

A comparison of Cook balloon and coil in the prevention of adhesion reformation following hysteroscopic surgery for Asherman syndrome

Submission date 28/01/2013	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
Registration date 15/02/2013	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol <input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 06/05/2015	Condition category Urological and Genital Diseases	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Asherman syndrome is a condition of uterine distortion resulting in amenorrhoea or hypomenorrhoea, infertility and recurrent pregnancy loss. The re-adhesion rate is high after surgical intervention. In our previous study, we compared the use of three different approaches to preventing recurrence of intrauterine adhesions following treatment of Asherman syndrome, namely, an intrauterine contraceptive device(IUD), a specially designed intrauterine balloon and hyaluronic acid gel, in addition to a control group. Both intrauterine balloon group and IUD group achieved significantly greater amount of reduction in the adhesion score than that of the hyaluronic acid gel group and control group. There was no significant difference in results between the balloon and IUD groups. The aim of this study is to compare the adhesion reformation prevention efficacy between balloon and IUD.

Who can participate?

Woman with confirmed uterine adhesion with moderate or severe degree by hysteroscopic examination and history review for the first time in Sir Run Run Shaw hospital is to be recruited. All the participants should be younger than 40 years old, having potential conceiving requirement.

What does the study involve?

The same hysteroscopic adhesion resection will be performed on all patients, and then they will be randomly allocated to two groups.

Group 1: fitting of an IUD (copper coil, Shandong contraceptive instrument company, China)

Group 2: fitting of a specially designed intrauterine balloon (Cook Company, UK)

Both devices will be removed in 1 week. In all cases hormone therapy is commenced from the day of operation, consisting of oestradiol valerate at a dose of 6 mg per day for 21 days with the addition of medroxyprogesterone acetate at a dose of 6 mg per day for the last 7 days of the oestrogen therapy. Following the withdrawal bleed, the hormone therapy was repeated for another cycle. A second look hysteroscopy was carried out in the early proliferative phase, 1-2 months after the initial operation.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

The participants will receive comprehensive treatment for Asherman syndrome. For the intrauterine balloon is licensed for use for 24 hours, fitting in the uterine cavity for 7 days may increase the infectious risk. Other side effects are the complications related to the surgery.

Where is the study run from?

Reproductive medical center of Sir Run Run Shaw hospital, medical school, Zhejiang University, China

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

The study was started in April 2012 and will run 2 years or until 100 cases are recruited.

Who is funding the study?

Zhejiang Provincial Bureau of Health, China

Who is the main contact?

Dr Xiaona Lin

linna73@263.net, linxn@srrsh.com

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr Xiaona Lin

Contact details

Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital

3# Qingchun East Road

Hangzhou

China

310016

-

linxn@srrsh.com

Additional identifiers

Study information

Scientific Title

A comparison of intrauterine balloon stent and intrauterine contraceptive device in the prevention of adhesion reformation following hysteroscopic surgery for Asherman syndrome: a randomized case-control study

Study objectives

Asherman syndrome is a condition of uterine distortion resulting in amenorrhoea or hypomenorrhoea, infertility and recurrent pregnancy loss. The re-adhesion rate is high after surgical intervention. The aim of this study is to compare the adhesion reformation prevention efficacy between balloon and IUD.

The principal questions of the research are the uterine adhesion reformation rate and following pregnancy rate after surgical resection of adhesion. We hypothesise the adhesion reformation rate is lower when the Cook balloon is inserted comparing to IUD, whereas the pregnancy rates are similar in two groups.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Institutional review board of the Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital, Hangzhou, China, January 2013

Study design

Single center randomized case-control study

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Prevention

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Asherman syndrome

Interventions

In all cases hysteroscopy is carried out under general anaesthesia which confirmed the presence of intrauterine adhesions. Hysteroscopy examination is carried out by two reproductive surgeons and the case is recruited if the AFS score is equal or more than 5. A 4.5 mm hysteroscope (Storz, Germany) is used in each case. The adhesions are divided with the use of hysteroscopic scissors. The procedures are carried out under ultrasound or laparoscopic guidance when necessary.

At the end of the procedure the patients were allocated to one of the two groups according to the randomized table:

1. Fitting of an IUD (copper coil, Yandai contraceptive instrument company, China)
2. Fitting of a specially designed intrauterine balloon (Cook medical company, Australia)

In all cases hormone therapy is commenced shortly after the operation, consisting of oestradiol valerate at a dose of 6 mg per day for 21-28 days with the addition of medroxyprogesterone acetate at a dose of 6 mg (check dose) per day for the last 7-10 days of the oestrogen therapy. All of the devices inserted will be removed in 7 days. Following the withdrawal bleed, the hormone therapy is repeated for another cycle.

A second look hysteroscopy is carried out in the early proliferative phase, 1-2 months after the initial operation, assessing the adhesion score by AFS criteria. Should recurrence of intra-uterine adhesions be confirmed during the second look hysteroscopy, a repeat adhesiolysis procedure would be performed.

Intervention Type

Device

Primary outcome(s)

The severity and extent of intrauterine adhesions were scored according to a classification system recommended by the American Fertility Society (AFS) (1988 version). A score of 1-4 was considered to represent mild adhesions, a score of 5-8 was considered to represent moderate adhesions and a score of 9-12 as representing severe adhesions.

Key secondary outcome(s)

Pregnancy rates in both groups after surgery

Completion date

30/07/2014

Eligibility**Key inclusion criteria**

1. Woman with confirmed uterine adhesion with moderate or severe degree [American Fertility Society (AFS score range 5~12)] by hysteroscopic examination and history review for the first time in Sir Run Run Shaw hospital is to be recruited.
2. All the participants should be ≥ 18 years and younger than 40 years old, having potential conceiving requirement

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

18 years

Sex

Female

Key exclusion criteria

1. Older than 40 years old
2. The AFS score less than 5
3. Who doesn't want to get pregnant
4. Who has received hysteroscopic adhesive resection before
5. Who can't follow up according to the study protocol

Date of first enrolment

01/02/2013

Date of final enrolment

30/07/2014

Locations

Countries of recruitment

China

Study participating centre

Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital

Hangzhou

China

310016

Sponsor information

Organisation

Health Bureau of Zhejiang Province (China)

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

Zhejiang Health Science Foundation (China) (2011KYA084)

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/07/2015		Yes	No