

Developing stroke care for adults in the community: rehabilitation through conductive education

Submission date 12/05/2010	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
Registration date 04/03/2011	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Last Edited 09/11/2017	Condition category Circulatory System	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
		<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol
Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Dr Melanie Brown

Contact details
National Institute of Conductive Education
Cannon Hill House
Russell Road
Birmingham
United Kingdom
B13 8RD
-
melanie@conductive-education.org.uk

Additional identifiers

Study information

Scientific Title
Conductive education as a method of post-stroke rehabilitation: a randomised controlled trial

Study objectives

Conductive Education (CE) is a specialised rehabilitation system developed in Hungary in the late 1940s by András Pető. The CE approach is used with adults and children with motor disorders subsequent to neurological damage. CE aims to help stroke survivors to maintain and/or increase range and control of movement, teaching components of everyday skills and strategies that each individual can apply to their daily activities. Functional tasks are broken down into a series of components that are repeatedly and rhythmically practiced with verbal reinforcement ('rhythmical intention'). Task series are designed to allow participants to develop an increased awareness of their own movement and to learn the basic rules of movement solutions which can then be applied through regular daily activity.

Previous research has shown some improvements in stroke survivor's activities of daily living and well-being (Brittle et al., 2008), as well as a reduction in carer burden (Laver & Brown, 1995) following CE intervention. However, no previous study has examined CE outcomes for stroke participants in comparison with a control group. We are therefore examining a broad range of quality of life outcomes across physical, cognitive and psychological domains using a randomised design. We are also measuring carer well-being in relation to CE.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Birmingham City Council Research Governance Advisory Committee, 02/02/2010

Study design

Single-centre interventional randomised waiting list controlled cross-over trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Stroke rehabilitation

Interventions

The conductive education intervention will be administered as a 1.5 hour session once per week for 10 weeks. Intervention takes place in a small group setting (maximum of 5 participants) led by two conductors. Control (waiting list) participants will attend two introductory sessions during the wait period in which they will receive standard written and visual materials.

All participants will be followed up 3 months after completion of the conductive education programme.

Participants in the waiting list group will be offered intervention after 3 months.

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Applicable

Primary outcome(s)

1. Mobility will be assessed using a timed up and go test and a 10 metre walking test:
The timed up and go test requires participants to stand from a chair, walk forward 3 metres, turn around, return to the chair and sit down. Completion time (in seconds) is recorded. The 10 metre walking test requires the participant to walk forwards 10m at their normal pace, and completion time (seconds) is recorded.
2. Activities of daily living will be measured using the Barthel Index:
A 10 item questionnaire examining activities such as walking and dressing. Higher scores represent greater functional independence.
3. Quality of life will be measured using the Stroke Impact Scale:
A 59-item stroke-specific questionnaire covering 8 domains of well-being. Higher scores indicate better well-being.
4. Participants are also asked to complete the EQ-5D quality of life scale for use in an economic evaluation linked to the study

All measures are taken at baseline (before commencing the intervention/wait period), after 3 months (on completion of the intervention/waiting list period) and at follow up 3 months after intervention. Waiting list participants are also assessed on completion of the CE course.

Key secondary outcome(s)

1. Cognitive performance will be measured using the following:
 - 1.1. Test of Everyday Attention, which tests selective, sustained and divided attention
 2. Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (WCST-64 version), a measure of planning, problem solving and cognitive flexibility.
2. Anxiety and depression will be measured using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS):
A 14-item questionnaire. Higher scores indicate higher levels of anxiety and depression.
3. Carers will be asked to complete the following at each assessment point:
 - 3.1. SF-36, a general health-related quality of life measure
 - 3.2. HADS

All measures are taken at baseline (before commencing the intervention/wait period), after 3 months (on completion of the intervention/waiting list period) and at follow up 3 months after intervention. Waiting list participants are also assessed on completion of the CE course.

Completion date

31/07/2012

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. Individuals who have suffered a stroke and are in the post-acute stage of recovery
2. Participants should be medically well enough to follow the programme and be capable of giving informed consent.
3. Male or female, age ≥ 18 years

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

18 years

Sex

All

Key exclusion criteria

1. Individuals who are medically unfit to participate or unable to give informed consent
2. Participants must not be receiving regular physiotherapy input

Date of first enrolment

01/04/2010

Date of final enrolment

31/07/2012

Locations

Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

England

Study participating centre

National Institute of Conductive Education

Birmingham

United Kingdom

B13 8RD

Sponsor information

Organisation

Birmingham City Council (UK) - Adults and Communities

ROR

<https://ror.org/04dm6ed68>

Funder(s)

Funder type
Government

Funder Name
Birmingham City Council (UK) - Department of Health Stroke Care Grant (May 2009)

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary
Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/02/2016		Yes	No