

# Comparison of the cleansing efficacy of two different bowel preparations for colonoscopy

|                                        |                                                   |                                                                                                              |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Submission date</b><br>12/09/2003   | <b>Recruitment status</b><br>No longer recruiting | <input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered<br><input type="checkbox"/> Protocol                       |
| <b>Registration date</b><br>12/09/2003 | <b>Overall study status</b><br>Completed          | <input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan<br><input type="checkbox"/> Results                       |
| <b>Last Edited</b><br>16/12/2014       | <b>Condition category</b><br>Surgery              | <input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data<br><input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year |

**Plain English summary of protocol**  
Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Mr EH Sherkawi

**Contact details**  
Department of General Surgery  
Sunderland Royal Hospital  
Kayll Road  
Sunderland  
United Kingdom  
SR4 7TP

## Additional identifiers

**Protocol serial number**  
N0065117310

## Study information

**Scientific Title**  
Comparison of the cleansing efficacy of two different bowel preparations for colonoscopy

**Study objectives**

To identify the best or the most effective of the two bowel preparation regimes for successful completion of colonoscopy (Picolax, compared to Picolax and Senna).

**Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

**Ethics approval(s)**

Not provided at time of registration

**Primary study design**

Interventional

**Study design**

Randomised controlled trial

**Study type(s)**

Diagnostic

**Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Surgery: Colonoscopy

**Interventions**

Operator-blinded prospective study with the use of questionnaires comparing the colonoscopy failure rate due to poor bowel preparation associated with the two different laxative regimes (Picolax and Placebo, compared to Picolax and Senna).

**Intervention Type**

Drug

**Phase**

Not Applicable

**Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)**

icolax and Senna

**Primary outcome(s)**

Completion colonoscopy rates (by reaching the caecum)

**Key secondary outcome(s)**

Not provided at time of registration

**Completion date**

01/12/2003

**Eligibility****Key inclusion criteria**

A total of 374 patients aged above 18 and below 80 are to be recruited.

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

**Age group**

Adult

**Lower age limit**

18 Years

**Sex**

Not Specified

**Key exclusion criteria**

Not provided at time of registration

**Date of first enrolment**

30/12/2002

**Date of final enrolment**

01/12/2003

**Locations****Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

England

**Study participating centre**

Sunderland Royal Hospital

Sunderland

United Kingdom

SR4 7TP

**Sponsor information****Organisation**

Department of Health (UK)

**Funder(s)**

**Funder type**

Hospital/treatment centre

**Funder Name**

City Hospitals Sunderland NHS Trust (UK)

## **Results and Publications**

**Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan****IPD sharing plan summary**

Not provided at time of registration