

# Dentoskeletal effects of clear aligners used to distalize maxillary molars in adult patients

<b>Submission date</b> 23/10/2015	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 12/11/2015	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 18/04/2016	<b>Condition category</b> Digestive System	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

Malocclusion is a problem in the way the upper and lower teeth fit together when biting or chewing. Orthodontic treatment can be used to improve the appearance, position and function of the teeth. In the last few decades increasing numbers of adults have sought orthodontic treatment and expressed a desire for aesthetic and comfortable alternatives to conventional fixed braces. Clear aligners were introduced to answer this request. Cosmetically, invisible aligners are more appealing because they are difficult to notice, making them particularly popular among adults who wish to straighten their teeth without the use of traditional metal braces. Such aligners are also easily removed during eating and tooth brushing. However, little is known about the effects of clear aligners on the teeth and bones of adult patients treated to correct a forwarded position of the upper dental arch. The aim of this study is to find out whether it is possible to correct this type of malocclusion with clear aligners.

### Who can participate?

Adult patients (over 18) treated with clear aligners for a forwarded upper dental arch

### What does the study involve?

Participants undergo a profile x-ray of the head before and after their orthodontic treatment to assess the position of the teeth, jaws, skull and soft tissues.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Participants will benefit from the correction of their malocclusion with an aesthetic and comfortable appliance. There are no risks in participating in the study - a large number of patients have previously been treated with the clear aligners used in the study, and x-rays are always needed at the beginning and at the end of any orthodontic treatment.

### Where is the study run from?

Two orthodontic private practices in Torino (Italy) and Vancouver (Canada), and the University of Torino (Italy).

### When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

March to September 2015

Who is funding the study?  
Investigator initiated and funded

Who is the main contact?  
Dr Tommaso Castroflorio (tcastroflorio@me.com)  
Dr Andrea Deregibus (andrea.deregibus@unito.it)

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Dr Tommaso Castroflorio

**ORCID ID**  
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5675-3234>

**Contact details**  
Via Nizza 230  
Italy  
Italy  
10126  
+39 (0)11 1950 7750  
tcastroflorio@me.com

**Type(s)**  
Public

**Contact name**  
Dr Andrea Deregibus

**Contact details**  
Via Nizza 230  
Torino  
Italy  
10126  
+39 (0)11 633 1514  
andrea.deregibus@unito.it

## Additional identifiers

**Protocol serial number**  
ATRA2014

## Study information

**Scientific Title**

Dentoskeletal effects of maxillary molars distalization with aligners in adult patients: a retrospective observational trial

### **Study objectives**

The study was conducted in order to test the hypothesis that maxillary molar bodily distalization is not achievable with aligners.

### **Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

### **Ethics approval(s)**

Ethics approval pending - to be submitted to the ethics board of the City of Health and Science of Torino, Italy in November 2015

### **Study design**

Multicentre retrospective observational trial

### **Primary study design**

Observational

### **Study type(s)**

Treatment

### **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Skeletal Class I or Class II malocclusion and a bilateral end-to-end class II molar relationship

### **Interventions**

Orthodontic treatment with Invisalign aligners, composite attachments and class II inter maxillary elastics. Lateral cephalograms in habitual occlusion obtained before and after treatment of 20 Caucasian subjects were collected. For standardization purposes, the magnification was corrected to 8% for all cephalograms. The digital x-rays were stored in a computer, imported into commercial software ORISCEPH Rx3 (Elite Computer, Vimodrone, Italy), in order to perform landmark identifications and cephalometric tracings. These operations were randomly performed by one investigator blinded about the study, using a customized digitization set including 47 landmarks and 54 variables chosen from different existing and validated cephalometric analyses. The great number of variables was due to the number of analyzed teeth and to the number of analyzed crown and roots landmarks.

All the cephalograms were traced again after 3 weeks and then after 6 months. If there was a discrepancy between the three cephalograms, a new tracing was obtained by mutual agreement between the researchers involved in the protocol. Statistical analysis was performed using the R statistical package (version 3.0.1, R Core Team, Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). The normality assumption of the data was evaluated with the Shapiro-Wilk test. According to this evaluation, the differences between before (T0) and after treatment (T1) were compared with the t-test. The level of significance was set at  $P < 0.05$ .

