composite Transbond XT® (25 patients). Attachments were bonded with the given protocol and the number of failed bonding of attachments was registered at T1 (3 months). Patient age and sex influence on bonding failure was also analyzed. Student and 1-factor ANOVA tests were used when the variables were normal, and the non-parametric alternative of the Median Test when not distributed normally. The Chi-square test of independence was used to cross between two categorical variables.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

None

### Where is the study run from?

Universidad Alfonso X el Sabio (Spain)

### When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

September 2021 to August 2023

### Who is funding the study?

Investigator initiated and funded

Who is the main contact?

inietsan@yahoo.com

hpatu@myuax.com

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Public, Scientific, Principal investigator

### Contact name

Mr Hugo Patural

### ORCID ID

<https://orcid.org/0009-0007-3840-2960>

### Contact details

C. de Albarracín, 35, San Blas-Canillejas

Madrid

Spain

28037

+34 63857181

hpatu@myuax.com

## Additional identifiers

### Clinical Trials Information System (CTIS)

Nil known

### Protocol serial number

Nil known

## Study information

### Scientific Title

Bonding success rate of 2 different composites used for the bonding of attachments for the Invisalign® system

### Study objectives

There is no difference in attachment loss in the first 3 months of orthodontic treatment between Transbond XT® and Tetric Evo Ceram®

### Ethics approval required

Ethics approval required

### Ethics approval(s)

approved 07/04/2022, University Alfonso X el Sabio (Avenida de la Universidad s/n., Villanueva de la Cañada, 28691, Spain; +34 918105000; comitedebioetica@uax.es), ref: 2022\_3/139

**Study design**

Single-center prospective randomized clinical trial

**Primary study design**

Interventional

**Study type(s)**

Treatment, Efficacy

**Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Malocclusion

**Interventions**

Two composite materials (Transbond and Tetric Ceram) for attachments for orthodontic aligner treatments were used. Once bonded, the attachment loss was registered in the first 3 months. The patients were randomly assigned to only one of the two groups using the software "Research Randomizer" available online at the following link: <https://www.randomizer.org/>. All attachments in the same patients were from the same material, bonding was performed using fabricant recommendations.

**Intervention Type**

Procedure/Surgery

**Primary outcome(s)**

The number of debonded attachments on each patient (2 groups: Transbond and Tetric Evo Ceram) measured using a visual inspection at T0 at the appointment when the attachments were bonded, and monthly for 3 months

**Key secondary outcome(s)**

Differences in the failure rate (debonded attachments) regarding sex and age of the patient using data recorded in patient records at T0 at the appointment when the attachments were bonded, and monthly for 3 months

**Completion date**

30/08/2023

**Eligibility****Key inclusion criteria**

1. Patients in permanent dentition between 12 and 75 years old
2. Men and women
3. Collaborator and with informed consent confirmed

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

**Age group**

All

**Lower age limit**

12 years

**Upper age limit**

75 years

**Sex**

All

**Total final enrolment**

51

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Patients in mixed dentition
2. Syndromic
3. Undergoing orthognathic surgery
4. Teeth with porcelain crown or composite restauration.

**Date of first enrolment**

01/11/2022

**Date of final enrolment**

30/05/2023

## **Locations**

**Countries of recruitment**

Spain

**Study participating centre**

Centro Odontológico de Innovación y Especialidades Avanzadas UAX

Albarracín 35

Madrid

Spain

28037

## **Sponsor information**

**Organisation**

Universidad Alfonso X el Sabio

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/054ewwr15>

# Funder(s)

## Funder type

Other

## Funder Name

Investigator initiated and funded

# Results and Publications

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study will be available upon request from the main researcher Hugo Patural: hugopatural@icloud.com

## IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request

## Study outputs

### Output type

[Participant information sheet](#)

Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
		05/08/2024	No	Yes