

Mesh vs anterior repair surgery for vaginal prolapse

Submission date 29/04/2008	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
Registration date 24/06/2008	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Last Edited 13/06/2016	Condition category Urological and Genital Diseases	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input type="checkbox"/> Results
		<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data
		<input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

Plain English summary of protocol
Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Mr Mohamed Hefni

Contact details
Benenden Hospital Trust
Goddards Green Road
Benenden
Kent
United Kingdom
TN17 4AX
-
mhefni@benenden.org.uk

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number
Project 032

Study information

Scientific Title
Mesh vs anterior repair surgery for vaginal prolapse

Acronym

MARS

Study objectives

If there is 15% statistical difference between the 2 techniques, we shall be able to tell the gynaecological community as to which one is better. There is a lack of good quality evidence to assess the two surgical techniques. Most of the data are from retrospective studies. A well designed randomised control study is desperately needed.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

South East Research Ethics Committee, ref: 07/H1102/95

Study design

Single-blind randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Genital prolapse

Interventions

Anterior fascial repair vs anterior mesh repair

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome(s)

Anatomical recurrence rate assessed using the Pelvic Organ Prolapse Quantification (POPQ) scores, assessed immediately after surgery and then 6 weeks, 6 months, 1 and 2 years post-operation.

Key secondary outcome(s))

1. Operating time
2. Blood loss
3. Peri-operative complications
4. Hospital stay
5. Time to recovery to normal life
6. Long-term complications (including mesh erosion). Duration of follow-up: 24 months
7. Bladder and sexual function at 6, 12 and 24 months
8. Quality of life, assessed using the EuroQol questionnaire and the Sheffield Prolapse

Symptoms Questionnaire at 6, 12 and 24 months
9. Patient satisfaction, assessed at 6, 12 and 24 months
10. Pain score, assessed daily up to 7 days post-operation

Completion date

01/11/2010

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. Women with symptomatic anterior vaginal wall prolapse needing surgery
2. Age: No age limits

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

All

Sex

Female

Key exclusion criteria

1. Contraindication to mesh repair surgery: unstable diabetes, long term high dose steroids, prosthetic heart valve, marked immunosuppression
2. Connective tissue disorders (Ehler-Danlos or Marfan's)
3. Inability to give informed consent

Date of first enrolment

01/12/2007

Date of final enrolment

01/11/2010

Locations

Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

England

Study participating centre

Benenden Hospital Trust

Kent

United Kingdom

TN17 4AX

Sponsor information

Organisation

Benenden Hospital Trust (UK)

ROR

<https://ror.org/01bcp3a67>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Hospital/treatment centre

Funder Name

Benenden Hospital (UK)

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**IPD sharing plan summary**

Not provided at time of registration