

The effects of spironolactone on endothelial function, autonomic function and glycaemic control in diabetic patients with poor blood pressure control

Submission date 16/07/2007	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	☐ Prospectively registered
Registration date 27/07/2007	Overall study status Completed	☐ Protocol
Last Edited 12/05/2011	Condition category Circulatory System	☐ Statistical analysis plan
		☒ Results
		☐ Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr Krishnan Swaminathan

Contact details

Department of Clinical Pharmacology

Level 7

Ninewells Hospital

Dundee

United Kingdom

DD1 9SY

+44 1382 632180

krishnan.swaminathan@nhs.net

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number

SAM 001

Study information

Scientific Title

Study objectives

Patients with diabetes are at particularly high risk of cardiovascular disease. In fact, macrovascular disease accounts for 70 % of the mortality in type 2 diabetes, making heart attacks and strokes two to four times more frequent in these patients compared to controls. The combination of diabetes and hypertension is a particularly strong cardiovascular risk factor. Vascular endothelial dysfunction is a recognised risk factor for cardiovascular mortality. Blocking aldosterone with spironolactone in patients with cardiac failure can reverse endothelial dysfunction in this patient group, as well as improving the prognostic markers of PIIINP, BNP and heart rate variability. Additionally, the RALES (Randomised Aldactone Evaluation Study) and EPHESUS (Eplerenone Postacute myocardial infarction Heart failure Efficacy and Survival Study) studies have shown a dramatic reduction in total mortality (approximately 30%) with aldosterone blockade in patients with heart failure already taking the recognised optimum treatment for this condition. This lends weight to the concept that reducing endothelial dysfunction by spironolactone may be associated with reduction in real cardiovascular events.

The question then arose whether similar benefits might be seen in other diseases. It was therefore somewhat surprising that in a normotensive population of patients with type 2 diabetes, spironolactone actually worsened the key prognostic marker of endothelial function while also worsening glycaemic control. The situation might however be different in diabetics with poorly controlled hypertension where a spironolactone induced fall in BP might instead lead to an improvement in endothelial and autonomic function. We therefore studied whether, in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and poorly controlled hypertension, taking low-dose spironolactone in addition to their normal cardiovascular medication, would improve the important prognostic marker of endothelial function, as logic suggests that this should be of benefit. In addition we wish to investigate whether spironolactone treatment also brings about an improvement in the other prognostic markers of PIIINP, BNP and heart rate variability. We also wanted to see if the spironolactone induced worsening of glycaemic control that we saw in a previous study in normotensive diabetics was reproducible.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

The Tayside Committee for Medical Ethics, Scotland, approved on 28/09/2004 (ref: 236/03)

Primary study design

Interventional

Study design

Randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind, cross-over design.

Study type(s)

Not Specified

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Type 2 diabetes mellitus and hypertension

Interventions

In this cross-over study, each participant was treated with two different drugs and a placebo, one at a time, in addition to his or her standard medication. Each drug / placebo treatment lasted for 4 weeks, and there was a 2-week washout period between each treatment (during the washout period participants took their standard medication only). Therefore, the entire duration of the intervention was 16 weeks. Details of the intervention treatments are as follows:

1. Spironolactone, 25 mg orally per day for 1 week, increased to 50 mg per day for the next 3 weeks if potassium levels were within normal limits (total duration of treatment 4 weeks)
2. Amlodipine, 5 mg orally per day for 4 weeks
3. Placebo for 4 weeks

Intervention Type

Drug

Phase

Not Specified

Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)

Spironolactone, Amlodipine

Primary outcome(s)

Improvement in endothelial function, assessed 24 months after the start of the trial.

Key secondary outcome(s)

The following were assessed 24 months after the start of the trial:

1. Improvement in the other prognostic markers of PIIINP and B-type Natriuretic Peptide (BNP)
2. Improvement in heart rate variability

Completion date

31/12/2006

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and hypertension who were on standard treatment were recruited. All patients were on either Angiotensin Converting Enzyme (ACE) inhibitors or angiotensin receptor blockers.

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Not Specified

Sex

All

Key exclusion criteria

1. Blood pressure <140 mm Hg systolic and 80 mm Hg diastolic
2. Recent admission to hospital within last 4 weeks
3. History of alcohol abuse
4. Liver or renal impairment
5. Heart failure
6. On potassium sparing diuretics, insulin or warfarin (procedural risks)

Date of first enrolment

01/01/2005

Date of final enrolment

31/12/2006

Locations

Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

Scotland

Study participating centre

Department of Clinical Pharmacology

Dundee

United Kingdom

DD1 9SY

Sponsor information

Organisation

Tenovus Scotland (UK)

ROR

<https://ror.org/037866t57>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Charity

Funder Name

Tenovus Scotland (ref: T03/21) (UK)

Funder Name

Northwood Trust (UK)

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**IPD sharing plan summary**

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/05/2008		Yes	No