

A randomized controlled evaluation of the cost effectiveness of cognitive-behavioural guided self-care versus family therapy for adolescent bulimia nervosa in a catchment area-based population

Submission date 12/09/2002	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	☐ Prospectively registered
Registration date 12/09/2002	Overall study status Completed	☐ Protocol
Last Edited 09/05/2007	Condition category Mental and Behavioural Disorders	☐ Statistical analysis plan
		☒ Results
		☐ Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr Ulrike Schmidt

Contact details

Eating Disorder Research Unit
Division of Psychological Medicine
Institute of Psychiatry
De Crespigny Park
London
United Kingdom
SE5 8AF
+44 (0)20 7919 3180/7848 0181
ulrike.schmidt@slam-tr.nhs.uk

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number

1206/88

Study information

Scientific Title

Study objectives

1. In adolescents with bulimia nervosa or eating disorder not otherwise specified (EDNOS), family therapy (FT) will produce higher rates of abstinence from bingeing and vomiting, both post-treatment (6 months) and at follow-up (12 months) than individual cognitive behavioural guided self-care (CBT-GSC).
2. CBT-GSC will be less costly to implement than FT.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Institute of Psychiatry Research and South London and Maudsley NHS Trust Research Ethics Committee. Date of approval 17/09/1999, reference number 163/99.

Primary study design

Interventional

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Bulimia nervosa

Interventions

Patients randomly assigned to one of two groups: family therapy or guided-self care.

1. Family Therapy: 15 conjoint family therapy sessions over 6 months
2. Guided-self Care: 10 individual weekly sessions, up to two additional sessions with a close other, then three follow-up sessions over the next 3 months

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome(s)

Abstinence rates from bingeing and vomiting over the previous month, assessed at 6 and 12 months on an observer-rated interview measure.

Key secondary outcome(s)

1. Abstinence rates from bingeing and vomiting over the previous month, assessed at 6 and 12 months on a questionnaire measure
2. Longitudinal assessment of bingeing and vomiting by interview
3. Other eating disorder symptoms
4. Cost of care

Completion date

31/07/2004

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. Aged 20 years or under, referred to one of the following study centres: Maudsley Eating Disorders Services, Surrey-Hampshire Borders Child and Adolescent Eating Disorders Services, Royal Free Eating Disorders Services, Phoenix Eating Disorders Service at St Ann's Hospital
2. Fulfil criteria for Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV) bulimia nervosa or eating disorders not otherwise specified

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Sex

All

Key exclusion criteria

1. A body mass index below the 10th centile for age and sex
2. Insufficient knowledge of English to understand the treatment manual
3. Learning disability, severe mental illness or alcohol/substance dependence

Date of first enrolment

20/09/1999

Date of final enrolment

31/07/2004

Locations

Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

England

Study participating centre
Eating Disorder Research Unit
London
United Kingdom
SE5 8AF

Sponsor information

Organisation
The Health Foundation (UK)

ROR
<https://ror.org/02bzj4420>

Funder(s)

Funder type
Charity

Funder Name
The Health Foundation

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary
Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	Results	01/04/2007		Yes	No