

# Alternative Intra Osseous Devices: randomised controlled trial comparing three intraosseous methods

<b>Submission date</b> 28/12/2006	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
<b>Registration date</b> 28/12/2006	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Last Edited</b> 07/03/2008	<b>Condition category</b> Injury, Occupational Diseases, Poisoning	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input type="checkbox"/> Results
		<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data
		<input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

**Plain English summary of protocol**  
Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Dr I B Schipper

**Contact details**  
Erasmus Medical Center  
Trauma Center ZWN  
Room Z-9.15  
P.O. Box 2040  
Rotterdam  
Netherlands  
3000 CA  
+31 (0)10 463 5034  
i.schipper@erasmusmc.nl

## Additional identifiers

## Study information

Scientific Title

## **Acronym**

AIOD

## **Study objectives**

The aim of this study is to analyse whether or not it is possible to create a fast, reliable intraosseous entrance using the BIG and/or FAST bone needles, with less complications compared with the traditional bone needle Jamshidi.

## **Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

## **Ethics approval(s)**

Approval received from the Medical Ethical review board, Erasmus Medical Centre, Rotterdam, The Netherlands. The approval was completed at 15th June 2006 with reference number MEC-2006-109.

## **Study design**

Randomised, controlled, parallel group, single blinded study

## **Primary study design**

Interventional

## **Study type(s)**

Treatment

## **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Severe injury, life threatening

## **Interventions**

The intervention consists of the application of a randomised intraosseous needle:

1. In people over 14 years: BIG versus FAST versus conventional bone needle
2. In children more than one and less than 14 years: BIG versus conventional bone needle

## **Intervention Type**

Device

## **Phase**

Not Specified

## **Primary outcome(s)**

1. Primary endpoint: aspiration of bone marrow upon successful placement of a bone needle.
2. Primary parameter is time required for successful placement.

## **Key secondary outcome(s)**

Secondary endpoint: Complications encountered using an intraosseous device

Secondary parameters:

1. Adverse events
2. Success rate (%)
3. User friendliness (Visual Analogue Scale [VAS])
4. Pain scored by the patient (VAS)

**Completion date**

20/06/2008

## Eligibility

**Key inclusion criteria**

1. Patients in acute life threatening situations, requiring assistance of a mobile medical team
2. Intravascular medical or fluid resuscitation is necessary and intravascular access cannot be obtained after two attempts

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

**Age group**

Other

**Sex**

Not Specified

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Children under the age of one year
2. Patients with suspected sternumanomaly (only FAST1)

**Date of first enrolment**

21/06/2006

**Date of final enrolment**

20/06/2008

## Locations

**Countries of recruitment**

Netherlands

**Study participating centre**

Erasmus Medical Center

Rotterdam

Netherlands

3000 CA

## Sponsor information

**Organisation**

Erasmus Medical Center (The Netherlands)

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/018906e22>

**Funder(s)****Funder type**

Other

**Funder Name**

Medirisk (The Netherlands)

**Results and Publications****Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan****IPD sharing plan summary**

Not provided at time of registration