### **Intervention Type**

Device

### **Primary outcome(s)**

Maxillary molars distalization movement: on the lateral cephalograms collected before (T0) and after treatment (T1) (mean treatment time was  $24.3 \pm 4.2$  months) crown and roots landmark were identified in order to describe the mean distalization movements on the sagittal plane as well as the vertical and the angular movements. Crowns' centers, obtained as the midpoint between the greatest mesial and distal convexity of the crown, as well as the axis passing through mesial cusps and mesio-vestibular roots' apex were taken as reference points of the maxillary first and second molar as seen on the cephalograms. The movements of these points at T1 with respect to T0 were considered in relation to the reference axes represented by the palatal plane (x axis) and by a perpendicular line to the palatal plane passing through the Ricketts' Pt point (y axis). The palatal plane was used to measure vertical and angular movements, while the y axis was used to measure sagittal movements of the second molar and of the first molar.

The overall craniofacial treatment changes were evaluated by superimposing on the stable structures of the anterior cranial base according to the Structural Method (Björk A. Guide to superimposition of profile radiographs by "The Structural Method" <http://www.angle-society.com/case/guide.pdf>). Superimpositions were conducted digitally.

### **Key secondary outcome(s)**

Central incisor retraction movement: the incisal edge point, the root apex point and the crown centre point, the midpoint of the lateral projection of the circumference formed by the root and crown conjunction, were the landmarks considered on the lateral cephalograms to describe the movement of the maxillary central incisor with respect to the reference axes described above. On the lateral headfilms, the palatal plane/mandibular plane (PP/MP) and the SN/mandibular plane angles were evaluated as indicators of skeletal posterior vertical dimension changes. The overall craniofacial treatment changes were evaluated by superimposing on the stable structures of the anterior cranial base according to the Structural Method (Björk A. Guide to superimposition of profile radiographs by "The Structural Method" <http://www.angle-society.com/case/guide.pdf>). Superimpositions were conducted digitally.

### **Completion date**

21/09/2015

## **Eligibility**

### **Key inclusion criteria**

1. Age over 18 years old
2. Skeletal Class I or Class II malocclusion and a bilateral end-to-end class II molar relationship
3. Normodivergence on the vertical plane (SN/GoGn angle less than 37 degrees)
4. Mild crowding in the upper arch ( $\leq 4$ mm)
5. Standardized treatment protocol
6. Good compliance during the treatment (wearing aligners time:  $\geq 20$  hours per day)
7. Absence or previous extraction of the upper third molars
8. Good quality radiographs, with adequate landmark visualization and head rotation control

### **Participant type(s)**

Patient

### **Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

### **Age group**

Adult

**Lower age limit**

18 years

**Sex**

All

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Transversal dental or skeletal discrepancies
2. Vertical dental or skeletal discrepancies
3. Extraction treatment (except for third molars)
4. Unilateral distalization
5. Signs and/or symptoms of temporomandibular disorders (TMDs) accordingly to Diagnostic Criteria for TMDs
6. Periodontal disease
7. Endodontic treatments of the maxillary molars
8. Prosthodontics rehabilitations of the maxillary molars

**Date of first enrolment**

01/04/2015

**Date of final enrolment**

08/04/2015

**Locations**

**Countries of recruitment**

Canada

Italy

**Study participating centre**

**Daher Orthostyle**

Canada

BC V7V 1H9

**Study participating centre**

**Studio Associato Icardi Castroflorio Odontoiatri**

Italy

10137

**Study participating centre**

**University of Torino**

Orthodontics Department

Lingotto Dental School  
Italy  
10128

## Sponsor information

### Organisation

University of Torino (Italy)

### ROR

<https://ror.org/048tbm396>

## Funder(s)

### Funder type

Other

### Funder Name

Investigator initiated and funded

## Results and Publications

### Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

### IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request

### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/03/2016		Yes	No